

Common fixed point theorems on cone metric spaces with c-Distance

Anil Kumar Dubey^{1*}, Mithilesh Deo Pandey¹, Ravi Prakash Dubey²

¹Department of Applied Mathematics, Bhilai Institute of Technology, Bhilai House, Durg, Chhattisgarh 491001, India

²Department of Mathematics, Dr. C. V. Raman University, Kota, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh 495113, India

Corresponding Author Email: anilkumardby70@gmail.com

https://doi.org/10.18280/ama_a.550403

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this paper is to prove common fixed point theorems by using the c-Distance in a cone metric space with different types of contractive conditions. Our theorem extends the contractive condition from constant real numbers to some control functions.

Received: 18 May 2018

Accepted: 15 October 2018

Keywords:

common fixed point, normal cone, c-Distance

1. INTRODUCTION

In 2007, Huang and Zhang [11] introduced the cone metric space. Later, many authors proved several fixed and common fixed point results in cone metric spaces (see [4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 17]). Recently, Wang and Guo [14] introduced the concept of c-Distance in a cone metric spaces, which is a cone version of w-Distance of Kada et al [13]. Afterward, large number of fixed point theorems were considered by other authors (see [1, 2, 3, 5, 15, 16, 17]). In this paper, we extend and generalize the results of Kaewkhao et al. [5], Rahimi et al. [7] and Young et al. [17]. Before presenting our theorems, we recall some notations, definitions and examples needed in our subsequent discussions.

Definition 1.1. [11] Let E be a real Banach space and P a subset of E . Then P is called a cone if and only if

- (a) P is closed, non-empty and $P \neq \{\theta\}$;
- (b) $a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a, b \geq 0, x, y \in P \Rightarrow ax + by \in P$;
- (c) if $x \in P$ and $-x \in P$, then $x = \theta$.

For any cone $P \subseteq E$, the partial ordering \preceq with respect to P is defined by $x \preceq y$ if and only if $y - x \in P$. The notation of $<$ stands for $x \preceq y$ but $x \neq y$. Also, we used $x \ll y$ to indicate that $y - x \in \text{int } P$, where $\text{int } P$ denotes the interior of P . A cone P is called normal if there exists a number K such that for all $x, y \in E$, $\theta \preceq x \preceq y$ implies $\|x\| \leq K \|y\|$.

The least positive number satisfying the above inequality is called the normal constant of P .

Definition 1.2. [11] Let X be a non-empty set and E be a real Banach space equipped with

the partial ordering \preceq with respect to the cone $P \subseteq E$.

Suppose that the mapping $d: X \times X \rightarrow E$ satisfies the following conditions:

- (d1) $\theta \preceq d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y) = \theta$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (d2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (d3) $d(x, y) \preceq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then d is called a cone metric on X and (X, d) is called a cone metric space.

Definition 1.3. [11] Let (X, d) be a cone metric space, $\{x_n\}$ a sequence in X and $x \in X$. Then

(1) $\{x_n\}$ converges to x if for every $c \in E$ with $\theta \ll c$ there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such

that $d(x_n, x) \ll c$ for all $n > n_0$. We denote this by $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = \theta$.

(2) $\{x_n\}$ is called a Cauchy sequence if for every $c \in E$ with $\theta \ll c$ there exists an

$n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x_n, x_m) \ll c$ for all $m, n > n_0$. We denote this by $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_m) = \theta$.

(3) If every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent, then X is called a complete cone metric space.

Lemma 1.4. [11] Let (X, d) be a cone metric space and P be a normal cone with constant K . Also, let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be sequences in X and $x, y \in X$. Then the following hold:

(1) $\{x_n\}$ converges to x if and only if $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow \theta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(2) If $\{x_n\}$ converges to x and $\{x_n\}$ converges to y , then $x = y$.

(3) If $\{x_n\}$ converges to x , then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

(4) If $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $y_n \rightarrow y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $d(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow d(x, y)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(5) $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence if and only if $d(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow \theta$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 1.5. [10, 16] Let E be a real Banach space with a cone P in E . Then, for all $u, v, w, c \in E$, the following hold:

(1) If $u \preceq v$ and $v \ll w$, then $u \ll w$.

