

hydraulic servo actuator. Second, a classical PID controller is designed and tuned using Ziegler and Nichols method according to the Integral Squared Error criteria. Third, a comparative study of the ISA system controllers between classical PID and modified PI-D is performed.

The transient response of the ISA system is obtained and discussed. From the results, it is demonstrated that the modified PI-D controller improve the performance of the system in order to achieve the required settling time with small overshoot and nearly zero steady state error. Adding the modified PI-D controller to the system improves the transient response parameters as the following results:

- Reducing the rise time by 75.11 % (< 1 sec).
- Reducing the settling time by 48 % (< 5 sec).
- Reducing the steady state error by 9.4 % (\approx zero).
- With 0.46 % overshoot of the system (< 0.5 %).

The comparative study between the two controller's configurations showed that the modified PI-D controller is better than basic one in the system output and slower response in presence of disturbance.

In future work, different controllers such as Fuzzy logic controller (FLC) or Genetic Algorithm (GA) could be used to validate the system. It is necessary to develop a suitable hardware system to verify the simulation results in this paper via experimental setup.

REFERENCES

[1] Chen, B., Lin, M. (2005). The present research and prospects of electro-hydraulic servo valve. Chinese Hydraulics & Pneumatics, 33: 5-8.

[2] Li, Y. (2009). Dynamic system modeling and simulation with simulink (the second edition). Xi'an University of Electronic Science and Technology Press.

[3] Pedret, C., Vilanova, R., Moreno, R., Serra, I. (2002). A refinement procedure for PID controller tuning. Computers & Chemical Engineering, 26(6): 903-908. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0098-1354\(02\)00011-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0098-1354(02)00011-X)

[4] Chang, W.D., Hwang, R.C., Hsieh, J.G. (2003). A multivariable on-line adaptive PID controller using auto-tuning neurons. Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence, 16(1): 57-63. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0952-1976\(03\)00023-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0952-1976(03)00023-X)

[5] Taguchi, H., Araki, M. (2002). On tuning of two-degree-of-freedom PID controllers with consideration on location of disturbance input. Transactions of the Society of Instrument and Control Engineers, 38: 441-446. <https://doi.org/10.9746/sicetr1965.38.441>

[6] Saad, M.S. (2012). Implementation of PID controller tuning using differential evolution and genetic algorithms. International Journal of Innovative Computing, Information and Control, 8(11): 7761-7779.

[7] Levine, W.S. (2010). The control handbook: Control system fundamentals. CRC Press.

[8] Ismail, M.M., Hassan, M.M. (2012). Load frequency control adaptation using artificial intelligent techniques for one and two different areas power system. International journal of control, Automation and systems, 1(1): 12-23.

[9] Wang, X.L., Wang, Y.J., Zhou, H., Huai, X.Y. (2006). PSO-PID: A novel controller for AQM routers. Wireless and Optical Communications Networks, 2006 IFIP International Conference.

<https://doi.org/10.1109/WOCN.2006.1666682>

[10] Basilio, J., Matos, S. (2002). Design of PI and PID controllers with transient performance specification. IEEE Transactions on Education, 45(4): 364-370. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TE.2002.804399>

[11] Ogata, K., Yang, Y. (2002). Modern control engineering vol. 4: Prentice hall India.

[12] Fadel, M.Z., Rabie, M.G., Youssef, A. (2018). Investigation of the operation of an electro-hydraulic control system of a flying vehicle under failure conditions. 18th International Conference on Applied Mechanics and Mechanical Engineering., Cairo, Egypt.

[13] Rabie, M.G. (2013). Automatic Control for Mechanical Engineers. Cairo.

[14] Rabie, M.G. (2009). Fluid power engineering. vol. 28: McGraw-Hill New York, New York, USA.

APPENDIX

Nomenclature and the Numerical Values of the Studied System

$A_{a,b,c,d}$	Throttling areas of the port a, b, c and d, m ²
A_{Bp}	By-pass area of the EHSV, m ²
A_p	Cylinder piston area, 12.5 cm ²
A_{p11}	Resultant subjected area to the pressure on the left poppet, m ²
A_{p13}	Resultant subjected area to the pressure on the right poppet, m ²
A_{p21}	Resultant subjected area to the pressure on the left poppet, m ²
A_{p23}	Resultant subjected area to the pressure on the right poppet, m ²
A_{pL}	Left piston area, m ²
A_{pR}	Right piston area, m ²
$A_{p_{v1}}$	Subjected area to the pressure on the left poppet, m ²
$A_{p_{v2}}$	Subjected area to the pressure on the right poppet, m ²
A_{p_z}	Piston area of the by-pass valve, m ²
A_{rL}	Left rod side area, m ²
A_{rR}	Right rod side area, m ²
A_s	Spool cross-sectional area, m ²
A_{t11}	Throttle area of the left poppet, m ²
A_{t13}	Throttle area of the right poppet, m ²
A_{t21}	Throttle area of the left poppet of the second DCV, m ²
A_{t23}	Throttle area of the right poppet of the second DCV, m ²
A_{th}	Throttle area of the EHSV entrance, m ²
C_d	Discharge coefficient, 0.611
d_f	Flapper nozzle diameter, 0.5 mm
F_{1L}	Seat reaction force for the left poppet, N
F_{1R}	Seat reaction force for the right poppet, N
F_{2L}	Seat reaction force for the left poppet of the second DCV, N
F_{2R}	Seat reaction force for the right poppet of the second DCV, N
f_5	Switching DCV damping coefficient, 300 Ns/m
F_{5L1}	First left seat reaction force, N
F_{5L2}	Second left seat reaction force, N
F_s	Force acting at the extremity of the feedback spring, N
f_s	Spool friction coefficient, 50 Ns/m

