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#### Abstract

The current study is fully devoted to studying differential subordination and superordination theorems of analytic functions with some sandwich results involving linear operators $I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda}$. This operator was obtained by the Hadamard product with the family of integral operators and the Hurwitlz-Lerch Zeta function. The current results demonstrate the possibility and capability of extracting the Sandwich theorem, and the conclusion includes differential subordination and differential superordination.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Through the integral operator, Cotirlă [1], obtained a sandwich theorem by different method. Recently, Shammugam et al. [2] and Goyal et al. [3] and Atshan [4], Atshan \& HadiAbd [5], Ibrahim et al. [6], Atshan \& Hussain [7], and Atshan \& Jawad [8], studied sandwich theorems for another condition. Previous research established those differential subordinations and superordinations can be used to obtain adequate conditions to meet the sandwich implication of a large number of well-known sandwich theorems.

Let me use and called $H=H(\nabla)$ is the class of functions (analytic) in the disk $\nabla=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\} . \forall n \in$ (+integer). In addition, $a \in \mathbb{C}$. Now, we define $H[a, n]$ is the subclass of $H . H[a, n]$ include the shape:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}(z)=a+a_{n} z^{n}+a_{n+1} z^{n+1}+(a \text { belong to } \mathbb{C}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Too, suppose $\varphi$ subclass of $H$ include of the functions in the shape:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $F, g$ belong to $\varphi$. The function $f$ is called subordinate to $g$, or $g$ is called superordinate to $\mathcal{F}$, if $\exists$ schwarz analytic function in $\nabla$ is $w$, with zero $=w(z e r o)$ and the absolute value of $w(z)$ less than $1,(z \in \nabla)$ s.t $\mathcal{F}(z)$ equal $g(w(z))$. we shall write $\mathcal{F} \prec g$.

If $g$ is univalent in $\nabla$, then $\mathcal{F} \prec g$ iff $F$ (zero $)=g($ zero $), \mathcal{F}(\nabla)$ is subset of $g(\nabla)$.

Now: Suppose $h, p \in H$ and $\psi(r, \lambda, t ; z): \mathbb{C}^{3} \times \nabla \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. If the variable $p$ and the set of concepts $\psi\left(p(z)\right.$ and $\left.z p^{\prime}(z) p l u s z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z) ; z\right)$ are function univalent in the disk $\nabla$ in addition if $p$ in the shape the 2 nd-order
superordinations.

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z) \prec \psi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z) \text { and } z^{2} p^{\prime \prime}(z): z\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, $p$ gives title a solution of the superordination of (3). (If $f$ is subordinate to $g$, then $g$ is superordinate to $f$ ). Now An analytic $\mathbb{q}$ is said to be a subordinant of (3), if $\mathbb{q} \prec p \forall$ the functions $p$ satisfies (3). Now $\tilde{q}$ univalent subordinant have the property $\mathbb{q}<\widetilde{\mathbb{q}}, \forall$ differential subordinants $\mathbb{q}$ of (3) is known the best subordinant. The two researchers Miller \& Mocanu [9] they own set on the analytic $h ; \mathbb{q}$ and $\psi$ by the set of concepts:

$$
\begin{gather*}
h(z)<\psi\left(p(z), z \frac{d p}{d z}, z^{2} \frac{d^{2} p}{d z^{2}}(z) ; z\right) \Rightarrow q(z)  \tag{4}\\
<p(z)
\end{gather*}
$$

Komatu [10] gave the family of integral operator:

$$
J_{\mu}^{\lambda}: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma .
$$

Define as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\mu}^{\lambda} \mathcal{F}(z)=z+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} & (\mu / n+\mu-1)^{\lambda} a_{n} z^{n} \\
& (z \text { belong to } \nabla, n>1, \lambda \geq 0)
\end{aligned}
$$

By function Hurwitlz-Lerch Zeta:

$$
\phi(z, s, a)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{k}}{(k+a)^{s}}, a \in \mathbb{C} / z_{0}^{-}, s \in \mathbb{C} \text { when } 0<|z|
$$

