Design of Antenna Array for Ku-Band Wireless Application
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**ABSTRACT**

The antenna is the back bone of communication. In recent time, it needs to communicate in many ways along with different types of data such as voice, video, text etc. A long distance communication satellite needs a seamless transmission. The antenna design for satellite communication is to be array type to avoid the communication failure. This insists to work with array antenna to fulfil the seamless communication through satellite. Further the antenna design depends on the geometry of the patch, the placement of the patch for better gain and bandwidth. In this paper, authors have chosen the circular patch due to its single degree of freedom. The antenna is compact and is of 30X30mm² where substrate thickness is considered as 1.6mm. The central patch is of rectangular shape with two slots. Slot is made because of better bandwidth. It is cut diagonally at the corner. As a result, the bandwidth is increased to 2.4GHz with a gain of 5.68dB. The substrate is considered to be FR4 Epoxy. The proposed design satisfies the compactness along with the satellite communication band with satisfactory gain. Simulation results compromise with the measured value. A 3X3 array of circular parasitic elements is considered. It is found that the antenna performs well at 14GHz that is meant for Ku band. The radius of the parasitic patch is considered as 4mm to satisfy the less space with good performance.

1. **INTRODUCTION**

Microstrip antennas have a wide application in the field of microwave, radar, military and satellite communication system [1-3]. Among these the popularity of wireless communication has increased tremendously day-by-day with user’s requirement. The performance of the antenna depends on patch shape, size, presence of different types of slots and the presence of parasitic element as well [4, 5].

The parasitic patches were used for increasing the bandwidth of the antenna through gap coupling. The overall return loss was resulted as the superposition of the responses of the resonators. With an adjustment of feed location and parameters of the patches a wide bandwidth was achieved [6]. Also, presence of slots in Microstrip antenna was used for dual band purpose. The circular slots were useful for providing an improved bandwidth and gain [7]. Array antenna worked as a single antenna to transmit and receive radio waves. The array structure was used to achieve high gain and bandwidth. Different types of array antennas were utilized for a variety of application [8-10]. A compact 1X3 array of rectangular patches was analysed for millimetre wave communication at 33.5 GHz. Two types of array, one with centre feed and another with end feed were proposed. The centre fed array had a bandwidth of 1.21GHz with a gain of 4.73dB where as the end fed array had a bandwidth of 0.98GHz and a gain of 3.48dB [11]. Gap coupled array antenna was analysed for wide bandwidth in two elements and four elements [12]. There was a significant improvement both in VSWR, radiation performance and bandwidth characteristics as compared to the conventional microstrip array. The input impedance and resonant frequency were dependent on the gap length and dielectric constant of the substrate [13]. In ref. [14], a four element MIMO antenna was proposed with E shaped patch at 5.8GHz. Though the antenna had a low mutual coupling, it was not suitable for compact MIMO design. Smart antenna with rectangular array was also designed for wideband application [15]. For the application in higher frequency band circular patch elements in the form of array was simulated and fabricated for satellite communication [16]. A 1X4 circular patch array was analysed for altimeter system application in C band [17]. Circular patch array was also considered for rectenna application with high directivity. The inset fed patches were suitable for developing a long distance wireless DC charger [18]. Sectorial patch array was proposed for X band considering the circular element as parasitic. The gap coupled array had wide band, high directivity and low mutual coupling [19]. On the other hand, to reduce the antenna size and increase the bandwidth a beveled circular patch in the form of 2X2 array was suggested for MIMO system [20]. In [21], a 3X3 array was designed by gap coupling the half E shaped patches with proximity fed rectangular patches to achieve high gain and broad radiation pattern. The antenna was suitable for multipath propagation with minimum signal loss due to polarisation. Another gap coupled 2X 1 array was proposed for WLAN and WiMAX application with a slot and a metal reflector at the back of the ground plane. The antenna was used for a portion of WiMAX region [22]. Adaptive antenna array with E slots were designed for high gain and low bandwidth at 3.6GHz. The antenna had a larger gain value with the increase in number of slots in it. The design was suitable for 5G S band application with a limitation of...
operation for time varying users and a number of simultaneous users [23]. Authors in ref. [24] proposed an array with fractal geometry and EBG structure for mutual coupling reduction. The antenna had a moderate bandwidth and gain value. Wideband microstrip arrays were designed using reactive impedance surface and partially reflecting surface. The antenna provided a gain of 12.5 dBi with a bandwidth of 1.42GHz. The antenna had a complex structure to achieve the gain [25]. The concept of defective ground structure was also considered for an array antenna. The antenna was resonated at 10GHz with a bandwidth of 1.652 GHz and a gain of 8.96dB. The E plane array had a drawback of possessing high excitation of surface wave [26]. DGS was also used for miniaturized microstrip array design for the operation in S band considering the substrate as RT duroid. The 1X2 E shaped patch element array provided a bandwidth of 120MHz and a gain of 4.8dB [27]. Defective ground structure was considered for reducing mutual coupling in the array with a slight increase in the back lobe level [28].

