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ABSTRACT

In wireless communications, cognitive radio (CR) technology has significantly enhanced radio spectrum efficiency. Spectrum sensing is a key process in CR along with other major functions namely spectrum decision, sharing and mobility. Minimizing the processing delays, energy consumption of these functions and enhancing spectrum utilization is a major challenge. Spectrum inference has been proposed as a viable solution to overcome these problems. Many machine learning-based spectrum inference techniques using artificial neural networks (ANNs) and deep neural networks have been proposed in literature. In this paper we aimed to determine whether hybrid deep neural network based spectrum inference model outperform single model in time and frequency domains for spectrum occupancy dataset. Radial basis function (RBF) neural network tend to excel in extracting spatial features of spectrum data whereas bidirectional long short-term memory (BiLSTM) work very well for temporal dependencies of this data. Spectrum dataset exhibit both short-and-long term temporal/spectral dependencies. In this paper we have proposed spectrum inference based on a hybrid deep neural network RBF and BiLSTM. The proposed algorithm has been simulated using real time spectrum measurement data with time dimension ranging from (1 to 7 days), spectral range (0.7 GHz to 2.7 GHz) across three geographically varying locations Pune, Solapur and Kalaburagi in India. Hybrid deep neural network integration of RBF and BiLSTM is built, tested and compared with single models LSTM, BiLSTM for accuracy and speed. The hybrid method has outperformed single models to achieve Precision, Recall, F1 scores of 0.9959, 0.9575, 0.9763 respectively and training time improvement of 57.60% for GSM and whole band in frequency and time dimensions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Wireless communication is a vital means of communication. It uses radio spectrum which is a limited natural resource. Due to exponential growth in wireless services and applications spectrum has become scarce. Studies have also reported inefficient spectrum management due to static allocation policies. To overcome this dynamic spectrum access has been proposed as solution. Cognitive Radio (CR) is an enabling technology for dynamic spectrum access. It is an intelligent radio which efficiently manages spectrum between primary user and secondary users [1].

CRs exhibit four major functions spectrum sensing, spectrum decision, spectrum sharing and spectrum mobility [2]. Spectrum sensing is at the core of these four functions to determine unused vacant bands. However, the available spectrum sensing techniques hinder CR performance due to inevitable sensing time delays, high energy consumption and slow speed. To overcome these deficiencies spectrum inference has been proposed as novel technique to predict unused bands using historical spectrum occupancy data. It has become popular amongst researchers due to its pro activeness and widening of sensing scope in different bands across different locations [3].

Machine learning and deep learning algorithms based on artificial neural networks (ANN) are being employed for implementing spectrum inference in CRs. Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP), Radial Basis Function (RBF), Long Short Term Memory (LSTM) have been used for implementing spectrum inference. 6G CRNs major emphasis is on intelligence and spectrum inference compliments this approach. It is a realm where communication meets Artificial Intelligence (AI). Machine learning techniques applied to cognitive radios have been detailed by Bkassiny et al. [4]. Arivudainambi et al. [5] have reported enhanced prediction accuracy and decrease in sensing time with spectrum inference using ML technique. Classical statistical occupancy prediction and ML based spectrum occupancy prediction has been detailed by Eltom et al. [6] Supervised and unsupervised ML algorithms for spectrum occupancy prediction have been analyzed by Azmat et al. [7].

Shrestha and Mahmood [8] have presented a comprehensive
review of deep learning architectures, trainings, issues and implementations. Yu et al. [9] proposed spectrum prediction LSTM model validated by real-world spectrum dataset with taguchi method. Aygul et al. [10] has proposed composite 2D LSTM model for spectrum inference by harnessing correlations in the measured data. Siami-Namini et al. [11] has compared the performance and behavioral analysis of LSTM and BiLSTM and reported better predictions compared to LSTMs by almost 38% error rate reduction. A hybrid approach combining ANNs and deep neural networks has been employed in many fields. Hybrid approach has been employed by Fathi et al. [12] for stock price prediction. Riyaz et al. [13] has developed a deep neural network prediction model for heart disease with a novel ensemble technique. Kowsher et al. [14] deeply integrated BiLSTM-ANN and LSTM-ANN and reported performance improvements than single BiLSTM, LSTM and ANN models. Patil and Adhiya [15] have addressed automated evaluation of short descriptive answers using Siamese stacked BiLSTM model. Wang et al. [16] has proposed long short-term memory time forecasting with back propagation method and has reported 1% to 2% rise in prediction accuracy compared to LSTM.

