











**Figure 5.** Loss reduction process when the new DG is installed at Bus 3

Figure 5 shows the optimal procedure of the suggested strategy, when newly wind drive is established at bus number 3.

Therefore primary active power loss of the coordination is nearly 12.45 MW. The elements flinch to meet when conduction takes eighty iterations. Lastly entire power loss of the arrangement is 12.017 MW.

## 6. CONCLUSION

Reactive power dispatch is a nonlinear advancement issue that contains both constant and discrete control factors. PSO is a heuristic global optimization algorithm that possess of high efficiency and robustness. PSO is less delicate to the complication of the objective functions. Therefore it shows enormous potential for solving reactive power dispatch problems. This article utilizes the IEEE 14 bus system as the test system. Both PSO technique and MATPOWER 5.1 toolbox are tested to reduce the real power loss in the power networks. Reactive power dispatch approach can significantly diminish the power loss in power systems and this method is both cost-effective and can be easily employed in real life. PSO algorithm shows excellent searching ability in solving nonlinear optimization problems. Applying PSO algorithm to address the reactive power dispatch problems is technical feasible and can achieve considerable economic benefits. The mature MATPOWER 5.1 are introduced to calculate power flow and manage the equality constraints in PSO based reactive power dispatch. The accuracy of the results and the robustness of the code get improved.

## REFERENCES

[1] Yoshida H, Kawata K, Fukuyama Y, Takayama S, Nakanishi Y. (2000). A particle swarm optimization for reactive power and voltage control considering voltage security assessment. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems* 15: 1232–1239. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAS.1968.292150>

[2] Das DB, Patvardhan C. (2002). Reactive power dispatch with a hybrid stochastic search technique. *International*

*Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems* 24(9): 731–736. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0142-0615\(01\)00085-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0142-0615(01)00085-0)

[3] Martinez-Rojas M, Sumper A, Gomis-Bellmunt O, Sudrià AA. (2011). Reactive power dispatch in wind farms using particle swarm optimization technique and feasible solutions search. *Applied Energy* 88(12): 4678–4686. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2011.06.010>

[4] Amrane Y, Boudour M, Ladjici AA, Elmaouhab A. (2015). Optimal VAR control for real power loss minimization using differential evolution algorithm. *International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems* 66: 262–271. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2014.10.018>

[5] Hong YY, Lin FJ, Lin YC, Hsu FY. (2014). Chaotic PSO-based var control considering renewables using fast probabilistic power flow. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery* 29: 1666–1674. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPWRD.2013.2285923>

[6] Aggelos, Bouhouras S, Kallisthenis, Sgouras I, Paschalis A, Gkaidatzis, Labridis DP. (2016). Optimal active and reactive nodal power requirements towards loss minimization under reverse power flow constraint defining DG type. *International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems* 78: 445–454. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2015.12.014>

[7] Kanna B, Singh SN. (2015). Towards reactive power dispatch within a wind farm using hybrid PSO. *International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems* 69: 232–240. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2015.01.021>

[8] Acharya N, Mahat P, Mithulananthan N. (2006). An analytical approach for DG allocation in primary distribution network. *International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems* 28(10): 669–678. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2006.02.013>

[9] Zhao B, Guo C, Cao Y. (2005). A multiagent-based particle swarm optimization approach for optimal reactive power dispatch. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems* 20: 1070–1078. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPWRS.2005.846064>

[10] Singh RP, Mukherjee V, Ghoshal S. (2015). Optimal reactive power dispatch by particle swarm optimization with an aging leader and challengers. *Applied Soft Computing* 29: 298–309. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2015.01.006>

[11] Kansal S, Kumar V, Tyagi B. (2013). Optimal placement of different type of DG sources in distribution networks. *International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems* 53: 752–760. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2013.05.040>

[12] Srivastava L, Singh H. (2015). Hybrid multi-swarm particle swarm optimisation based multi-objective reactive power dispatch. *IET Generation, Transmission and Distribution* 9(8): 727–739. <https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-gtd.2014.0469>

[13] Leeton U, Uthitsunthorn D, Kwannetr U, Sinsuphun N, Kulworawanichpong T. (2010). Power loss minimization using optimal power flow based on particle swarm optimization. *Proceedings of IEEE Conference on Electrical Engineering/Electronics Computer Telecommunications and Information Technology (ECTI-CON)*, pp. 440–444.

[14] Gaing ZL. (2003). Particle swarm optimization to

- solving the economic dispatch considering the generator constraints. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems* 18(3): 1187–1195.  
<https://doi.org/10.1109/TPWRS.2003.814889>
- [15] Vlachogiannis J, Lee K. (2006). A comparative study on particle swarm optimization for optimal steady-state performance of power systems. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems* 21: 1718–1728.  
<https://doi.org/10.1109/TPWRS.2006.883687>
- [16] Mishra R, Tapas K, Saha. (2018). Operation in distributed power generation scheme with transition of control between stand-alone and grid connected modes. *Modelling, Measurement and Control A* 91(2): 48-53.  
[https://doi.org/10.18280/mmc\\_a.910203](https://doi.org/10.18280/mmc_a.910203)
- [17] Kennedy J, Eberhar RC. (1995). Particle swarm optimization. *Proceedings of IEEE Conference on Neural Networks, IV*, Piscataway, NJ 1942-1948.