The structure and placement of the patch determines the antenna performance in various frequency range. In ref. [16], 36 circular elements were considered for ku band application. The patches were connected through corporate feeding with a dimension of 7.2cmX7.2cm. The radius of the circular patch was determined as 2.29cm. Another array antenna with circular patches was discussed for C band application. The array consists of 4 patches with a probe feed [17]. An array with two circular patches having radius of 23.77mm each was analysed for rectenna application at 2.41 GHz [18]. A proximity fed gap coupled array antenna was designed for WLAN and WiMAX application. The 2x1 array consists of four rectangular patches where two lower layers are the driven elements and upper layer acts as parasitic patches. To enhance the performance a dumbbell shaped slot was etched on the ground plane. The array had an increase both in bandwidth and gain along with dual band operation [22]. Eight inverted E shaped patches as an array were considered with separate excitation port for adaptive antenna system. The gain of the array increased to 15.1 dB for 5G S band adaptive array application resonating at 3.6GHz [23].

The proposed work describes a 3X3 array with circular parasitic patches. The array is composed of a central patch of dimension 11X11mm² and eight numbers of circular patches of radius 4mm each. The coaxial feed is connected to the square patch with cut in the corners. The antenna is fabricated on a FR-4 epoxy substrate of thickness 1.6mm. The ground plane in the design is a square with a dimension of 30mmX30mm². The proposed design is simulated using HFSS and it is fabricated for validation. The antenna achieves a Ku band application and has higher bandwidth of 2.4GHz.

The organization of paper is as follows: Section 2 describes the design method of the antenna. Section 3 discusses the result and Section 4 concludes this piece of work.

2. DESIGN METHOD

2.1 Antenna design

The design of antenna with the choice of the substrate material and the geometry is proposed in this work. FR4 material is considered in the proposed design due to its flexibility for thinner substrate thickness at higher frequencies. The thickness of the substrate is considered as 1.6mm. The central patch in the design is cut at the two corners with two slots to improve the radiation. The array consists of eight numbers of parasitic patches of circular shape around the rectangular patch.

2.2 Need of parasitic patches

Parasitic patches are responsible for enhancing the bandwidth of the antenna. In general, these elements are present nearer to the centre patch. The feed at all the times is connected with the centre patch. The performance of the antenna changes with the change in the parameters of the patch. The patch may be of any geometrical shape. But rectangular and circular parasitic patches are widely used to increase the efficiency. Advantage of using circular patch over rectangular patch is the degree of freedom. The circular parasitic patch is used to increase the impedance bandwidth of the antenna array. The circular patches as compared with parabolic patches have small dimension, light weight and slim size [15].

2.3 Design formulation

Rectangular and circular patches are considered for the proposed design. The geometry of the patches is considered with the type of material and necessity frequency.

2.3.1 Central rectangular patch

To find Ku band frequency the resonant frequency is chosen as 14GHz. Based on the objective the following relation is given. The resonant frequency of the microstrip antenna is determined by [3].

\[ f_r = \frac{c}{2L\sqrt{\varepsilon_r}} \]  

(1)

The dimension of the rectangular patch is calculated by specifying the dielectric constant (\(\varepsilon_r\)), resonant frequency (\(f_r\)) and height of the substrate (h).

The width (W) of the rectangular patch is calculated by [3],

\[ W = \frac{c}{2f_r\sqrt{\varepsilon_r+1}} \]  

(2)

where, c = speed of light in free space.

The effective dielectric constant (\(\varepsilon_{eff}\)) is given by,

\[ \varepsilon_{eff} = \frac{\varepsilon_r+1+\varepsilon_r-1}{2} \left[ 1+12\frac{h}{W} \right]^{1/2} \]  

(3)

For the performance study and measure the effective length (\(L_{eff}\)) is evaluated as,

\[ L_{eff} = \frac{c}{2f_r\sqrt{\varepsilon_{eff}}} \]  

(4)

The length extension (\(\Delta L\)) is described as,

\[ \Delta L = 0.412h \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varepsilon_{eff} + 0.3 \frac{w}{h} + 0.264 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varepsilon_{eff} - 0.258 \frac{w}{h} + 0.8 \end{array} \right\} \end{array} \right\} \]  

(5)
The length \((L)\) of the rectangular patch is given by,

\[
L = L_{\text{eff}} - 2\Delta L
\]  

(6)

The schematic diagram of the central patch is given in Figure 1.

