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ABSTRACT

This research paper introduces an adaptive terminal synergetic nonlinear control. This control aims at synchronizing two hyperchaotic Zhou systems. Thus, the adaptive terminal synergetic control’s synthesis is applied to synchronize a hyperchaotic i.e., slave system with unknown parameters with another hyperchaotic i.e., master system. Accordingly, simulation results of each system in different initial conditions reveal significant convergence. Moreover, the findings proved stability and robustness of the suggested scheme using Lyapunov stability theory.

1. INTRODUCTION

Chaos theory and chaotic systems are deterministic, irregular, and aperiodic with unpredictable behavior having an extra-sensitive dependence on initial conditions [1]. Hence, chaotic systems are generally defined as a set of two or three autonomous nonlinear equations. Accordingly, a three-dimensional chaotic system includes one positive, one zero, and one negative Lyapunov exponents. Thus, if a system has more than three states and displays chaotic behavior, then it is referred to as a hyperchaotic system [2], that was first discovered by Rössler [3]. For instance, among the classical common systems are, Lorenz system [4], hyperchaotic Lü system [5], Chua’s circuit [6], and Qi system [7]; in addition, the recent hyperchaotic systems are Dadas system [8], hyperchaotic Vaidyanathan systems [9], hyperchaotic Sampath system [10], and hyperchaotic Pham system [11]. On the other hand, a four-dimensional hyperchaotic system contains one more positive Lyapunov exponent. It has complex dynamics and characteristics than chaotic systems; therefore, this type of dynamics has miscellaneous applications in engineering such as secure communications [12, 13], cryptosystems [14], encryption [15, 16], and electrical circuits [17, 18].

Furthermore, in the last two decades, synchronization of chaotic and hyperchaotic systems has been a crucial topic of research, it is required when a chaotic system drives another chaotic system reaching asymptotically zero error between master and slave systems states. Among synchronization types studied recently in the literature are: complete synchronization [19], anti-synchronization [20, 21], hybrid synchronization [22, 23], lag synchronization [24], phase synchronization [25], anti-phase synchronization [26], generalized synchronization [27], projective synchronization [28], generalized projective synchronization [29-31], etc.

In the same vein, since the discovery of chaos synchronization applications, various control techniques and methods have been developed, such as active control method [32] can be used when the system parameters are known, adaptive control method [31, 33] is applied when the system parameters are unknown, backstepping control [35] and sliding mode control [36].

Similar to sliding mode (SMC) but without chattering, the synergetic technique is a robust approach which doesn’t need linearization with no discontinuous term in its control law is thus more suited for real-time implementation. As in sliding mode control upon reaching the equilibrium point, the system dynamics remain insensitive to a class of parameter deviations and disturbances. A variety of successful applications of this approach exist such as a battery charging system [37], a power system stabilizer [38, 39], a quadrotor helicopter system [40], and DC-DC power converter control [41, 42].

The goal considered in this paper, is to force the master-slave hyperchaotic systems to be synchronized even if they have differential initial conditions. Simulation results show that the proposed controller effectively drives the slave system in spite of different initial conditions. In this paper, Section 2 introduces the hyperchaotic Zhou system while Section 3 covers the main results for the adaptive terminal synchronization of the identical Zhou systems with unknown parameters using terminal synergetic control. Finally, the concluding remarks are given in Section 4.

2. SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

In 2009, hyperchaotic Zhou system [43] is described by a fourth order model.

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 &= a(x_2 - x_1) + x_4 \\
\dot{x}_2 &= cx_3 - x_1 x_3 \\
\dot{x}_3 &= -bx_1 + x_1 x_2 \\
\dot{x}_4 &= dx_1 + 0.5 x_2 x_3
\end{align*}
\]
\( x_1, x_2, x_3 \) and \( x_4 \) represent the system variables, and \( a, b, c \) and \( d \) are system parameters. Hyperchaotic comportment for (1) can be observed for:

\[
a = 35, b = 3, c = 12,0, d(34.8)
\]

Using (2), the system linearization matrix at the equilibrium point \( E_0 = [0 0 0] \) is obtained as:

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
-a & a & 0 & 1 \\
0 & c & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -b & 0 \\
d & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(3)

Eigenvalues of \( A \) are:

\[
\lambda_1 = c, \lambda_2 = -b, \lambda_3 = -a + \sqrt{a^2 + 4d}, \quad \lambda_4 = -a - \sqrt{a^2 + 4d}
\]

(4)

It is obvious that system (1) is unstable, \( \lambda_i \) being positive.