(2) If $\theta \preceq u \ll c$ for each $c \in \text{int } P$, then $u = \theta$.

(3) If $u \preceq \lambda u$ where $u \in P$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$, then $u = \theta$.

(4) Let $x_n \rightarrow \theta$ in E , $\theta \preceq x_n$ and $\theta \ll c$. Then there exists positive integer n_0 such that $x_n \ll c$ for each $n > n_0$.

(5) If $\theta \preceq u \preceq v$ and k is a nonnegative real number, then $\theta \preceq ku \preceq kv$.

(6) If $\theta \preceq u_n \preceq v_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u_n \rightarrow u, v_n \rightarrow v$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\theta \preceq u \preceq v$.

Next, we give the notion of c-Distance on a cone metric space (X, d) of Wang and Guo in [14], which is a generalization of w-Distance of Kada et al. [13] and some properties.

Definition 1.6. [14] Let (X, d) be a cone metric space. Then a function $q: X \times X \rightarrow E$ is called a c-Distance on X if the following are satisfied:

- (q_1) $\theta \leq q(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (q_2) $q(x, z) \leq q(x, y) + q(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$;
- (q_3) for each $x \in X$ and $n \geq 1$, if $q(x, y_n) \leq u$ for some $u = u_x \in P$, then $q(x, y) \leq u$ whenever $\{y_n\}$ is a sequence in X converging to a point $y \in X$;
- (q_4) for all $c \in E$ with $\theta \ll c$, there exists $e \in E$ with $\theta \ll e$ such that $q(z, x) \ll e$ and $q(z, y) \ll e$ imply $d(x, y) \ll c$.

Example 1.7. [14] Let $E = \mathbb{R}$ and $P = \{x \in E: x \geq 0\}$. Let $X = [0, \infty)$ and define a mapping $d: X \times X \rightarrow E$ by $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then (X, d) is a cone metric space. Define a mapping $q: X \times X \rightarrow E$ by $q(x, y) = y$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then q is a c-Distance.

Remark 1.8. For c-Distance q , $q(x, y) = \theta$ is not necessarily equivalent to $x = y$ and $q(x, y) = q(y, x)$ does not necessarily hold for all $x, y \in X$.

Lemma 1.9. [5, 14, 15] Let (X, d) be a cone metric space and let q be a c-Distance on X . Also, let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be sequence in X and $x, y, z \in X$. Suppose that $\{u_n\}$ and $\{v_n\}$ are two sequences in P converging to θ . Then the following hold:

- (qp_1) If $q(x_n, y) \leq u_n$ and $q(x_n, z) \leq v_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $y = z$. Specifically, if $q(x, y) = \theta$ and $q(x, z) = \theta$ then $y = z$.
- (qp_2) If $q(x_n, y_n) \leq u_n$ and $q(x_n, z) \leq v_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\{y_n\}$ converges to z .
- (qp_3) If $q(x_n, x_m) \leq u_n$ for $m > n$, then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .
- (qp_4) If $q(y, x_n) \leq u_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

2. MAIN RESULT

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, d) be a cone metric space, P be a normal cone with constant K and q be a c-Distance. Also, let $f, g: X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings with $f(X) \subseteq g(X)$ and let $g(X)$ be a complete subspace of X . Suppose that there exist mappings $k, l, r: X \rightarrow [0, 1)$ such that the following conditions hold:

- (a) $k(fx) \leq k(gx), l(fx) \leq l(gx), r(fx) \leq r(gx)$ for all $x \in X$;
- (b) $(k + 2l + 2r)(x) < 1$ for all $x \in X$;
- (c) $q(fx, fy) \leq k(gx)q(gx, gy) + l(gx)[q(gx, fy) + q(gy, fx)] + r(gx)[q(gx, fx) + q(gy, fy)]$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (d) $q(fy, fx) \leq k(gy)q(gy, gx) + l(gy)[q(fy, gx) + q(fx, gy)] + r(gy)[q(fx, gx) + q(fy, gy)]$ for all $x, y \in X$.

If f and g satisfy $\inf\{\|q(fx, y)\| + \|q(gx, y)\| + \|q(gx, fx)\| : x \in X\} > 0$ for all $y \in X$ with $y \neq fy$ or $y \neq gy$, then f and g have a common fixed point in X .