Using convolution where $G_{s, a(z)}$ by:

$$
G_{s, a(z)}=(1+a)^{s}\left[\phi(z, s, a)-a^{-s}\right],(z \in \nabla)
$$

Then a linear operator $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{a}, \mu}^{\lambda} \mathcal{F}(z): \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma[11]$ is defined:

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda} \mathcal{F}(z)=G_{s, a(z)} * J_{\mu}^{\lambda} f(z)  \tag{5}\\
=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1+a}{k+a}\right)^{s}\left(\frac{\mu}{\mu+n-1}\right)^{\lambda} a_{n} z^{n} . \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

From Eq. (6) get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)\right)^{\prime}=\mu I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda} \mathcal{F}(z)-(\mu-1) I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

AL-Ameedee et al. [12] got conditions for the concepts certain analytic.

$$
\mathbb{q}_{1}(z)<z \mathcal{F}^{\prime}(z) / \mathcal{F}(z)<\mathbb{q}_{2}(z)
$$

where, $\mathbb{q}_{1}$ and $\mathbb{q}_{2}$ are univalent in $\nabla$ in addition $\mathbb{q}_{1}($ zero $)=$ $\mathbb{q}_{2}($ zero $)=1$.

The fundamental objective our research is to find some properties of normalized analytic functions $\mathcal{F}$ like sufficient condition.

$$
\mathbb{q}_{1}(z)<\left(\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\gamma}<\mathbb{q}_{2}(z)
$$

And:

$$
\mathbb{q}_{1}(z)<\left(\frac{\mathrm{t} \mathrm{I}_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)+(1-\mathrm{t}) I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\gamma}<\mathbb{G}_{2}(z)
$$

We got univalent functions $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ in $\nabla$ with, $1=$ $\mathbb{q}_{2}(0)=\mathbb{q}_{1}(0)$.

In the next step, we need some basic information [13-20].

## 2. DEFINITIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

Definition (1) [13]. The set functions $\mathcal{F}$ Denote by Q , is analytic and one to one $\bar{\nabla} \backslash E(\mathcal{F})$, where $\bar{\nabla}=\nabla \cup\{z \in$ $\partial \nabla\}$ and $\mathrm{E}(\mathcal{F})=\left\{\zeta \in \partial \nabla: \operatorname{limit}_{z \rightarrow \zeta} \mathcal{F}(z)=\infty\right\}$ s.t
$\mathcal{F}^{\prime}(\zeta) \neq 0$ for $\zeta \in \partial \nabla \backslash E(\mathcal{F})$.
$\mathcal{F}(z)=a$, then: $\mathrm{Q}($ zero $)=Q_{0}$ and $\mathrm{Q}($ one $)=Q_{1}=\{\mathcal{F} \in Q$ : $\mathcal{F}(0)=1\}$.

Lemma (1)[13]. In $\nabla$ suppose be univalent and suppose $\theta$ in addition $\phi$ analytic function in $D$ consists of $q(V)$ and $0 \neq$ $\phi(w)$ such that $w \in q(\nabla) \cdot z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z))=Q(z)$ and $h(z)=\theta(\mathbb{q}(z))+Q(z)$. let:
i. $\nabla$ contains the starlike univalent function $Q(z)$.
ii. $\operatorname{Re}\left\{h^{\prime}(z) z / Q(z)\right\}$ greater than $0, \forall z$ belong to $\nabla$.

If the analytic function p in $\nabla$, too $\mathrm{p}($ zero $)=\mathbb{q}$ (zero), $p(\nabla)$ sub set $D$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta(p(z)) p l u s z p^{\prime} & (z) \phi(p(z)) \\
& <\theta(\mathbb{q}(z)) p l u s z \mathbb{q} \mathbb{q}^{\prime}(z) \phi(\mathbb{q}(z)),
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\mathbb{q}$ is the best dominant in addition $p<q$."
Lemma (2)[14]. Suppose the convex univalent function in $\nabla$ is $\mathbb{q}$ in addition let $\alpha$ belong to $\mathbb{C}, \beta$ belong to $\mathbb{C} \backslash\{$ zero $\}$ and:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Re}\left\{\left(z q^{\prime \prime}(z) / q^{\prime}(z)\right)+1\right\} \\
& \text { greater than } \max \left\{\text { zero },-\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

then:

$$
\alpha p(z)+\beta z p^{\prime}(z)<\alpha q \mathbb{}(z)+\beta z q^{\prime}(z)
$$