In this study the dataset is a complex time series featuring different telecommunication bands and has both short as well as long term dependencies. RBF networks have capability to model complex nonlinear data, in high dimensional data with good degree of accuracy. It also achieves fast inference with fast training as radial basis function simplifies computations. BiLSTM networks work well for data with complex dependencies over long intervals. It employs dual training for better extraction of context in data. Combining RBFN and BiLSTM can help model temporal, spectral dependencies and nonlinear patterns collectively in our dataset. Since RBFNs excel in meaningful extraction of features of complex data and BiLSTMs effectively capture context and dynamicity in dataset. Hence these advantages complement each other for a comprehensive learning and can deliver benefits of accurate fast prediction for spectrum dataset. So a hybrid model combining these two networks has been chosen for research in this paper. Hybrid deep neural network model can significantly enhance cognitive capability and adaptability of 6G CRNs. Especially in spectrum inference this approach can lead to increase in spectrum awareness, optimal decisions for spectrum access, better optimization of network parameters. This can lead to overall improvements in efficiency, reliability, security and capabilities of 6G CRNs.

To our knowledge till now nobody has investigated the use of RBF with BiLSTM for spectrum occupancy dataset. Major research questions to be addressed included:

- Does RBF neural network model outperform MLP neural network in terms of prediction accuracy for time and frequency dimensions of spectrum data?
- If yes, then can hybridization of RBF with either LSTM or BiLSTM further enhance accuracy over existing techniques?
- Can this model deliver enhanced prediction accuracy with high speed?

In this paper we have tried to address these research questions by conducting several simulation experiments on real world spectrum occupancy dataset we have measured in our previous spectrum measurement campaigns detailed in the study [17] and validated the results for performance evaluation with existing techniques proposed in literature. Our major contributions include:

- We have designed and developed a multi-dimensional hybrid approach/algorithm by combining RBF and BiLSTM deep neural networks.
- The developed algorithm has been tested and validated against standalone techniques using a real world dataset in frequency range (0.7GHz to 3GHz) captured across three different sites with varying teledensity and durations ranging from 1 to 7 days. The time span under consideration encompasses variations like holiday and busy working days telecom traffic.
- We have investigated both GSM band and entire wide band in both time and frequency dimensions to validate the results of developed algorithm with existing ones and found that our algorithms outperforms the performance in terms of enhanced prediction accuracy and improved speed.

The rest part of paper has been presented as, Section 2 discusses the system modeling and the algorithms employed. Here we outline and define the performance metrics used for evaluation. Section 3 discusses the proposed methodology for spectrum prediction analysis of GSM band and entire band in both time and frequency dimensions. Section 4 we present the results followed by performance evaluation and comparison with existing techniques. Section 5 presents the conclusion with future directions in this research.

2. SYSTEM MODELING AND METHODOLOGY

2.1 System model

In this paper we have used real time spectrum dataset collected from our previous spectrum measurement campaigns. This dataset features radio signal power spectral density values measured in dBm at frequency resolution of 200 KHz from 700 MHz to 2.7 GHz. This interval of 200 KHz represents a channel, collection of all such channels for a telecom service represent a band. After data pre processing we first convert the signal power data in time/frequency domain to a binary occupancy matrix using thresholding technique described in the study [17, 18]. This matrix is used to compute band wise spectrum occupancy.

In spectrum inference, spectrum occupancy states are predicted over frequency range based on the measured occupancy data in time and frequency domain. Each measured frequency point is considered to be representing a frequency channel, collection of all such channels for a telecom service represent a band. After data pre processing we first convert the signal power data in time/frequency domain to a binary occupancy matrix using thresholding technique described in the study [17, 18]. This matrix is used to compute band wise spectrum occupancy.

In this paper we have tried to address these research questions by conducting several simulation experiments on real world spectrum occupancy dataset we have measured in our previous spectrum measurement campaigns detailed in the study [17] and validated the results for performance evaluation with existing techniques proposed in literature. Our major contributions include:
frequencies in complete band, \( K \) denotes the number of corresponding time samples at this frequency:

\[
O = \frac{1}{KN} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{n=1}^{N} O_{x(k),f(n)}
\]  

(3)

The dataset generated is a multi-dimensional time/frequency occupancy matrix [18]. This data exhibits temporal and spectral correlation. Study has revealed short term dependency in terms of channel vacancy durations and long-term dependencies with respect to service rate congestions [17]. These features can be used with the dataset for training, testing and validating machine learning, deep learning and hybrid deep learning models for inferring spectrum.