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X . Since $f(X) \subseteq g(X)$ there exists a point $x_1 \in X$ such that $fx_0 = gx_1$. By induction we construct the sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$fx_n = gx_{n+1} \text{ for } n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \quad (2.1)$$

Now, set $x = x_{n-1}$ and $y = x_n$ in (c). Thus, by (q_2), for $n \geq 1$,

$$\text{we get } q(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) = q(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)$$

$$\begin{aligned} q(fx_{n-1}, fx_n) &\leq k(gx_{n-1})q(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + \\ &l(gx_{n-1})[q(gx_{n-1}, fx_n) + \\ &q(gx_n, fx_{n-1})] + r(gx_{n-1})[q(gx_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}) + \\ &q(fx_n, gx_n)] = k(fx_{n-2})q(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + \\ &l(fx_{n-2})[q(gx_{n-1}, gx_{n+1}) + q(gx_n, gx_n)] + \\ &r(fx_{n-2})[q(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + q(gx_{n+1}, gx_n)] \leq \\ &k(gx_{n-2})q(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + l(gx_{n-2})[q(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + \\ &q(gx_n, gx_{n+1})] + r(gx_{n-2})[q(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + \\ &q(gx_n, gx_{n+1})] \leq k(gx_0)q(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + \\ &l(gx_0)[q(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + q(gx_n, gx_{n+1})] \\ &+ r(gx_0)[q(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + q(gx_n, gx_{n+1})]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Similarly, set $x = x_{n-1}$ and $y = x_n$ in (d). Thus by (q_2), for $n \geq 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} q(fx_n, fx_{n-1}) &= q(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) \\ &\leq k(gx_n)q(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) + l(gx_n)[q(fx_n, gx_{n-1}) + \\ &q(fx_{n-1}, gx_n)] + r(gx_n)[q(fx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}) + \\ &q(fx_n, gx_n)] = k(fx_{n-1})q(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) + \\ &l(fx_{n-1})[q(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n-1}) + \\ &q(gx_n, gx_n)] + r(fx_{n-1})[q(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) + \\ &q(gx_{n+1}, gx_n)] \leq k(gx_{n-1})q(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) + \\ &l(gx_{n-1})[q(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) + q(gx_n, gx_{n-1})] + \\ &r(gx_{n-1})[q(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) + q(gx_{n+1}, gx_n)] \leq \\ &k(gx_0)q(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) + l(gx_0)[q(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) + \\ &q(gx_n, gx_{n-1})] \\ &+ r(gx_0)[q(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) + q(gx_{n+1}, gx_n)]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Adding up (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} q(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + q(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) &\leq (k(gx_0) + l(gx_0) + \\ &r(gx_0))[q(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + q(gx_n, gx_{n-1})] + (l(gx_0) \\ &+ r(gx_0))[q(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + q(gx_{n+1}, gx_n)]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Now, set $v_n = q(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + q(gx_{n+1}, gx_n)$ in (2.4), we have $v_n \leq (k(gx_0) + l(gx_0) + r(gx_0))v_{n-1} + (l(gx_0) + r(gx_0))v_n$.

So, $v_n \leq \mu v_{n-1}$ for all $n \geq 1$ with $\mu = \frac{k(gx_0) + l(gx_0) + r(gx_0)}{1 - l(gx_0) - r(gx_0)} < 1$.

Since $(k + 2l + 2r)(x) < 1$ for all $x \in X$.

Continuing this process, we get $v_n \leq \mu^n v_0$ for $n = 0, 1, 2$.