So, the function is the best dominant in addition $p<\mathrm{q}$.
Lemma (3) [14]. Let the convex univalent function in $\nabla$ is $\mathbb{q} \mathbb{i n}$ addition suppose $\beta$ belong to $\mathbb{C}$. In addition, suppose that $\operatorname{Re}(\beta)>$ zero. If the function $p \in H[q$ (zero), 1] intersection with $Q$ and the univalent in $\nabla$ is $p(z)$ plus $\beta z p^{\prime}(z)$ when:

$$
\mathbb{q}(z)+\beta z \mathbb{q} \|^{\prime}(z)<p(z) \text { plus } \beta z p^{\prime}(z)
$$

i.e., the function $q$ is the best subordinant and $\mathbb{q}<p$.

Lemma (4) [8]. Suppose the convex univalent function in $\nabla$ is $\mathbb{q}$ in addition suppose $\phi$ and $\theta$ are analytic function in D contains $q(\nabla)$. Then, suppose:
(1) Real $\left\{\theta^{\prime}(\mathbb{q}(z)) \div \phi(\mathbb{q}(z))\right\}$ greator than zero, $\forall$ complex variable $z$ belong to $\nabla$.
(2) the function $Q(z)$ equal $z q^{\prime}(z)$ product $\phi(q(z))$ is function univalent star like in $\nabla$.

If $p$ belong to $Q \cap H[q($ zero $), 1]$, in addition $p(\nabla)$ subset $D$, $\theta(p(z))$ plus $\lambda p^{\prime}(z) \phi(p(z))$ is univalent function in unit disk.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta(\mathbb{q}(z)) \text { plus } z q^{\prime} & (z) \phi(q(z)) \\
& <\theta(p(z)) \text { plus } z p^{\prime}(z) \text { product } \phi(p(z))
\end{aligned}
$$

then, $\mathbb{q}<p$ and the function $q$ satisfy the best subordinate.
Theorem (1). Let the convex univalent in $\nabla$ is $q \|$ with $1=$ $\mathbb{q}($ zero ) , $0 \neq \varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}, \gamma$ greater than to zero and let $\mathbb{q}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Real}\left\{\left[\left.z q\right|^{\prime \prime}(z) / q^{\prime}(z)\right]+1\right\} \\
& >\operatorname{maximmum}\left\{\operatorname{Zero},-\operatorname{Real}\left(\frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon}\right)\right\} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

when, $\mathcal{F} \in \varphi$ satisfies the subordination,

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1-\varepsilon \mu)\left(\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\gamma} \\
& \quad+\varepsilon \mu\left(\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\gamma}\left(\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda} \mathcal{F}(z)}{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}\right)  \tag{9}\\
& \quad<\mathbb{q}(z) p l u s \frac{\varepsilon}{\gamma} z q^{\prime}(z)
\end{align*}
$$

then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\gamma} \prec \mathbb{q}(z) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the "best dominant of (9) is $\mathbb{q}$.
Proof. $p$ defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\left(\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\gamma} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By purely mathematical operations for condition (11) with respect to $z$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
z p^{\prime}(z) / p(z)=\gamma\left(-1+\frac{z\left(I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda, 1} \mathcal{F}(z)}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, obtain the following subordination in view of (7).

$$
p^{\prime}(z) / p(z)=\gamma\left(\mu\left(-1+\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda} \mathcal{F}(z)}{I_{s, a, \mu}^{+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}\right)+\left(-1+\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda} \mathcal{F}(z)}{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}\right)\right)
$$