In the simulation study, the values of \( a, b, c \) are as given in (2) and the value of \( d \) is chosen as \( d = 1 \). Projections of different attractors are shown in Figure 1. This figure shows the behavior chaotic of Zhou system.

3. SYNERGETIC CONTROL

Synergetic control is a robust nonlinear approach very similar to sliding mode control technique; it relies on a suitable macro-variable choice which comprises variables of interest and a desired performance based constraint.

Synthesis of the controller begins with a choice of a function of two or more state variables called the macro-variable (5):

\[
\dot{x}(t) = f(x, u, t)
\]

(5)

\( x \) is the system state vector and \( u \) the control law.

Synergetic control includes the choice of a function of two or more system variables called the macro-variable as in (6):

\[
\Psi = \Psi(x, t)
\]

(6)

The synergetic control goal is to drive the system to a chosen manifold.

\[
\dot{\Psi} = \frac{d\Psi}{dx} \dot{x}
\]

(7)

\( T \) represents the desired speed convergence to the selected manifold.

Substituting (9) and (6) into (8) gives:

\[
T \frac{d\Psi}{dx} f(x, u, t) + \Psi = 0
\]

(9)

Solving (9) for \( u \) leads to (10):

\[
u = g(x, \Psi(x, t), T, t)
\]

(10)

4. ADAPTIVE SYNCHRONIZATION USING TERMINAL SYNERGETIC CONTROL

An adaptive scheme will be used in this section in conjunction with a terminal synergetic approach to provide a robust control law for globally synchronizing identical hyperchaotic Zhou systems with unknown parameters.

Letting the master system be given as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 &= a(x_2 - x_1) + x_4 \\
\dot{x}_2 &= cx_2 - x_1 x_3 \\
\dot{x}_3 &= -bx_1 + x_1 x_2 \\
\dot{x}_4 &= dx_1 + 0.5 x_2 x_3
\end{align*}
\]

(11)

The slave system with the terminal synergetic controllers \( u_i \) is defined as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{y}_1 &= \dot{a}(y_2 - y_1) + y_4 + u_1 \\
\dot{y}_2 &= \dot{c}y_2 - y_1 y_3 + u_2 \\
\dot{y}_3 &= \dot{b}y_1 + y_1 y_3 + u_3 \\
\dot{y}_4 &= \dot{d}y_1 + 0.5 y_2 y_3 + u_4
\end{align*}
\]

(12)

Figure 1. Hyperchaotic Zhou system phase plane portrait
$y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4$ represent system states and $u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4$ the adaptive controls to be elaborated based on estimates $\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}, \hat{d}$ for the unknown parameters $a, b, c, d$.

For the complete synchronization of the master and slave systems, the synchronization errors must rapidly reach a zero value i.e.: $\lim_{t \to \infty} e_i = 0$. Where $e_i$ is defined by:

$$e_i = y_i - x_i \quad (13)$$

Thus, the synchronization errors dynamics can be described by (14):

$$
e_1 = \hat{a}(y_2 - y_1) + y_1 - x_1 - x_i + u_1
\ne_2 = \hat{c}(y_2 - y_1) - c_2 + x_1 + x_i + u_2
\ne_3 = -\hat{b}y_3 + y_1y_2 + bx_3 - x_1x_2 + u_3
\ne_4 = \hat{d}y_4 + 0.5y_3y_4 - dx_4 - 0.5x_1x_3 + u_4
(14)$$

### 4.1 Control design

The main objective here is to design adaptive terminal synergetic controllers ($u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4$) to synchronize the hyperchaotic system in Eq. (12) with Eq. (11).