2.2 Artificial neural network based machine learning models

Multi-layer Perceptron (MLP) artificial neural networks employ single input/output layer and multiple hidden layers. It uses activation functions like sigmoid, tanh (hyperbolic tangent function) tanh, ReLU (Rectified Linear Unit) to learn complex patterns in the data. It uses back propagation algorithm and adjusts its weights and biases for error minimization via gradient descent. It has a complex structure and is difficult to interpret due to large number of parameters involved. It can suffer over fitting easily when handling high dimensional spectrum data and becomes computationally expensive when dealing with large datasets like spectrum data.

2.2.1 Radial basis function neural network (RBFNN)

RBF neural networks are feed forward neural networks in structure same as MLP network. It has only one hidden layer as shown in Figure 1. This hidden layer forms the feature vector. A non-linear transfer function called radial basis is applied to the feature vector to increase dimension before being fed for classification. As shown in Figure 1, nodes of hidden layer do radial basis transformation. Here hidden layer outputs are linearly combined with hidden layer outputs to generate final output value. The neural activation function for RBFNN is given by Eq. (4):

\[
\varphi(x) = e^{-\beta ||x-c||^2}
\]

(4)

where, \( \beta = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \)

![Figure 1. Structure of radial basis function neural network](image)

2.2.2 LSTM neural network

LSTM based on Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) architecture deals excellently with data which is temporally correlated; it cannot handle long term time dependency in practice. Basic LSTM cell unit comprises of three gates namely input (i), output (o) and forget (f). These gates have control over what is being read, written and stored in the cell unit. Additionally candidate cell unit (g) is used to add information to cell. An LSTM layer has an output state (called hidden state) and intermediate cell state. LSTM cell unit shown in Figure 2 retains information from past states. At every time step LSTM layer adds or deletes information using gates. In this way long-term dependencies amongst different time steps are learned for time series or sequence data.

Different weights involved in learning include \( W \) (Input Weights), \( R \) (Recurrent Weights) and \( b \) (Bias).

![Figure 2. Structure of LSTM cell](image)

where, \( \bigodot \) being the Hadamard product.

For every time step \( t \) cell state is given by Eq. (6):

\[
c_t = f_t \odot c_{t-1} + i_t \odot g_t
\]

(6)

where, \( \bigodot \) being the Hadamard product.

For time step \( t \) the hidden state is given by Eq. (7):

\[
h_t = o_t \odot \sigma(c_t)
\]

(7)

where, \( \sigma \) is the state activation function normally hyperbolic tangent function (tanh).

The formulae’s used for computation at different gates are shown in Table 1.
2.3 Methodology

2.3.1 Proposed methodology

ANNs excel in extracting spatial features of data whereas RNNs work very well for temporal dependencies. A hybrid approach thus tends to learn more information and has a better representation. ANNs can generalize data patterns effectively and RNNs can extract contextual information in long sequential data. The spectrum dataset has short term dependencies given by channel vacancy durations and have long term dependencies across locations, services given by service congestion rates [17]. In this work the hybridization of ANN and RNN is being motivated by intrinsic characteristics of data dependencies in spectrum dataset both short term and long term. Our research questions are aimed at determining whether it is possible to produce hybrid models that outperform single models with different domains and types of datasets.

LSTM and BiLSTM are proven algorithms in many applications domains. Here we investigate use of a hybrid model based on these networks for spectrum occupancy inference. We have investigated deep integration of two major recurrent neural networks namely LSTM and BiLSTM to build a hybrid model with RBF. In our proposed model Hybrid RBF-BiLSTM (Hyb R-BiLSTM) has been further analyzed to investigate its competitiveness with existing classical neural networks MLP, RBF, HNN and deep neural networks LSTM, BiLSTM, Hybrid MLP-LSTM (Hyb M-LSTM) and Hybrid MLP-BiLSTM (Hyb M-BiLSTM). The proposed methodology is as illustrated in Figure 4.

2.2.3 BiLSTM neural network

Individual ANNs like MLP and RBF are prone to vanishing gradient problems when subjected to long sequential data. They are inefficient to capture long term dependencies. To overcome this LSTM/BiLSTM networks are particularly designed to learn from long sequence data. They have memory cell gate units to remember long term dependencies and forget gate mechanism to discard redundant information. This mechanism of selectively remembering and discarding information makes these networks efficient for long datasets like spectrum data. MLP and RBF are suitable for dataset where data points are independent of each other but in case of spectrum dataset where data is sequential a recurrent neural network like LSTM and BiLSTM can offer better results. With this intuition these deep neural networks have been investigated for their performance for spectrum dataset.