Therefore,
$\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{\gamma}=\left(\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\gamma}\left(\mu\left(-1+\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda} \mathcal{F}(z)}{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}\right)+\left(-1+\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda} \mathcal{F}(z)}{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}\right)\right)$
from the hypothesis the subordination (9) becomes:

$$
p(z) p l u s \frac{\varepsilon}{\gamma} z p^{\prime}(z)<\mathbb{q}(z) p l u s \frac{\varepsilon}{\gamma} z q^{\prime}(z)
$$

we obtain (10) by application of (Lemma (2)) with $\beta=\frac{\varepsilon}{\gamma}$ and $\alpha=1$,

Corollary (1). Let $0 \neq \varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}, \gamma>0$ and:

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{2 z}{-z+1}+1\right\}>\max \left\{\text { zero },-\operatorname{Real}\left(\frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon}\right)\right\} .
$$

If $\mathcal{F} \in \varphi$ got the subordination:

$$
\begin{gathered}
(1-\varepsilon \mu)\left(\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\gamma}+\varepsilon \mu\left(\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\gamma}\left(\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda} \mathcal{F}(z)}{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}\right) \prec \\
\left(\frac{1-z^{2}+2 \frac{2_{\gamma}}{\left(1-z^{2}\right)}}{(1)}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

So, $\left(\frac{I_{, ~}^{\lambda+1, \mu} \mathcal{H} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\gamma}$ subordinat to (z plus $1 / \mathrm{z}$ minus 1).
In addition, $\mathbb{q}(z)$ equal $(z+1 / z-1)$ is the perfect dominant.
Theorem (2). Suppose the convex univalent in $\nabla$ is $\mathbb{G}$ in addition $1=\mathbb{q}($ zero $)$, zero not equal $\mathbb{q}(\mathrm{z})$, (z belong to $\nabla$ ) and suppose:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1-\frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon}+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\varepsilon>0, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{$ zero $\}$ and $z \in \nabla$.
Let the starlike univalent in $\nabla$ is $\left(-\varepsilon z q q^{\prime}(z)\right)$. If $\mathcal{F} \in \varphi$ then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\emptyset(\gamma, \mathrm{s}, \lambda, \mathrm{a}, \varepsilon ; z)<\gamma q(z)-\varepsilon z q^{\prime}(z) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\emptyset(\gamma, \mathrm{s}, \lambda, \mathrm{a}, \varepsilon ; z)=\gamma\left(\frac{\mathrm{t} \lambda_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda, 1} \mathcal{F}(z)+(1-\mathrm{t}) \Lambda_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\gamma}- \\
\gamma \varepsilon\left(\frac{\left.\mathrm{t} \mathrm{t}_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)+(1-\mathrm{t}){ }_{s_{s}, a, \mu}^{\lambda} \mathcal{F}(z)\right)}{z}\right)^{\gamma}(-1+  \tag{15}\\
\left.\frac{\left.\left.\mathrm{t} \tau_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda} \mathcal{F}(z)\right)+(1-\mathrm{t})\right)_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}{\left.\mathrm{t}_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)+I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda} \mathcal{F}(z)\right)}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\mathrm{t} I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)+(1-\mathrm{t}) I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\gamma} \prec \mathbb{q}(z) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the best dominant of $(14)$ is $\mathbb{q}(z)$.
Proof: Now we will start defined $p$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\left(\frac{\mathrm{t}_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)+(1-\mathrm{t}) I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\gamma} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting: $\emptyset(w)=-\varepsilon$ in addition $\theta(w)=\gamma w, w$ not equal to zero. Then, $\theta(w)$ and $\varnothing(w)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C}$ with $\mathbb{C} /\{$ zero \} respectively.