Thus

$$q(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) \leq v_n \leq \mu^n (q(gx_0, gx_1) + q(gx_1, gx_0)) \quad (2.5)$$

for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Now, for positive integer m and n with $m > n \geq 1$, it follows from (2.5) and $\mu < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q(gx_n, gx_m) &\leq q(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + q(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}) + \dots \\ &+ q(gx_{m-1}, gx_m) \leq (\mu^n + \mu^{n+1} + \dots \\ &- \mu^{m-1})(q(gx_0, gx_1) + q(gx_1, gx_0)) \leq \frac{\mu^n}{1 - \mu} (q(gx_0, gx_1) + \\ &q(gx_1, gx_0)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

From Lemma 1.9, we have $\{gx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since $g(X)$ is a complete subspace of X , there exists a point $z \in g(X)$ such that $gx_n \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By (2.6) and (q_3), we have $q(gx_n, z) \leq \frac{\mu^n}{1 - \mu} (q(gx_0, gx_1) + q(gx_1, gx_0))$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Since P is a normal cone with normal constant K , we have

$$\|q(gx_n, z)\| \leq K \frac{\mu^n}{1-\mu} \|q(gx_0, gx_1) + q(gx_1, gx_0)\|, n = 0, 1, 2, \quad (2.7)$$

And

$$\|q(gx_n, gx_m)\| \leq K \frac{\mu^n}{1-\mu} \|q(gx_0, gx_1) + q(gx_1, gx_0)\| \quad (2.8)$$

for all $m > n \geq 1$. If $fz \neq z$ or $gz \neq z$, then by the hypothesis (2.7) and (2.8) with

$$\begin{aligned} & m = n + 1, \text{ we have} \\ & 0 < \inf\{\|q(fx, z)\| + \|q(gx, z)\| + \|q(gx, fx)\| : x \in X\} \\ & \leq \inf\{\|q(fx_n, z)\| + \|q(gx_n, z)\| + \|q(gx_n, fx_n)\| \\ & : n \geq 1\} = \inf\{\|q(gx_{n+1}, z)\| + \|q(gx_n, z)\| + \|q(gx_n, gx_{n+1})\| : n \geq 1\} \\ & \leq \inf\{K \frac{\mu^{n+1}}{1-\mu} \|q(gx_0, gx_1) + q(gx_1, gx_0)\| + K \frac{\mu^n}{1-\mu} \|q(gx_0, gx_1) + q(gx_1, gx_0)\| \\ & + K \frac{\mu^n}{1-\mu} \|q(gx_0, gx_1) + q(gx_1, gx_0)\| : n \geq 1\} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, we can conclude that $z = fz = gz$. This completes the proof.

The following Corollary is obtained from Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.2. Let (X, d) be a cone metric space, P be a normal cone with constant K and q be a c -Distance on X . Suppose that the mappings $f, g: X \rightarrow X$ satisfy the following two contractive conditions:

$$(i) \quad q(fx, fy) \leq kq(gx, gy) + l[q(gx, fy) + q(gy, fx)] + r[q(gx, fx) + q(gy, fy)] \text{ for all } x, y \in X;$$

$$(ii) \quad q(fy, fx) \leq kq(gy, gx) + l[q(fy, gx) + q(fx, gy)] + r[q(fx, gx) + q(fy, gy)] \text{ for all } x, y \in X;$$

k, l, r are nonnegative constants such that $k + 2l + 2r < 1$.

If the range of g contains the range of f , $g(X)$ is a complete subspace of X , f and g satisfy

$$\inf\{\|q(fx, y)\| + \|q(gx, y)\| + \|q(gx, fx)\| : x \in X\} > 0, \text{ for all } y \in X \text{ with } y \neq fy \text{ or } y \neq gy, \text{ then } f \text{ and } g \text{ have a common fixed point in } X.$$

Proof: We can prove this result by applying Theorem 2.1 with $k(x) = k$, $l(x) = l$ and $r(x) = r$.

In Theorem 2.1, if $g = i_X$ is the identity map on X , then we get the Theorem 3.3 of Dubey et al. [3] on c -Distance in a cone metric space.

Theorem 2.3. Let (X, d) be a complete cone metric space and P be normal cone with constant K . Also let q be a c -Distance and $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Suppose that there exist mappings $k, l, r: X \rightarrow [0, 1)$ such that the following conditions hold:

$$(a) \quad k(fx) \leq k(x), \quad l(fx) \leq l(x), \quad r(fx) \leq r(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in X;$$

$$(b) \quad (k + 2l + 2r)(x) < 1 \text{ for all } x \in X;$$

$$(c) \quad q(fx, fy) \leq k(x)q(x, y) + l(x)[q(x, fy) + q(y, fx)] + r(x)[q(x, fx) + q(y, fy)] \text{ for all } x, y \in X;$$

$$(d) \quad q(fy, fx) \leq k(y)q(y, x) + l(y)[q(fy, x) + q(fx, y)] + r(y)[q(fx, x) + q(fy, y)] \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

If f satisfies $\inf\{\|q(fx, y)\| + \|q(x, y)\| + \|q(x, fx)\| : x \in X\} > 0$, for all $y \in X$ with $y \neq fy$, then f has a fixed point in X .