First, a macro-variable $\Psi$ is defined to construct a manifold for the nonlinear system to be controlled given as:

$$\Psi_i = e_i + k_i \int_0^t \frac{p_i}{p_i} (\tau) d\tau \quad (15)$$

$$\Psi_i = 0$$

The derivative of (15) leads to:

$$\dot{\Psi}_i = \dot{e}_i + k_i \frac{p_i}{p_i} \dot{e}_i \quad (16)$$

where, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and $k_i > 0$, $p$ and $q$ are positive odd constants, such that $0 < \frac{p}{q} < 1$. Upon reaching the terminal attractor $\Psi = 0$, the error system dynamics is constrained by (17):

$$\dot{e}_i = -k_i \frac{p_i}{q_i} e_i \quad (17)$$

which may be written as (18):

$$dt = -\frac{1}{k_i} \frac{p_i}{q_i} de_i \quad (18)$$

Time integrating (18) ($e_i(0)\neq0$, $e(t_f)=0$) leads to the following equation:

$$t_f = e_i(0) \frac{k_i}{k_i(1 - \frac{p_i}{q_i})} \quad (19)$$

When the system reaches the terminal synergetic mode at $t = t_f$, the system state error converges to zero in finite-time.

The adaptive synergetic controllers obtained are given in (20):

$$u_i = -\hat{a}(e_2 - e_1) - e_4 - k_i e_i \frac{p_i}{p_i} \quad (20)$$

$$u_2 = -\hat{c}(e_1 - e_2) + y_1y_2 - c_2 + x_i - x_1 + u_2 \frac{p_i}{p_i} \quad (20)$$

$$u_3 = -\hat{b}(e_1 - e_3) + y_1y_3 + bx_3 - x_1x_2 + u_3 \frac{p_i}{p_i} \quad (20)$$

$$u_4 = -\hat{d}(e_1 - e_4) + 0.5y_3y_4 - dx_4 - 0.5x_1x_3 + u_4 \frac{p_i}{p_i} \quad (20)$$

The estimated unknown parameters are obtained using the following adaptive laws:

$$\hat{a} = -\Psi_i(x_2 - x_1) \quad (21)$$

$$\hat{b} = \Psi_i x_1 \quad (21)$$

$$\hat{c} = -\Psi_i x_2 \quad (21)$$

$$\hat{d} = -\Psi_i x_4 \quad (21)$$

### 4.2 Robust stability analysis of the controller

Lyapunov stability analysis is used to study the stability of the controlled system.

**Theorem:** The adaptive terminal synergetic control input law in Eq. (20) with $k_i > 0$ and $T_i > 0$ stabilizes the system.

**Proof:** A Lyapunov function candidates chosen as:

$$V = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i^4 \Psi_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i^4 \alpha_i^2 \quad (22)$$

where the parameter estimation errors are defined as:

$$\alpha_i = \hat{a} - a \quad (23)$$

$$\alpha_2 = \hat{b} - b \quad (23)$$

$$\alpha_3 = \hat{c} - c \quad (23)$$

$$\alpha_4 = \hat{d} - d \quad (23)$$

The derivative of the Lyapunov function gives:

$$\dot{V} = \sum_i^4 \dot{\Psi}_i \dot{\Psi}_i + \sum_i^4 \dot{\alpha}_i \alpha_i \quad (24)$$

Using (16) and (23) in (24) results in (25)

$$\dot{V} = \Psi_i[\dot{\alpha}_1(\dot{y}_2 - \dot{y}_1) + y_4 - ax_2 + ax_4 - x_1 + u_1 + k_i e_i \frac{p_i}{p_i}]
+ \Psi_i[\dot{\alpha}_2(y_1y_2 - c_2 + x_1 + x_i + u_2 + k_i e_i \frac{p_i}{p_i}]
+ \Psi_i[\dot{\alpha}_3(-\dot{y}_1y_3 + bx_3 - x_1x_2 + u_3 + k_i e_i \frac{p_i}{p_i}]
+ \Psi_i[\dot{\alpha}_4(0.5y_3y_4 - dx_4 - 0.5x_1x_3 + u_4 + k_i e_i \frac{p_i}{p_i}]
+ \alpha_1 \dot{\alpha}_1 + \alpha_2 \dot{\alpha}_2 + \alpha_3 \dot{\alpha}_3 + \alpha_4 \dot{\alpha}_4 \quad (25)$$