So, $\emptyset(w)$ not equal to zero, $w$ belong to $\mathbb{C} /\{0\}$, then;

$$
z q^{\prime}(z) \cdot \emptyset q(z)=-\varepsilon z q^{\prime}(z)=Q(z)
$$

and

$$
\theta \mathbb{q}(z) \text { plus } Q(z) \text { equal } \gamma q(z)-\varepsilon z q^{\prime}(z)=\mathrm{h}(z)
$$

$Q(z)$ is a starlike univalent in $\nabla$,

$$
\operatorname{Real}\left\{\frac{z \mathrm{~h}^{\prime}(z)}{\mathrm{Q}(z)}\right\}=\operatorname{Real}\left\{1-\frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon}+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>0 .
$$

and, obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\emptyset(\gamma, \mathrm{s}, \lambda, \mathrm{a}, \mu, \varepsilon ; z)=\gamma \mathrm{p}(z)-\varepsilon \mathrm{zp}^{\prime}(z) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, $\emptyset(\gamma, \mathrm{s}, \lambda, \mathrm{a}, \mu, \varepsilon ; z)$ is given by (15).
By (14) with (18), we own:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma \mathrm{p}(z)-\varepsilon z \mathrm{p}^{\prime}(z)<\gamma \mathrm{q}(z)-\varepsilon z \mathrm{q}^{\prime}(z) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, got $\mathrm{p}(z)<\mathbb{q}(z)$ by (Lemma (1)), and by using (17).

Corollary (2):
Let, Real $\left\{1-\frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon}+\frac{z 2 \mathrm{~B}}{(1+\mathrm{Bz})}\right\}>0$, and $-1 \leq \mathrm{B}<\mathrm{A} \leq 1$. where $\varepsilon$ belong to $\mathbb{C} /\{$ zero $\}$ in addition $z$ be long to $\nabla$, if $\mathcal{F} \in \varphi$ such that:

$$
\emptyset(\gamma, \mathrm{s}, \lambda, \mathrm{a}, \mu, \varepsilon ; z)<\left(\gamma\left(\frac{1+\mathrm{A} z}{1+\mathrm{B} z}\right)-\varepsilon z \frac{\mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{B}}{(1+\mathrm{B} z)^{2}}\right)
$$

and $\emptyset(\gamma, \mathrm{s}, \lambda, \mathrm{a}, \mu, \varepsilon ; z)$ is given by condition (8),

$$
\left(\frac{\mathrm{t} \mathrm{I}_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)+(1-\mathrm{t}) I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\gamma} \prec \frac{\mathrm{A} z+1}{\mathrm{~B} z+1}
$$

and the perfect dominant is $q(z)=\frac{1+\mathrm{A} z}{1+\mathrm{B} z}$
Theorem (3): suppose the convex univalent in $\nabla$ is $\mathbb{q} /$ in addition $\gamma$ greator than zero, $\mathscr{q}_{( }(0)=1$ and $\operatorname{Re}\{\varepsilon\}>0$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{F} \in \varphi$ satisfies: $\left(\frac{\left(\frac{I_{s}^{\lambda+a}, \mu}{} \mathcal{F}(z)\right)}{z}\right)^{\gamma} \in \mathrm{Q}$ intersect $H$ [qI (0), 1], so,

$$
(1-\varepsilon \mu)\left(\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\gamma}+\varepsilon \mu\left(\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\gamma}\left(\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda} \mathcal{F}(z)}{I_{S, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}\right)
$$

is univalent function in $\nabla$.

$$
\text { if } \begin{align*}
\mathbb{q}(z)+ & \frac{\varepsilon}{\gamma} z \mathbb{q}{ }^{\prime}(z)<(1-\varepsilon \mu)\left(\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda, 1} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\gamma}+ \\
& \varepsilon \mu\left(\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\gamma}\left(\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda} \mathcal{F}(z)}{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}\right) \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{q}(z)<\left(\frac{\left.I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)\right)}{z}\right)^{\gamma} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

the best subordinant of (20) is $\mathbb{q}$.
Proof: $p$ defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}(z)=\left(\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\gamma} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we get (22) by differentiating:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z p^{\prime}(z) / p(z)=\delta\left(\frac{z\left(I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}-1\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (7) in (23), we obtain:

$$
\begin{gathered}
(1-\varepsilon \mu)\left(\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\gamma}+\varepsilon \mu\left(\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\gamma}\left(\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda} \mathcal{F}(z)}{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}\right) \\
=\mathbb{q}(z)+\frac{\varepsilon}{\gamma} z q^{\prime}(z)
\end{gathered}
$$