Corollary 2.4. Let (X, d) be a complete cone metric space, P be a normal cone with constant K and q be a c -Distance on

X . Suppose that the mapping $f: X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the following two contractive conditions:

$$(i) \quad q(fx, fy) \leq kq(x, y) + l[q(x, fy) + q(y, fx)] + r[q(x, fx) + q(y, fy)]$$

for all $x, y \in X$;

$$(ii) \quad q(fy, fx) \leq kq(y, x) + l[q(fy, x) + q(fx, y)] + r[q(fx, x) + q(fy, y)]$$

for all $x, y \in X$;

where k, l, r are nonnegative constants such that $k + 2l + 2r < 1$.

If f satisfies $\inf\{\|q(fx, y)\| + \|q(x, y)\| + \|q(x, fx)\| : x \in X\} > 0$

for all $y \in X$ with $y \neq fy$ then f has a fixed point in X .

Proof. We can prove this result by applying Theorem 2.3 with $k(x) = k$, $l(x) = l$ and $r(x) = r$.

Theorem 2.5. Let (X, d) be a cone metric space, P be a normal cone with constant K and q be a c -Distance. Also, let $f, g: X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings with $f(X) \subseteq g(X)$ and let $g(X)$ be a complete subspace of X . Suppose that there exist mappings $k, l, r, t: X \rightarrow [0, 1)$ such that the following conditions hold:

$$(a) \quad k(fx) \leq k(gx), \quad l(fx) \leq l(gx), \quad r(fx) \leq r(gx), \quad t(fx) \leq t(gx) \text{ for all } x \in X;$$

$$(b) \quad (k + l + r + 2t)(x) < 1 \text{ for all } x \in X;$$

$$(c) \quad q(fx, fy) \leq k(gx)q(gx, gy) + l(gx)q(fy, gy) + r(gx)q(fx, gx) + t(gx)[q(fx, gy) + q(fy, gx)] \text{ for all } x, y \in X;$$

$$(d) \quad q(fy, fx) \leq k(gy)q(gy, gx) + l(gy)q(gy, fy) + r(gy)q(gx, fx) + t(gy)[q(gy, fx) + q(gx, fy)] \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

If f and g satisfy $\inf\{\|q(fx, y)\| + \|q(gx, y)\| + \|q(gx, fx)\| : x \in X\} > 0$

for all $x, y \in X$ with $y \neq fy$ or $y \neq gy$, then f and g have a common fixed point in X .

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X . Since $f(X) \subseteq g(X)$, there exists a point $x_1 \in X$ such that $fx_0 = gx_1$. By induction we construct the sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$fx_n = gx_{n+1} \text{ for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (2.9)$$

Now, set $x = x_{n-1}$ and $y = x_n$ in (c). Thus, by (q_2) , for $n \geq 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} q(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) &= q(fx_{n-1}, fx_n) q(fx_{n-1}, fx_n) \\ &\leq k(gx_{n-1})q(gx_{n-1}, gx_n)l(gx_{n-1})q(fx_n, gx_n) \\ &+ r(gx_{n-1})q(fx_{n-1}, gx_{n-1}) + t(gx_{n-1})[q(fx_{n-1}, gx_n) \\ &+ q(fx_n, gx_{n-1})] = k(fx_{n-2})q(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + \\ &l(fx_{n-2})q(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) + r(fx_{n-2})q(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) + \\ &t(fx_{n-2})[q(gx_n, gx_n) + \\ &q(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n-1})]k(gx_{n-2})q(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + \\ &l(gx_{n-2})q(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) + \\ &+ r(gx_{n-2})q(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) + t(gx_{n-2})[q(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) \\ &+ q(gx_n, gx_{n-1})] \leq k(gx_0)q(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + \\ &l(gx_0)q(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) \\ &+ r(gx_0)q(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) + t(gx_0)[q(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) \\ &+ q(gx_n, gx_{n-1})]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Similarly, set $x = x_{n-1}$ and $y = x_n$ in (d). Thus by (q_2) , for $n \geq 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} q(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) &= q(fx_n, fx_{n-1}) \leq k(gx_0)q(gx_n, gx_{n-1}) + \\ &l(gx_0)q(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + r(gx_0)q(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + \\ &t(gx_0)[q(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + q(gx_{n-1}, gx_n)]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Adding up (2.10) and (2.11), we have

