$AMSE\ JOURNALS-AMSE\ IIETA\ publication-2017-Series:\ Advances\ A;\ Vol.\ 54;\ N^{\circ}2;\ pp\ 373-383$

Submitted Apr. 08, 2017; Revised Jun. 10, 2017; Accepted Jun. 29, 2017

https://doi.org/10.18280/ama_a.540218

New Practical Determination of Non-Singular H-Matrices

Chunyu Yang*, Shuping Pan

Public Mathematics, School of Science, Jilin Institute of Chemical Technology, Jilin 132022,

China (175966172@qq.com)

Abstract

The sufficient conditions for determining the non-singular H-matrices were given by applying

the theory of diagonally dominant matrix. Then, the results of a recent study were promoted and

improved with such conditions. Through the analysis of a numerical example, the proposed

conditions were proved as more applicable than those in the reference.

Key words

Non-singular H-matrix, Diagonal dominance, Irreducibility, Chain of nonzero elements.

1. Introduction

H-matrices have been extensively applied in fields like cybernetics, power system, economic

mathematics and elastic mechanics. However, it is very difficult to determine such matrices in real

practice. Much research has been done on the determination of H-matrices. For instance, Reference

[1] improved some existing determination results with a novel method. The determination

conditions were updated here to further improve the main results in Reference.

2. Analysis

Let $M_N(c)$ be a set of complex matrices of order n, and $N = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$.

It is assumed that

373

$$A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(c), \ \Lambda_i(A) = \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|.$$

$$N_1 = \{i \in N : 0 < |a_{ii}| = \Lambda_i(A)\}$$

$$N_2 = \{i \in N : 0 < |a_{ii}| < \Lambda_i(A)\}$$

$$N_3 = \{i \in N : |a_{ii}| > \Lambda_i(A)\}$$

It is clear that $N = N_1 \cup N_2 \cup N_3$ and $N_1 \cap N_2 \cap N_3 = \emptyset$.

Suppose $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(c)$. If $|a_{ii}| > \Lambda_i(A)$ and $\forall i \in N$, then A is a strictly diagonally dominant matrix and $A \in D$. If there exists a diagonally dominant positive-definite matrix X such that $AX \in D$, then A is a generalized strictly diagonally dominant matrix (i.e. A is a non-singular H-matrix), denoted as $A \in D$.

It is well known that if A is a non-singular H-matrix, there is at least a strictly diagonally dominant row, i.e. $N_3 = \emptyset$. Given that $N_1 \cap N_2 = \emptyset$, it is possible to derive that $A \in D$. Hence, it is assumed that $N_1 \cap N_2 = \emptyset$ and $N_3 = \emptyset$ in this research. The diagonal elements of the matrices here are set to nonzero because none of the main diagonal elements in a non-singular H-matrix is zero.

Definition 1

Assuming that $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(c)$ is an irreducible matrix satisfying $|a_{ii}| \ge \Lambda_i(A)(i \in N)$, and that at least one strict inequality holds, A is an irreducible diagonally dominant matrix.

Definition 2

Suppose $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(c)$ satisfies $|a_{ii}| \ge \Lambda_i(A)(i \in N)$, and at least one strict inequality holds. For the i that is true for each equation, if there exists a chain of nonzero elements $a_{ij_1}a_{j_1j_2}...a_{j_{k-1}j_k} \ne 0$ such that $|a_{j_kj_k}| > \Lambda_{j_k}(A)$, then A is a diagonally dominant matrix with a chain of nonzero elements.

Lemma 1

Assuming that $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(c)$, if A is an irreducible diagonally dominant matrix, then A is a non-singular H-matrix.

Lemma 2

Assuming that $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(c)$, if A is a diagonally dominant matrix with a chain of nonzero elements, then A is a non-singular H-matrix.