we get the result by using (Lemma (3)).
Corollary (3): If $\mathcal{F} \in \varphi$ satisfies: $\left(\frac{\left.I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)\right)}{z}\right)^{\gamma} \in \mathrm{Q} \cap$ $\mathrm{H}[\mathrm{q}(0), 1]$ and let $\gamma>0$ and $\operatorname{Re}\{\varepsilon\}>0$.
and,

$$
(1-\varepsilon \mu)\left(\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\gamma}+\varepsilon \mu\left(\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\gamma}\left(\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda} \mathcal{F}(z)}{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+\mathcal{F}}(z)}\right)
$$

be univalent in $\nabla$. If
$\frac{1-z^{2}+2 \frac{\varepsilon}{\hat{\gamma}} z}{\left(1-z^{2}\right)}<(1-\varepsilon \mu)\left(\frac{\left(\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\gamma}+\varepsilon \mu\left(\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\gamma}\left(\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda} \mathcal{F}(z)}{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}\right)}{}\right.$
then,

$$
\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)<\left(\frac{\left.I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)\right)}{z}\right)^{\gamma}
$$

and the best subordinant is $q(z)$ equal $\frac{1+z}{1-z}$.
Theorem (4): Let the convex univalent in $\nabla$ is $\mathbb{q}$ in addition $1=q($ zero $)$, and let $q$ satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{-\gamma \mathrm{q}^{\prime}(z)}{\varepsilon}\right\}>0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $z \in \nabla$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C} /\{0\}$.
"Let- $\gamma \mathrm{zq}{ }^{\prime}(\mathrm{z})$ " is a starlike univalent function "in $\nabla$ and let $\mathcal{F} \in \quad \varphi \quad$ satisfies: $\quad " \quad\left(\frac{\mathrm{t}_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)+(1-\mathrm{t}) \Sigma_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right) \in \mathrm{Q} \cap$ H [q (zero), 1], "and $\emptyset(\gamma, s, \lambda, a, \mu, \varepsilon ; z)$ is a univalent function in $\nabla$, where $\emptyset(\gamma, \mathrm{s}, \lambda, \mathrm{a}, \mu, \varepsilon ; \mathrm{z})$ is given by (15). If:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma \mathbb{q}(z)-\varepsilon z \mathbb{q} \|^{\prime}(z)<\emptyset(\gamma, \mathrm{n}, \lambda, \mathrm{~m}, \varepsilon ; z) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{q}(z)<\left(\frac{\mathrm{t} I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)+(1-\mathrm{t}) I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\gamma} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the best subordinant of (25) that is $\mathbb{q}$.
Proof: Defined $p$ in the shape,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}(z)=\left(\frac{\mathrm{t} I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)+(1-\mathrm{t}) I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\gamma} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

by $\emptyset(w)=-\varepsilon$ and $\theta(w)=\gamma w, 0 \neq w$.
So, $\theta(w)$ and $\varnothing(w)$ are analytic in $\mathbb{C}$ in addition $\mathbb{C} \backslash\{$ zero \} respectively and $\varnothing(w)$ does not equal zero, $w \in \mathbb{C} \mid\{$ zero $\}$. Then:

$$
z q^{\prime}(z) \emptyset q(z)=-\varepsilon z q^{\prime}(z)=Q(z)
$$

We got starlike univalent" in $\nabla$ is $Q(z)$.

$$
\operatorname{Real}\left\{\frac{\left.\theta^{\prime}(q)(z)\right)}{\emptyset(q(z))}\right\}=\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{-\gamma q^{\prime}(z)}{\varepsilon}\right\}>0
$$

Now, obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma \mathrm{p}(z)-\varepsilon z \mathrm{p}^{\prime}(z)=\emptyset(\gamma, \mathrm{s}, \lambda, \mathrm{a}, \mu, \varepsilon ; z) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\varnothing(\gamma, \mathrm{s}, \lambda, \mathrm{a}, \mu, \varepsilon ; z)$ is get by (15).
By (25) and (28);

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma \mathbb{q}(z)-\varepsilon z q^{\prime}(z)<\gamma \mathrm{p}(z)-\varepsilon \mathrm{p}^{\prime}(z) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\mathrm{q}(z)<\mathrm{p}(z)$ by (Lemma (3)). By using (27), will get to the desired result.