$$q(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + q(gx_{n+1}, gx_n)(k(gx_0) + r(gx_0) + t(gx_0)) [q(gx_{n-1}, gx_n) + q(gx_n, gx_{n-1})] + (l(gx_0) + t(gx_0)) [q(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) + q(gx_n, gx_{n+1})]. \quad (2.12)$$

Now, set $v_n = q(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) + q(gx_{n+1}, gx_n)$ in (2.12), we have

$$v_n \leq (k(gx_0) + r(gx_0) + t(gx_0))v_{n-1} + (l(gx_0) + t(gx_0))v_n.$$

So $v_n \leq \mu v_{n-1}$ for all $n \geq 1$ with $\mu = \frac{k(gx_0) + r(gx_0) + t(gx_0)}{1 - l(gx_0) - t(gx_0)} < 1$.

Since $(k + l + r + 2t)(x) < 1$ for all $x \in X$.

Continuing this process, we get $v_n \leq \mu^n v_0$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Rest of the proof of this theorem is similar as the Theorem 2.1.

Example 2.6. Let $E = \mathbb{R}$ and $P = \{x \in E : x \geq 0\}$. Let $X = [0, 1]$ and define a mapping $d: X \times X \rightarrow E$ by $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then (X, d) is a cone metric space. Define a mapping $q: X \times X \rightarrow E$ by $q(x, y) = 2d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then q is a c-Distance. In fact, $(q_1) - (q_3)$ are immediate.

Let $c \in E$ with $0 \ll c$ put $e = \frac{c}{2}$. If $q(z, x) \ll e$ and $q(z, y) \ll e$, then we have $d(x, y) \leq 2d(x, z) = 2|x - z| \leq 2|x - z| + 2|z - y| = q(z, x) + q(z, y) \ll e + e = c$.

This shows that (q_4) holds. Therefore q is a c-Distance.

Let $f, g: X \rightarrow X$ defined by $g(x) = x$ and $f(x) = \frac{x^2}{16}$ for all $x \in X$.

Take mappings $k, l, r, t: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $k(x) = \frac{x+1}{16}$, $r(x) = \frac{2x+3}{16}$, $l(x) = \frac{3x+2}{16}$, $t(x) = \frac{x}{16}$ for all $x \in X$. Observe that

$$(i) \quad k(fx) = \frac{(x^2/16 + 1)/16}{16} = \frac{1}{16} \left(\frac{x^2}{16} + 1 \right) \leq \frac{1}{16} (x + 1) = k(x) = k(gx).$$

$$(ii) \quad r(fx) = \frac{(2(x^2/16) + 3)/16}{16} = \frac{1}{16} \left(\frac{2x^2}{16} + 3 \right) \leq \frac{1}{16} (2x + 3) = r(x) = r(gx).$$

$$(iii) \quad l(fx) = \frac{(3(x^2/16) + 2)/16}{16} = \frac{1}{16} \left(\frac{3x^2}{16} + 2 \right) \leq \frac{1}{16} (3x + 2) = l(x) = l(gx).$$

$$(iv) \quad t(fx) = \frac{(x^2/16)/16}{16} = \frac{1}{16} \left(\frac{x^2}{16} \right) \leq \frac{1}{16} (x) = t(x) = t(gx).$$

$$(v) \quad (k + l + r + 2t)(x) = \left(\frac{x+1}{16} \right) + \left(\frac{3x+2}{16} \right) + \left(\frac{2x+3}{16} \right) + 2 \left(\frac{x}{16} \right) = \left(\frac{8x+6}{16} \right) < 1 \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

(vi) for all $x, y \in X$, we have

$$q(fx, fy) = 2 \left| \frac{x^2}{16} - \frac{y^2}{16} \right| \leq \frac{2|x+y||x-y|}{16} = \left(\frac{x+y}{16} \right) 2|x-y| \leq k(x)q(x, y) = k(gx)q(gx, gy)$$

$$\leq k(gx)q(gx, gy) + l(gx)q(fy, gy) + r(gx)q(fx, gx) + t(gx)[q(fx, gy) + q(fy, gx)].$$

Therefore, all the conditions of Theorem 2.5 are satisfied. Hence f and g have a common fixed point in X . This common fixed point is $x = 0$.