791
which leads after some basic mathematical manipulations to (26):

\[
\dot{V} = \Psi[u + \hat{a}(e_1 - e_2) + e_4 + k_4 e_4^p] \\
+ \Psi_2[u_2 + \hat{c}e_2 - y_1 y_1 + x_1 x_1 + k_2 e_2^p] \\
+ \Psi_3[u_3 - \hat{b}e_3 + y_2 y_2 - x_2 x_2 + k_3 e_3^p] \\
+ \Psi_4[\hat{d}e_4 + 0.5 y_3 y_3 - 0.5 x_3 x_3 + u_4 + k_4 e_4^p] \\
+ \alpha_1(\psi(x_2 - x_1) + \hat{a}) + \alpha_2(\psi_2 x_2 + \hat{c}) \\
+ \alpha_3(-\psi, x_3 + \hat{b}) + \alpha_4(\psi_4, x_1 + \hat{d})
\]  

(26)

Making use of (20), (21) in (26) permits to write:

\[
\dot{V} = -\frac{\psi_1^2}{T_1} - \frac{\psi_2^2}{T_2} - \frac{\psi_3^2}{T_3} - \frac{\psi_4^2}{T_4}
\]  

(27)

where, \(T_i > 0, i = 0, \ldots, 4\).

Thus, one concludes:

\[
\dot{V} = 0
\]  

(28)

It’s obvious that (28) confirms the stability of the system.

5. SIMULATION RESULTS

Numerical simulations are carried out to assess the proposed method performance; the parameters of the Zhou system for hyperchaotic behavior are: \(a = 35, b = 3, c = 12, d = 1\).

Two different sets of initial conditions are chosen:

\[x_1(0) = 25, x_2(0) = -16, x_3 = (0)20, x_4(0) = -30 \] for the master system (11) and:
\[y_1(0) = 14, y_2(0) = 28, y_3(0) = -10, y_4(0) = 6 \] for the slave system.

Initial values for the parameter estimates are taken as: \(\hat{a} = 6, \hat{b} = 10, \hat{c} = 20, \hat{d} = 15\). The synergetic control parameters used are \(T = 100, k_i = 30, (i=1, \ldots, 4)\).

A comparative study is realized using identical initial conditions between the proposed method and a sliding mode controller. Simulation results are shown in Figure 2 for the synchronization of states of the two identical hyperchaotic Zhou systems, where was it noted that the states of the slave systems in terminal synergetic control are synchronized with the master system faster than the states of SMC.

In Figure 3 the simulation responses of errors of proposed methods present a faster convergence to zero than the sliding mode control errors. Figure 4 shows the parameter estimates of the slave system with terminal synergetic control do converge to parameter values in the master system with faster responses and less oscillations than SMC.

These tables recapitulate the comparison between the two methods TSC and SMC.

![Figure 2. Synchronization of the states with SMC and TSC](image-url)
Figure 3. Synchronization of the errors with SMC and TSC

Figure 4. Comparison of the parameter estimates $\hat{a}$, $\hat{b}$, $\hat{c}$, $\hat{d}$ between SMC and TSC

Table 1. Comparison of the response time of states between TSC and SMC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods/response time of states</th>
<th>TSC</th>
<th>SMC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x_1, y_1$</td>
<td>0.031 sec</td>
<td>0.26 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_2, y_2$</td>
<td>0.047 sec</td>
<td>0.17 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_3, y_3$</td>
<td>0.046 sec</td>
<td>0.19 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_4, y_4$</td>
<td>0.059 sec</td>
<td>0.18 sec</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Comparison of error response time between the two methods TSC and SMC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods/response time of errors</th>
<th>TSC</th>
<th>SMC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$E_1$</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_2$</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_3$</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_4$</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. CONCLUSION

In this paper, an adaptive synergetic terminal approach has been proposed in a synchronization process of two hyperchaotic Zhou systems. Accordingly, the system model used was dismantled, then two identical hyperchaotic systems were synchronized using adaptive terminal synergetic control; provided that the system parameters are unknown. Finally, the findings were compared to sliding mode control results. The simulation outcomes show the prevalence of the synergetic approach over the sliding mode control. Indeed, two identical hyperchaotic systems have been synchronized using adaptive terminal synergetic control assuming unknown system parameters with good overall performance.
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