Reference gives the following definition when $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(c)$:

$$r = \max_{i \in N_3} \left(\frac{\sum_{t \in N_1} |a_{it}| + \sum_{t \in N_2} |a_{it}|}{|a_{ii}| - \sum_{\substack{t \in N_3 \\ t \neq i}} |a_{it}|} \right),$$

$$P_i(A) = \sum_{t \in N_1} |a_{it}| + \sum_{t \in N_2} |a_{it}| + r \sum_{\substack{t \in N_3 \ t \neq i}} |a_{it}|$$
, $(i \in N_3)$ and proposes the following theorem:

Assuming that $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(c)$, for any $i \in N_2$, there is

$$\left|a_{ii}\right| > \frac{\Lambda_{i}\left(A\right)}{\Lambda_{i}\left(A\right) - \left|a_{ii}\right|} \left[\sum_{t \in N_{1}} \left|a_{it}\right| + \sum_{t \in N_{2}} \left|a_{it}\right| \frac{\Lambda_{t}\left(A\right) - \left|a_{it}\right|}{\Lambda_{t}\left(A\right)} + \sum_{t \in N_{2}} \left|a_{it}\right| \frac{P_{t}\left(A\right)}{\left|a_{it}\right|} \right] \text{ and } \left|a_{ii}\right| \neq \sum_{\substack{t \in N_{1} \\ t \neq i}} \left|a_{it}\right|, \ \forall i \in N_{1},$$

then A is a non-singular H-matrix.

To improve the theorem, a new set of sufficient conditions were provided with a wider determination scope. For this purpose, it is defined that:

$$M = \max_{\substack{i \in N_2 \\ i \in N_3}} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_i(A) - |a_{ii}|}{\Lambda_i(A)}, \frac{\Lambda_j(A)}{|a_{jj}|} \right\}$$

$$K = \max_{i \in 3} \left(\frac{M \sum_{t \in N_1} |a_{it}| + \sum_{t \in N_2} |a_{it}| \frac{\Lambda_t(A) - |a_{it}|}{\Lambda_t(A)}}{|a_{ii}| - \sum_{\substack{t \in N_3 \\ t \neq i}} |a_{it}|} \right)$$

$$R_{i}(A) = M \sum_{t \in N_{1}} |a_{it}| + \sum_{t \in N_{2}} |a_{it}| \frac{\Lambda_{t}(A) - |a_{it}|}{\Lambda_{t}(A)} + K \sum_{\substack{t \in N_{3} \\ t \neq i}} |a_{it}| \quad (i \in N_{3}).$$

By the definitions above, $0 < \mu < 1, k \le r$. Since $\forall i \in N_3$, there is $R_i(A) \le P_i(A)$

Theorem 1

Assuming that $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(c)$, and for any $i \in N_2$, there is

$$|a_{ii}| > \frac{\Lambda_{i}(A)}{\Lambda_{i}(A) - |a_{ii}|} M \sum_{t \in N_{1}} |a_{it}| + \sum_{\substack{t \in N_{2} \\ t \neq i}} |a_{it}| \frac{\Lambda_{t}(A) - |a_{tt}|}{\Lambda_{t}(A)} + \sum_{t \in N_{3}} |a_{it}| \frac{R_{t}(A)}{|a_{tt}|}$$

$$(1)$$

and $|a_{ii}| \neq \sum_{t \in N_1 \atop t \neq i} |a_{it}|$, $\forall i \in N_1$, then A is a non-singular H-matrix.

Proof:

By the definition of K, it is obtained that:

$$K|a_{ii}| \ge M \sum_{t \in N_1} |a_{it}| + \sum_{\substack{t \in N_2 \\ t \ne i}} |a_{it}| \frac{\Lambda_t(A) - |a_{it}|}{\Lambda_t(A)} + \sum_{t \in N_3} |a_{it}| = R_i(A)$$

Hence

$$K \ge \frac{R_i(A)}{|a_{ii}|} \quad (i \in N_3)$$

The following can be derived based on equation (1):

$$|a_{ii}| \frac{\Lambda_{i}(A) - |a_{ii}|}{\Lambda_{i}(A)} - M \sum_{t \in N_{1}} |a_{it}| - \sum_{\substack{t \in N_{2} \\ t \neq i}} |a_{it}| \frac{\Lambda_{t}(A) - |a_{tt}|}{\Lambda_{t}(A)} - \sum_{t \in N_{3}} |a_{it}| \frac{R_{t}(A)}{|a_{tt}|} > 0$$
(3)