The concept of Sandwich represented by (Theorems (5) and (6)).

## 3. SUBORDINATION AND SUPERORDINATION

Theorem (5): Let the convex univalent in $\nabla$ is $\mathbb{q}_{1}($ zero $)=1$, Real $\{\varepsilon\}>0$ and let the univalent in $\nabla$ is $\mathbb{q}_{2}$ (zero) $=1$ and realize (8), let $\mathcal{F} \in \varphi$ such that.

$$
\left(\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\gamma} \in \mathrm{Q} \cap \mathrm{H}[1,1],
$$

and,

$$
(1-\varepsilon \mu)\left(\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\gamma}+\varepsilon \mu\left(\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\gamma}\left(\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda} \mathcal{F}(z)}{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}\right),
$$

is univalent function in $\nabla$.
If,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{q}_{1}(z)+\frac{\varepsilon}{\gamma} \mathrm{zq} \mathbb{1}^{\prime}(z) \text { subordinant to }(1-\varepsilon \mu)\left(\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\gamma}+ \\
\varepsilon \mu\left(\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\gamma}\left(\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda} \mathcal{F}(z)}{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}\right) \prec \mathbb{q}_{2}(z)+\frac{\varepsilon}{\gamma} \mathrm{zq} \mathbb{q}_{2}^{\prime}(z) \\
\mathbb{q}_{1}(z) \prec\left(\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\gamma} \prec \mathbb{q}_{2}(z)
\end{gathered}
$$

We got concepts best subordinant in addition best dominant $\mathbb{q}_{1}$ and $\mathbb{q}_{2}$ are respectively.

Theorem (6): Suppose the univalent convex in $\nabla$ is $\mathbb{q}_{1}$, $\mathbb{q}_{1}$ (zero) $=1$ and satisfies (24), let the univalent function in $\nabla$ is $\mathbb{q}_{2}, \mathbb{M}_{2}$ (zero) $=1$, realize (13), let $\mathcal{F} \in \varphi$ satisfies:

$$
\left(\frac{\mathrm{t} I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)+(1-\mathrm{t}) I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\gamma} \in \mathrm{Q} \cap \mathrm{H}[1,1]
$$

and $\emptyset(\gamma, \mathrm{s}, \lambda, \mathrm{a}, \mu, \varepsilon ; \mathrm{z})$ is univalent in $\nabla$, where $\emptyset(\gamma, \mathrm{s}, \lambda, \mathrm{a}, \mu$, $\varepsilon$; z) we got by (15).

If $\gamma \mathrm{q}_{1}(z)-\varepsilon z \mathbb{q}^{\prime}{ }_{1}(z) \emptyset(\gamma, \mathrm{s}, \lambda, \mathrm{a}, \mu, \varepsilon ; z)<\gamma \mathrm{q}_{2} \quad(z)-$ $\varepsilon z q^{\prime}{ }_{2}(z)$,

Then,

$$
\mathrm{q}_{1}(z)<\left(\frac{\mathrm{t} I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)+(1-\mathrm{t}) I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)<\mathbb{q}_{2}(z)
$$

We got the concepts of best subordinant and best dominant $\mathbb{q}_{1}$ and $\mathbb{q}_{2}$, respectively.

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

The conclusion of this research gained subordination and superordination results by using the linear operator $I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1}$ for example for these results:

$$
\begin{gathered}
1-\mathbb{q}_{1}(z)<\left(\frac{I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda+1} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right)^{\gamma}<\mathbb{q}_{2}(z) \\
2-\mathbb{q}_{1}(z)<\left(\frac{\mathrm{t} \frac{\mathrm{t}}{s, a, \mu}_{\lambda+1}^{\mathcal{F}}(z)+(1-\mathrm{t}) I_{s, a, \mu}^{\lambda} \mathcal{F}(z)}{z}\right) \prec \mathbb{q}_{2}(z)
\end{gathered}
$$
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