

5. CONCLUSIONS

It is demonstrated in this research that by using a model defined in BIM it is possible to store in a single file the structural, non-structural elements and contents of the buildings object of the study. The information that has been saved consists of the location, as well as attributes related to the groups of fragility and benefits of each element, as well as its cost.

Although the implementation of BIM has made it possible to perform the complex tasks of the FEMA P58 methodology more efficiently and accurately, it would be interesting that there could be interoperability between the program from which the model of the building is made and the program chose to carry out the non-linear analysis, improving the working time and reducing the possibilities of making mistakes in the generation process of the structure with the level of details required for the non-linear analysis using fibre-based models.

The use of FEMA P-58 with BIM tools allows the owners of buildings to make appropriate decisions before the occurrence of destructive earthquakes, preventing injuries and deaths, while reducing economic losses and the time necessary to carry out the repairs necessary to put the building into operation.

As a recommendation, it is suggested to organize the activities of the evaluation of the seismic vulnerability of buildings through the BIM platform, so that the performance groups are correctly located in order to determine the damage that can be achieved under a specific scenario. Similarly, given the high level of detail required by the FEMA P-58 Methodology, it is recommended to manage in a single model all the information of the structural, non-structural and component elements, thus avoiding rework and loss of information.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors wish to acknowledge to Universidad Centroccidental Lisandro Alvarado, in whose buildings this study has been carried out. Also to Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, where three of the co-authors are researchers and professors. The last three authors also thank to Proyecto CORFO 14ENI2-26905 Ingeniería 2030-PUCV for their support in financing assistance to BIM2019. Prof. Herrera acknowledge to CONICYT - PCHA/National Doctorate/2018 - 21180884 for funding the graduate research.

REFERENCES

- [1] FEMA. (2012). Seismic Performance assessment of buildings - Implementation guide. FEMA P-58-2, Washington, D.C.: Federal Emergency Management Agency.
- [2] Welch, D.P., Sullivan, T.J., Filiatrault, A. (2014). Potential of building information modelling for seismic risk mitigation in buildings. *Bulletin of the New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering*, 47(4): 253-263. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5459/bnzsee.47.4.253-263>
- [3] Alirezaei, M., Noori, M., Tatari, O., Mackie, K., Elgamal, A. (2016). BIM-based damage estimation of buildings under earthquake loading condition. *Procedia Engineering*, 145: 1051-1058. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2016.04.136>
- [4] Crespi, P., Franchi, A., Ronca, P., Giordano, N., Scamardo, M., Gusmeroli, G., Schiantarelli, G. (2015). From BIM to FEM: The analysis of an historical masonry building. *WIT Transactions on the Built Environment*, 149: 581-592. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2495/BIM150471>
- [5] Perrone, D., Filiatrault, A. (2018). Use of building information modelling for the seismic design of non-structural elements. in *The XVI European Conference on Earthquake Engineering*, Thessaloniki, 2018.
- [6] Xua, Z., Lub, X., Xiang, Z., Yongji, X., Li, Y. (2019). Seismic loss assessment for buildings with various LOBBIM data. *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, 39: 112-126. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aei.2018.12.003>
- [7] Villa, V., Domaneschi M., Cimellaro, G.P. (2018). BIM-based approach for seismic risk analysis. in *The Fourth Australasia and South-East Asia Structural Engineering and Construction Conference*, Brisbane.
- [8] Muñoz-La Rivera, F., Vielma, J., Herrera, R. Carvallo, J. (2019). Methodology for Building Information Modeling (BIM) Implementation in Structural Engineering Companies (SEC). *Advances in Civil Engineering*, 13: 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/8452461>
- [9] Seismosoft, SeismoStruct 2018 – A computer program for static and dynamic nonlinear analysis of framed structure. [Online]. Available: <http://www.seismosoft.com>.
- [10] Fajfar, P., Gašperšič, P. (1996). The N2 method for the seismic damage analysis of RC buildings. *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, 25: 31-46. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9845\(199601\)25:1%3C31::AID-EQE534%3E3.0.CO;2-V](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9845(199601)25:1%3C31::AID-EQE534%3E3.0.CO;2-V)
- [11] Vamvatsikos, D., Cornell, A. (2006). Direct estimation of the seismic demand and capacity of oscillators with multi-linear static pushovers through IDA. *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, 35: 1097-1117. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/eqe.573>
- [12] Vielma, J., Mulder, M. (2018). Improved procedure for determining the ductility of buildings under seismic loads. *Revista Internacional de Métodos Numéricos para cálculo y diseño en Ingeniería*, 34: 1-27. <http://10.23967/j.rimni.2018.03.001>
- [13] Vamvatsikos, D., Cornell, A. (2002). Incremental dynamic analysis. *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, 31: 491-514. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/eqe.141>
- [14] FEMA, Quantification of Building Seismic Performance Factors. FEMA P-695, Washington, D.C.: Federal Emergency Management Agency, 2009.