Whereas $R_i(A) < \Lambda_i(A)$ and $M \ge \frac{\Lambda_i(A)}{|a_{ii}|}$ under $\forall i \in N_3$, there is

$$M > \frac{R_i\left(A\right)}{\left|a_{ii}\right|} \tag{4}$$

Equations (3) and (4) demonstrate the existence of the sufficiently small

$$M > \frac{R_i(A)}{|a_{ii}|} + \varepsilon (i \in N_3) \tag{5}$$

$$\left|a_{ii}\right| \frac{\Lambda_{i}(A) - \left|a_{ii}\right|}{\Lambda_{i}(A)} - M \sum_{t \in N_{1}} \left|a_{it}\right| - \sum_{t \in N_{2}} \left|a_{it}\right| \frac{\Lambda_{t}(A) - \left|a_{ii}\right|}{\Lambda_{t}(A)} - \sum_{t \in N_{3}} \left|a_{it}\right| \frac{R_{t}(A)}{\left|a_{tt}\right|} > \varepsilon \sum_{t \in N_{3}} \left|a_{it}\right| \quad (i \in N_{2})$$
(6)

Let us construct a diagonally dominant positive-definite matrix $X = diag(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$, where

$$x_{i} = \begin{cases} M, & i \in N_{1} \\ \frac{\Lambda_{i}(A) - |a_{ii}|}{\Lambda_{i}(A)}, i \in N_{2} \\ \frac{R_{i}(A)}{|a_{ii}|} + \varepsilon, & i \in N_{3} \end{cases}$$

Let
$$B = AX = (b_{ij})_{nxn}$$
.

Whereas
$$M \ge \frac{\Lambda_t(A) - |a_{tt}|}{\Lambda_t(A)} (i \in N_2)$$
 and $M > \frac{R_i(A)}{|a_{it}|} + \varepsilon (i \in N_3)$, for $\forall i \in N_1$,

$$\Lambda_{i}\left(B\right) = M \sum_{\substack{t \in N_{1} \\ t \neq i}} \left|a_{it}\right| + \sum_{t \in N_{2}} \left|a_{it}\right| \frac{\Lambda_{t}\left(A\right) - \left|a_{it}\right|}{\Lambda_{t}\left(A\right)} + \sum_{t \in N_{3}} \left|a_{it}\right| \left(\frac{R_{t}\left(A\right)}{\left|a_{it}\right|} + \varepsilon\right)$$

$$\leq M \sum_{t \in N_1 \atop t \neq i} \left| a_{it} \right| + M \sum_{t \in N_2} \left| a_{it} \right| + M \sum_{t \in N_2} \left| a_{it} \right| = M \Lambda_i \left(A \right) = M \left| a_{ii} \right| = \left| b_{ii} \right|$$

According to equation (6), for $\forall i \in N_2$,

$$\begin{split} &\Lambda_{i}(B) = M \sum_{t \in N_{1}} \left| a_{it} \right| + \sum_{t \in N_{2}} \left| a_{it} \right| \frac{\Lambda_{t}(A) - \left| a_{it} \right|}{\Lambda_{t}(A)} + \sum_{t \in N_{3}} \left| a_{it} \right| \left(\frac{R_{t}(A)}{\left| a_{it} \right|} + \varepsilon \right) \\ &= M \sum_{t \in N_{1}} \left| a_{it} \right| + \sum_{\substack{t \in N_{2} \\ t \neq i}} \left| a_{it} \right| \frac{\Lambda_{t}(A) - \left| a_{it} \right|}{\Lambda_{t}(A)} + \sum_{t \in N_{3}} \left| a_{it} \right| \frac{R_{t}(A)}{\left| a_{it} \right|} + \varepsilon \sum_{t \in N_{3}} \left| a_{it} \right| \\ &< M \sum_{t \in N_{1}} \left| a_{it} \right| + \sum_{\substack{t \in N_{2} \\ t \neq i}} \left| a_{it} \right| \frac{\Lambda_{t}(A) - \left| a_{it} \right|}{\Lambda_{t}(A)} + \sum_{t \in N_{3}} \left| a_{it} \right| \frac{R_{t}(A)}{\left| a_{it} \right|} + \left| a_{it} \right| \frac{\Lambda_{t}(A) - \left| a_{it} \right|}{\Lambda_{t}(A)} \\ &- M \sum_{t \in N_{1}} \left| a_{it} \right| - \sum_{t \in N_{2}} \left| a_{it} \right| \frac{\Lambda_{t}(A) - \left| a_{it} \right|}{\Lambda_{t}(A)} - \sum_{t \in N_{3}} \left| a_{it} \right| \frac{\Lambda_{t}(A)}{\left| a_{it} \right|} = \left| a_{it} \right| \frac{\Lambda_{t}(A) - \left| a_{it} \right|}{\Lambda_{t}(A)} = \left| b_{it} \right| \end{split}$$