F_{S1}	Solenoid force of the first DCV, 200 N	T_P	Torque due to the pressure forces, Nm
F_{S2}	Solenoid force of the second DCV, 200 N	V_0	Initial volume of oil in the spool side chamber, 2 cm ³
f_{sm}	Seat material structural damping coefficient, 5000 Ns/m	V_1	Initial volume of chamber (a), 4 mm ³
F_{SR}	Seat reaction forces of the interconnection valve, N	V_2	Initial volume of left chamber of the switching DCV, 6 mm ³
F_{SR1}	Total seat reaction force of the first DCV, N	V_3	Volume of the flapper valve return chamber, 5 cm ³
F_{SR2}	Total seat reaction force of the Second DCV, N	V_4	Initial volume of right chamber of the switching DCV, 5 mm ³
F_{SR5}	Total seat reaction force of the Switching DCV, N	V_L	Volume of left chamber in the switching DCV, 4 cm ³
f_v	Spring damping coefficient, 300 Ns/m	x_f	Flapper displacement, m
f_z	Interconnection valve damping coefficient, 50 Ns/m	x_i	Initial Flapper limiting displacement, 30 μm
f_θ	Damping coefficient, 0.002 Nms/rad	y_1	First DCV displacement, m
i_e	Torque motor input current, A	y_2	Second DCV displacement, m
K_i	Current-torque gain, 0.556 Nm/A	y_5	Switching DCV displacement, m
K_{Lf}	Equivalent flapper seat stiffness, 5*10 ⁶ N/m	y_{5iL}	Left initial position of the switching DCV, 0 m
K_s	Stiffness of the feedback spring, 900 N/m	y_{5iR}	Right initial position of the switching DCV, 0.006 m
K_{sm}	Seat material stiffness, 1 * 10 ⁷ N/m	y_{ciL}	Left initial position of the cylinder piston, 4 cm
K_{sp}	Spring stiffness, 15000 N/m	y_{ciR}	Right initial position of the cylinder piston, 4 cm
K_T	Stiffness of flexure tube, Nm/rad	y_i	The initial distance between the right poppet and its seat, 2 mm
K_θ	Armature rotational angle torque gain, 9.45*10 ⁻⁴ Nm/rad	y_o	Spring pre-compression distance, 3 mm
L_f	Flapper length, 9 mm	z_0	Spring pre-compression distance, 3 mm
L_s	Length of the feedback spring and flapper, 30 mm	A_{BP}	By-pass area, m ²
m_5	Switching DCV mass, 0.05 kg	F_{SRC}	Seat reaction force of the cylinder, N
m_p	Piston mass, 10 kg	K_{FB}	Feedback gain, 0.25 A/m
m_s	Main spool valve mass, 0.1 kg	K_p	Piston loading coefficient, 0 N/m
m_z	Mass of the by-pass valve, 0.02 kg	V_c	Initial volume of the cylinder chamber, 100 cm ³
P_1	Pressure in the first valve chamber, Pa	d_i	Transmission line diameter, 2.5 mm
P_2	Pressure in chamber (b), Pa	f_P	Friction coefficient on piston, 1000 Ns/m
P_4	Pressure in the right chamber of the switching DCV, Pa	i_c	Control current, A
P_{S1}	Supply pressure of the main system of EHCS, 300 bar	i_e	Torque motor input current, A
P_{s1}	Supply pressure of the main system of ISA, 300 bar	i_f	Feedback current, A
P_{sL}	Supply pressure to the EHSV, pa	A	Throttle area of the flapper nozzles, m ²
P_t	Return tank pressure, 2 bar	B	Bulk modulus of oil, 1.9 Gpa
Q_{11}	Throttle flow rate of the left poppet, m ³ /s	c	Spool radial clearance
Q_{13}	Throttle flow rate of the right poppet, m ³ /s	J	Moment of inertia of the rotating part, 5*10 ⁻⁷ Nms ²
Q_{21}	Throttle flow rate of the left poppet of the second DCV, m ³ /s	m	Reduced mass of the moving parts of first DCV, 0.01 Kg
Q_{23}	Throttle flow rate of the right poppet of the second DCV, m ³ /s	P_1	Pressure in the left side of the flapper valve, Pa
Q_{41}	Throttle flow rate of the left poppet of the fourth DCV, m ³ /s	P_2	Pressure in the right side of the flapper valve, Pa
Q_{43}	Throttle flow rate of the right poppet of the fourth DCV, m ³ /s	P_3	Pressure in the flapper valve return chamber, Pa
Q_{BP}	By-pass flow rate of the EHSV, m ³ /s	Q	Flow rate, kg/m ³
Q_{th}	Flow rate of the EHSV entrance, m ³ /s	T	Torque of the electro-magnetic torque motor, Nm
R_s	Equivalent flapper seat damping coefficient, 5000 Nms/rad	x	Main driven spool valve displacement, m
T_F	Feedback torque, Nm	x	Spool displacement, m, [12]
T_L	Torque due to flapper displacement limiter, Nm	y	Actuating hydraulic cylinder displacement, m
		z	Interconnection valve displacement, m
		θ	Armature rotation angle, rad
		ρ	Oil density, 900 Kg/m ³
		ω	Width of the port, 2 mm