3. CONCLUSION

In this paper we develop and generalize the common fixed point theorems on c-Distance of Kaewkhao et al. [5], Rahimi

et al. [7] and Young et al. [17]. One illustrative example is also furnished to highlight the realized improvements.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are thankful to the learned referee for his/her deep observations and their suggestions which greatly helped us to improve the paper significantly.

REFERENCES

- [1] Dubey AK, Verma R, Dubey RP. (2015). Cone Metric Spaces and Fixed Point Theorems of Contractive Mapping for c-Distance. *International Journal of Mathematics and Its Applications* 3(1): 83-88. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10114-010-8019-5>
- [2] Dubey AK, Mishra U. (2016). Some fixed point results of single-valued mapping for c-distance in tvs-cone metric spaces. *Filomat* 30(11): 2925-2934. <https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1611925D>
- [3] Dubey AK, Mishra U. (2017). Some fixed point results for c-distance in cone metric spaces. *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Application* 22(2): 275-286.
- [4] Dubey AK, Verma R, Dubey RP. (2015). Coupled fixed point results with c-distance in cone metric spaces. *Asia Pacific Journal of Mathematics* 2(1): 20-40.
- [5] Kaewkhao A, Sintunavarat W, Kumam P. (2012). Common fixed point theorems of c-distance on cone metric spaces. *Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Application* 2012(jnaa-00137): 11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2012-194>
- [6] Jungck G, Radenovic S, Radojevic S, Rakocevic V. (2009). Common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible pairs on cone metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2009(643840). <https://doi.org/10.1155/2009/643840>
- [7] Rahimi H, Rad GS, Kumam P. (2015). A generalized distance in a cone metric space and new common fixed point results. *U.B.P.Sci. Bull. Series A* 77(2): 195-206.
- [8] Rahimi H, Rhoades BE, Radenovic S, Rad GS. (2013). Fixed and periodic point theorems for T-contractions on cone metric spaces. *Filomat* 27(5): 881-888. <https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1305881R>
- [9] Rahimi H, Soleimani Rad G. (2013). Note on "common fixed point results for non commuting mappings without continuity in cone metric spaces. *Thai J. Math* 11(3): 589-599.
- [10] Rahimi H. (2013). Some common fixed point results for weakly compatible mappings in cone metric type space. *Miskolc Mathematical Notes* 14(1): 233-243. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1155/2013/939234>
- [11] Huang LG, Zhang X. (2007). Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings. *J. Math. Anal. Appl* 332: 1467-1475. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2005.03.087>
- [12] Abbas M, Jungck G. (2008). Common fixed point results for non commuting mappings without continuity in cone metric spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 341: 416-420. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2009.04.085>
- [13] Kada O, Suzuki T, Takahashi W. (1996). Nonconvex minimization theorems and fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces. *Math. Japon* 44: 381-391.

- [14] Wang S, Guo B. (2011). Distance in cone metric spaces and common fixed point theorems. *Applied Mathematical Letters* 24: 1735-1739. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2011.04.031>
- [15] Sintunavarat W, Cho YJ, Kumam P. (2011). Common fixed point theorems for c-distance in ordered cone metric spaces. *Comput. Math. Appl* 62: 1969-1978.
- [16] Cho YJ, Saadati R, Wang SH. (2011). Common fixed point theorems on generalized distance in ordered cone metric spaces. *Comput. Math. Appl* 61: 1254-1260. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2011.01.004>
- [17] Yang YO, Choi HJ. (2018). Fixed point theorems on cone metric spaces with c-distance. *J. Computational Analysis and Applications* 24(5): 900-909.