Whereas
$$K \ge \frac{R_{i}(A)}{|a_{ii}|}$$
, for $\forall i \in N_{3}$,
$$\Lambda_{i}(B) = M \sum_{t \in N_{1}} |a_{it}| + \sum_{t \in N_{2}} |a_{it}| \frac{\Lambda_{t}(A) - |a_{tt}|}{\Lambda_{t}(A)} + \sum_{\substack{t \in N_{3} \\ t \neq i}} |a_{it}| \left(\frac{R_{t}(A)}{|a_{tt}|} + \varepsilon\right)$$

$$= M \sum_{t \in N_{1}} |a_{it}| + \sum_{t \in N_{2}} |a_{it}| \frac{\Lambda_{t}(A) - |a_{tt}|}{\Lambda_{t}(A)} + \sum_{\substack{t \in N_{3} \\ t \neq i}} |a_{it}| \frac{R_{t}(A)}{|a_{tt}|} + \varepsilon \sum_{\substack{t \in N_{3} \\ t \neq i}} |a_{it}|$$

$$= M \sum_{t \in N_{1}} |a_{it}| + \sum_{t \in N_{2}} |a_{it}| \frac{\Lambda_{t}(A) - |a_{tt}|}{\Lambda_{t}(A)} + \sum_{\substack{t \in N_{3} \\ t \neq i}} |a_{it}| \frac{R_{t}(A)}{|a_{tt}|} + \varepsilon \sum_{\substack{t \in N_{3} \\ t \neq i}} |a_{it}|$$

$$\leq M \sum_{t \in N_{1}} |a_{it}| + \sum_{t \in N_{2}} |a_{it}| \frac{\Lambda_{t}(A) - |a_{tt}|}{\Lambda_{t}(A)} + K \sum_{\substack{t \in N_{3} \\ t \neq i}} |a_{it}| + \varepsilon \sum_{\substack{t \in N_{3} \\ t \neq i}} |a_{it}|$$

$$= R_{i}(A) + \varepsilon \sum_{\substack{t \in N_{3} \\ t \neq i}} |a_{it}| < R_{i}(A) + \varepsilon |a_{it}| = |a_{it}| \left(\frac{R_{i}(A)}{|a_{it}|} + \varepsilon\right) = |b_{it}|$$

By the theorem condition $|a_{ii}| \neq \sum_{t \in N_1 \atop t \neq i} |a_{it}| \ (\forall i \in N_1)$, for $\forall i \in N_1$, there exists $t \in N_2 \cup N_3$ such

that $a_{it} \neq 0$. In other words, for any vertex in N_1 that satisfies $|b_{ii}| = \Lambda_i(B)$, there is $b_{it} \neq 0$ such that $|b_{tt}| > \Lambda_t(B)$.

To sum up, B is a diagonally dominant matrix with a chain of nonzero elements. According to Lemma 2, B is a non-singular H-matrix, which, in turn, proves that A is a non-singular H-matrix.

Note: Under
$$0 < M < 1$$
 and $\forall i \in N_3$, there is: $0 \le \frac{R_i(A)}{|a_{ii}|} \le \frac{P_i(A)}{|a_{ii}|} < 1$,

Condition (1) of Theorem 1 contains the Condition (2.1) of Theorem 1 in Reference, indicating that the main results of Reference [1] have been improved. The improvement was validated by the numerical example in the subsequent section.

Theorem 2

Suppose $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(c)$ is an irreducible matrix. If, for any $i \in N_2$, there is

$$|a_{ii}| > \frac{\Lambda_{i}(A)}{\Lambda_{i}(A) - |a_{ii}|} M \sum_{t \in N_{1}} |a_{it}| + \sum_{\substack{t \in N_{2} \\ t \neq i}} |a_{it}| \frac{\Lambda_{t}(A) - |a_{tt}|}{\Lambda_{t}(A)} + \sum_{t \in N_{3}} |a_{it}| \frac{R_{t}(A)}{|a_{tt}|}$$

$$(7)$$

and at least one strict inequality holds in Equation (7), then A is a non-singular H-matrix.