**Figure 1.** Schematic diagram of central patch

### 2.3.2 Circular parasitic patch

The circular patch is considered as the popular patch other than rectangular patch in the design of microstrip antenna array. Rectangular patch design depends on the fringing effect which makes the patch to look electrically larger. For the same reason, a length correction \((\Delta L)\) is considered. Similarly, for circular patch a correction is introduced in terms of effective radius \(r_e\) \cite{3}.

The effective radius is given as,

\[
r_e = r \sqrt{1 + \frac{2h}{\pi\varepsilon_r} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{\pi r}{2h} \right) + 1.7726 \right]}
\]  

(7)

where, 
- \(r\) = physical radius of the circular patch
- \(h\) = thickness of the substrate
- \(\varepsilon_r\) = dielectric constant

The resonant frequency is given by,

\[
f_o = \frac{1.8412c}{2\pi r_e \sqrt{\varepsilon_r}}
\]  

(8)

From Eq. (6) and Eq. (7), the actual radius of the circular patch is described as,

\[
r = \frac{F}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{2h}{\pi\varepsilon_r} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{\pi F}{2h} \right) + 1.7726 \right]}}
\]  

(9)

where,

\[
F = \frac{8.791\times10^6}{f_o \sqrt{\varepsilon_r}}
\]

### 2.4 Geometry of the proposed model

#### 2.4.1 Design steps

The array is designed as per the following steps.

1. At first a substate of 30X30X1.6mm\(^3\) was created. The substrate material is considered as FR4.
2. The ground plane was placed just below the substrate.
3. A rectangular patch of dimension 11X11mm\(^2\) was placed at the centre.
4. Two corner slots of dimension 3.9X3.9mm\(^2\) were cut from the patch.
5. Two inner slots of dimension 3.8X3.8mm\(^2\) were then cut from the rectangular patch.
6. Eight numbers of circular parasitic patches of radius 4mm with a gap of 9.75mm between the centres of adjacent circles were placed around the centre patch.
7. The coaxial feed is given in the central patch.

The design procedure is presented in Figure 2.
Figure 3 represents the proposed antenna design. The array is consisting of eight numbers of parasitic patches. Parasitic patches are basically used to overcome the limitation of microstrip antenna by increasing the bandwidth. The bandwidth enhancement is achievable by gap coupling, where the parasitic patch is excited through the gap present within it. In this design, circular patches are present with a gap of 9.75mm between the centres of adjacent circles to achieve a wider bandwidth. The parameters of the antenna array are listed in Table 1. The design of the antenna is realized with ANSOFT HFSS.

![Figure 3. Geometry of proposed 3X3 array](image)

Table 1. Dimension parameter of proposed antenna

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Substrate material</td>
<td>FR4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss tangent</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substrate length (a)</td>
<td>30mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substrate width (a)</td>
<td>30mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substrate thickness</td>
<td>1.6mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centre patch length(L)</td>
<td>11mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centre patch width(W)</td>
<td>11mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius of parasitic patch(c)</td>
<td>4mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length and width of corner slot(b)</td>
<td>3.9mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length and width of inner slot(d)</td>
<td>3.8mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed type</td>
<td>Coaxial feed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

For the verification of the simulation result, one prototype of the antenna array is fabricated. Figure 4 and Figure 5 show the front view and back view of the fabricated antenna.

![Figure 4. Front View of proposed array](image)

![Figure 5. Back View of proposed array](image)

The VSWR of the antenna is represented in Figure 6. For the proper operation of antenna, the VSWR value must lie in the range of 1 to 2. Here the antenna resonates at 14GHz satisfying the standard.

![Figure 6. VSWR plot of the proposed array](image)

The VSWR plot of the proposed array

The radiation pattern and the gain of the antenna are shown in Figure 8 and Figure 9. The radiation pattern shows the antenna as omnidirectional. The gain is measured as 5.68 dB. The performance in terms of return loss and bandwidth among the simulated and implemented array antenna is given in Table 2.

![Figure 7. Impedance bandwidth plot of the proposed array](image)

The impedance bandwidth plot of the proposed array

The comparison of this proposed array with earlier designs is given in Table 3 for observation and evaluation of the proposed work. The proposed antenna resonates at 14GHz with a wider bandwidth of 2.4GHz and gain of 5.68dB.
4. CONCLUSION

The paper presents an efficient bandwidth enhancement for the parasitic array. Also, it exhibits a gain of 5.68 dB at 14 GHz making it convenient for application in Ku band. It has good immunity of signals in case of multipath propagation. To control the antenna’s characteristics there is only one degree of freedom (radius of the patch) for which it is most suitable in wireless communication. The outcome specifies that the proposed antenna will perform better in the desired frequency range as compared to other antennas in the same design process.
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