Proof:

According to Equation (7), there is

$$|a_{ii}| \frac{\Lambda_{i}(A) - |a_{ii}|}{\Lambda_{i}(A)} \ge M \sum_{t \in N_{1}} |a_{it}| + \sum_{t \in N_{2} \atop t \neq i} |a_{it}| \frac{\Lambda_{t}(A) - |a_{tt}|}{\Lambda_{t}(A)} + \sum_{t \in N_{3}} |a_{it}| \frac{R_{t}(A)}{|a_{tt}|}, \tag{8}$$

Let us construct a diagonally dominant positive-definite matrix $X = diag(x_1, x_2, ... x_n)$, where

$$x_{i} = \begin{cases} M, & i \in N_{1} \\ \frac{\Lambda_{i}(A) - |a_{ii}|}{\Lambda_{i}(A)}, & i \in N_{2} \\ \frac{R_{i}(A)}{|a_{ii}|}, & i \in N_{3} \end{cases}$$

Let
$$B = AX = (b_{ij})_{nxn}$$
. Whereas $M \ge \frac{\Lambda_t(A) - |a_{tt}|}{\Lambda_t(A)} (t \in N_2)$, $M \ge \frac{R_t(A)}{|a_{tt}|} (t \in N_3)$, for $\forall i \in N_1$

$$\Lambda_i(B) = M \sum_{\substack{t \in N_1 \\ t \neq i}} |a_{it}| + \sum_{t \in N_2} |a_{it}| \frac{\Lambda_t(A) - |a_{tt}|}{\Lambda_t(A)} + \sum_{t \in N_3} |a_{it}| \frac{R_t(A)}{|a_{tt}|} \le M \sum_{\substack{t \in N_1 \\ t \neq i}} |a_{it}| + M \sum_{t \in N_2} |a_{it}| + M \sum_{t \in N_3} |a_{it}|$$

$$= M \Lambda_i(A) = M |a_{it}| = |b_{it}|$$

According to Equation (8), for $\forall i \in N_2$,

$$\Lambda_{i}(B) = M \sum_{t \in N_{1}} |a_{it}| + \sum_{\substack{t \in N_{2} \\ t \neq i}} |a_{it}| \frac{\Lambda_{t}(A) - |a_{it}|}{\Lambda_{t}(A)} + \sum_{t \in N_{2}} |a_{it}| \frac{R_{t}(A)}{|a_{it}|} \leq |a_{ii}| \frac{\Lambda_{i}(A) - |a_{ii}|}{\Lambda_{i}(A)} = |b_{ii}|$$

Since at least one strict inequality holds in Equation (7), there exists $i_0 \in N_2$ such that

$$\left|b_{i_0i_0}\right| > \Lambda_{i_0}(B)$$
. Whereas $K \ge \frac{R_i(A)}{|a_{ii}|}(i \in N_3)$, for $\forall i \in N_3$,

$$\Lambda_{i}(B) = M \sum_{t \in N_{1}} |a_{it}| + \sum_{t \in N_{2}} |a_{it}| \frac{\Lambda_{t}(A) - |a_{it}|}{\Lambda_{t}(A)} + \sum_{\substack{t \in N_{3} \\ t \neq i}} |a_{it}| \frac{R_{t}(A)}{|a_{it}|}$$

$$\leq M \sum_{t \in N_{1}} |a_{it}| + \sum_{t \in N_{2}} |a_{it}| \frac{\Lambda_{t}(A) - |a_{tt}|}{\Lambda_{t}(A)} + K \sum_{t \in N_{3}} |a_{it}| = R_{i}(A) = |a_{ii}| \frac{R_{i}(A)}{|a_{ii}|} = |b_{ii}|$$

The irreducibility of A reveals that B = AX is irreducible. According to Lemma 1, B is a non-singular H-matrix. Therefore, it is concluded that A is a non-singular H-matrix.

Theorem 3

Assuming that $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(c)$ and $|a_{ii}| \neq \sum_{\substack{t \in N_1 \\ t \neq i}} |a_{it}| (\forall i \in N_1)$. If, for $\forall i \in N_2$, there is

$$|a_{ii}| \ge \frac{\Lambda_{i}(A)}{\Lambda_{i}(A) - |a_{ii}|} M \sum_{t \in N_{1}} |a_{it}| + \sum_{\substack{t \in N_{2} \\ t \ne i}} |a_{it}| \frac{\Lambda_{t}(A) - |a_{tt}|}{\Lambda_{t}(A)} + \sum_{t \in N_{2}} |a_{it}| \frac{R_{t}(A)}{|a_{tt}|}$$

and for the i that is true for Equation (8), there exists a chain of nonzero elements $a_{ij_1}a_{j_1j_2}...a_{j_{k-1}j_k} \neq 0$ such that

$$\left|a_{kk}\right| > \frac{\Lambda_{k}\left(A\right)}{\Lambda_{k}\left(A\right) - \left|a_{kk}\right|} \left[M \sum_{t \in N_{1}} \left|a_{it}\right| + \sum_{\substack{t \in N_{2} \\ t \neq i}} \left|a_{it}\right| \frac{\Lambda_{t}\left(A\right) - \left|a_{it}\right|}{\Lambda_{t}\left(A\right)} + \sum \left|a_{it}\right| \frac{\Lambda_{t}\left(A\right)}{\left|a_{it}\right|} \right]$$

then, A is a non-singular H-matrix.

Proof:

Let us construct a diagonally dominant positive-definite matrix X similar to the proof of Theorem 2. It is easy to prove that, for $\forall i \in N$, there is $|b_{ii}| \geq \Lambda_i(B)$ and $J(B) = \{i \in N ||b_{ii}| > \Lambda_i(B)\} \neq \emptyset$, and, for vertex i satisfying $|b_{ii}| = \Lambda_i(B)$, there exists a chain of nonzero elements $b_{ir_1} a_{r_1 r_2} \dots a_{r_p j} \neq 0$ such that $i \in J(A)$. That is to say, B is a diagonally dominant

matrix with a chain of nonzero elements. According to Lemma 2, B is a non-singular H-matrix. Therefore, it is concluded that A is a non-singular H-matrix.

Note: It is clear that Theorems 2 and 3 here contain Theorems 2 and 3 in Reference [1].

3. Numerical Example

The following matrix is taken as an example:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 8 & 1 & 10 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 9 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 7 \end{pmatrix}$$

In this case, $N_1 = \{1, 2\}$, $N_2 = \{3\}$, $N_3 = \{4, 5\}$

Whereas
$$|a_{11}| = 3 \neq \sum_{\substack{t \in N_1 \\ t \neq 1}} |a_{1t}| = 1, |a_{22}| = 4 \neq \sum_{\substack{t \in N_1 \\ t \neq 2}} |a_{2t}| = 2$$

$$\frac{\Lambda_{3}(A)}{\Lambda_{3}(A) - |a_{33}|} \left[M\left(|a_{31}| + |a_{32}|\right) + |a_{34}| \frac{R_{4}(A)}{|a_{44}|} + |a_{35}| \frac{R_{5}(A)}{|a_{55}|} \right] = 3 \left(\frac{3}{7} + \frac{\frac{62}{63}}{9} + \frac{\frac{56}{63}}{7} \times 10 \right) = 5 \frac{240}{567} < 8 = |a_{33}|$$

Hence, *A* satisfies the condition in Theorem 1, indicating that it is a non-singular H-matrix. Whereas

$$\frac{\Lambda_{3}(A)}{\Lambda_{3}(A) - |a_{33}|} \left(|a_{31}| + |a_{32}| + |a_{34}| \frac{P_{4}(A)}{|a_{44}|} + |a_{35}| \frac{P_{5}(A)}{|a_{55}|} \right) = 3 \left(1 + \frac{\frac{7}{3}}{9} + 10 \times \frac{\frac{7}{3}}{7} \right) = 13 \frac{7}{9} > 8 = |a_{33}|$$

Thus, it is impossible to determine A with the theorems in Reference [1].

4. Annex

The $M, k, R_4(A), R_5(A), P_4(A), P_5(A), r$ in the example are calculated as follows: Whereas

$$\frac{\Lambda_3(A) - |a_{33}|}{\Lambda_3(A)} = \frac{1}{3}, \frac{\Lambda_4(A)}{|a_{44}|} = \frac{1}{3}, \frac{\Lambda_5(A)}{|a_{55}|} = \frac{3}{7}$$

Thus

$$M = \max_{\substack{i \in N_2 \\ j \in N_3}} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_i(A) - |a_{ii}|}{\Lambda_i(A)}, \frac{\Lambda_j(A)}{|a_{jj}|} \right\} = \frac{3}{7}$$

Whereas

$$\frac{M(|a_{41}| + |a_{42}|) + |a_{43}| \frac{\Lambda_3(A) - |a_{33}|}{\Lambda_3(A)}}{|a_{44}| - |a_{45}|} = \frac{\frac{3}{7} \times 2}{9 - 1} = \frac{3}{28}$$

$$\frac{M(|a_{51}| + |a_{52}|) + |a_{53}| \frac{\Lambda_3(A) - |a_{33}|}{\Lambda_3(A)}}{|a_{55}| - |a_{45}|} = \frac{\frac{3}{7} + \frac{1}{3}}{6} = \frac{8}{63}$$

Thus

$$K = \max_{i \in N_{3}} \left(\frac{M \sum_{i \in N_{1}} |a_{it}| + \sum_{i \in N_{2}} |a_{it}| \frac{\Lambda_{t}(A) - |a_{it}|}{\Lambda_{i}(A)}}{|a_{ii}| - \sum_{\substack{i \in N_{3} \\ t \neq i}} |a_{it}|} \right) = \frac{8}{63}$$

$$R_4 = M(|a_{41}| + |a_{42}|) + K|a_{45}| = \frac{3}{7}(1+1) + \frac{8}{63} = \frac{62}{63}$$

$$R_{5} = M\left(\left|a_{51}\right| + \left|a_{52}\right|\right) + \left|a_{53}\right| \frac{\Lambda_{3}(A) - \left|a_{33}\right|}{\Lambda_{3}(A)} + K\left|a_{54}\right| = \frac{3}{7} + 1 \times \frac{1}{3} + \frac{8}{63} \times 1 = \frac{56}{63}$$

Whereas

$$\frac{\left|a_{41}\right| + \left|a_{42}\right| + \left|a_{43}\right|}{\left|a_{44}\right| - \left|a_{45}\right|} = \frac{2}{8} = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$\frac{\left|a_{51}\right| + \left|a_{52}\right| + \left|a_{53}\right|}{\left|a_{55}\right| - \left|a_{54}\right|} = \frac{2}{6} = \frac{1}{3}$$

Thus

$$r = \max_{i \in N_3} \left(\frac{\sum_{i \in N_1} |a_{it}| + \sum_{i \in N_2} |a_{it}|}{|a_{ii}| - \sum_{\substack{i \in N_3 \\ t \neq i}} |a_{it}|} \right) = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$P_4(A) = |a_{41}| + |a_{42}| + |a_{43}| + r|a_{45}| = 2 + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{7}{3}$$

$$P_5(A) = |a_{51}| + |a_{52}| + |a_{53}| + r|a_{54}| = 2 + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{7}{3}$$

References

- 1. Q. Tuo, L. Zhu, J.Z. Liu, New determination conditions for non-singular H-matrices, 2008, Journal of Computational Mathematics, vol. 30, pp. 177-182.
- 2. R.S. Varga, On recurring theorems on diagonal dominance, 1976, Linear Algebra Appl., vol. 13, pp. 1-9.
- 3. M.X. Pang, Determinants and applications of generalized diagonally dominant matrices, 1985, Chinese Annals of Mathematics, vol. 6A, no. 3, pp. 323-330.
- A. Berman, R.J. Plemmons, Nonnegative matrices in the mathematical sciences, 1994, SIAM Press, Philadelphia, pp. 773-736.
- 4. Y.X. Sun, Improvement on a theorem by Ostrowski and its Applications, 1991, Northeast Math., vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 497-502.
- 5. S.X. Tian, Criteria conditions for generalized diagonally dominant matrices, 2007, Chinese Quarterly Journal of Mathematrucs, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 63-67.
- 6. R.A. Brualdi, Regions in the complex plane containing the eigenvalues of a matrix, 1994, Amer. Math. Monthly, vol. 101, pp. 975-985.
- 7. R.A. Horn, C.R. Johnson, Matrix Analysis, 1885, Cambridge University Press, New York.
- 8. J.Z. Liu, A.Q. He, A parallel characterization of H-matrices, 2009, International Journal Computer Math, vol. 86, no. 7, pp.1160-1166.
- 9. T.B. Gan, Simple Criteria for Nonsingular H-matrix, 2003, Linear Algebra Apply, no. 374, pp. 317-326.