![Figure 2. LSTM cell unit](image)

**Table 1. Mathematical formulae for computation at gates**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Formula</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Input gate</td>
<td>$i_t = \sigma_g(W_i x_t + R_i h_{t-1} + b_i)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forget gate</td>
<td>$f_t = \sigma_g(W_f x_t + R_f h_{t-1} + b_f)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell candidate</td>
<td>$g_t = \sigma_c(W_g x_t + R_g h_{t-1} + b_g)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output gate</td>
<td>$o_t = \sigma_g(W_o x_t + R_o h_{t-1} + b_o)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where, $\sigma_g$ is gate activation function normally sigmoid function $\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}$.

![Figure 3. BiLSTM network](image)

In order to hybridize two different neural network architectures we use a Flatten mechanism to convert the MLP/RBF output to a single featured vector data to be processed by fully connected layer of LSTM/BiLSTM. This mechanism basically reshapes the data vectors to match the mini batch dimensions of underlying layers. The evaluation metrics under consideration includes $F_1$ score, RMSE (Root Mean Square Error), Recall and Precision. This proposed Hybrid R-BiLSTM based spectrum occupancy prediction model architecture is as seen in Figure 5.

![Figure 4. Methodology of the proposed Hybrid model](image)

![Figure 5. Hybrid R-BiLSTM based spectrum occupancy prediction model architecture](image)

Better training can be achieved in Bidirectional LSTM (BiLSTM) model by two way information flow one from past and another from future. The immediate advantage of such capability is additional training to enhance prediction accuracy. In Figure 3, a model structure for BiLSTM is shown with different layers and Input/Output.
The detail steps for predicting future spectrum occupancy states in time and frequency dimensions are outlined in the proposed algorithms. In the first algorithm we train our model with RBFNN model as the first step and then combine the result in second algorithm with Hybrid BiLSTM based prediction.

### 2.3.2 Proposed algorithms

**Algorithm 1:** To design a radial basis function network for spectrum inference in cognitive radio network

**Input:** Historical spectrum occupancy data in time and frequency dimensions with feature vectors

**Output:** RBFN neural network

1. Load dataset with feature vectors frequency wise
2. Initialize design parameters for two layer Radial basis network (RBFN) model
3. Select inputs
   - X→P-by-Q matrix for the input N vectors
   - V→R-by-S matrix of M vectors as targets
4. Set MSE (mean square error) as design goal
5. Add neurons in steps to radial basis network’s hidden layer and compute MSE and evaluate the set goal.
6. Set second parameter ‘spread’ for radial basis function

**RBFN Simulation steps**

1. Set ‘radbas’ neurons for first layer
2. Calculate weights ‘dist’ and ‘netprod’ for net input
3. Set purelin neurons for second layer
4. Calculate ‘dotprod’ for weighted input and ‘netsum’ for net inputs
   - do while (input vector has high error)
     - add radbas neuron to vector with corresponding weights
     - update ‘purelin’ layer weights such that error is minimum
   - Return (new radial basis network) 
   - end
5. Save RBFN network

**Algorithm 2:** To design a hybrid RBFN based BiLSTM network for spectrum inference in cognitive radio network

**Input:** Historical spectrum occupancy data in time and frequency dimensions with feature vectors

**Output:** Predicted spectrum occupancy states

**Start**

**Import predefined RBFN network from Algorithm 1**

1. Initialize
2. Load RBFN designed network
3. Assign inputs xdata1← data1 and target ← data2
4. Simulate the specified model RBFN using existing model configuration parameters

**Database creation**

1. Create Database with feature vectors and target vector.
2. Initialize Sequence Length to create dataset input for BiLSTM model
3. Create a Hybrid Database by combining feature vectors from input dataset feature file and targets from simulated RBFN network model as per Sequence Length.
4. Flatten the data input of RBFNN to compact it with HybridInput
5. Initialize ResultDatabase to Hybrid Database
6. Select training & testing samples from Hybrid Database as per training & testing sample ratio.
   - resTrain← Feature vector inputs from Hybrid Database
   - occTrain← Target output from Hybrid Database

**#Training**

1. Initialize learning parameters for Hybrid R-BiLSTM network
   - set numFeatures← no. of feature vectors
   - set numHiddenUnits← no. of hidden layers
   - set numResponse← occupancy
2. set MaxEpoch← maximum no. of iterations
3. set miniBatchSize← no. of observations for each iteration
4. Initialize different layers of deep neural network
   - sequenceInputLayer with numFeatures
   - BiLSTM layer with numHiddenUnit
5. fullyConnectedLayer
6. regressionLayer
7. Set options for training the network
8. Choose appropriate solver (optimizer) for training network ‘adam’
9. Set the ExecutionEnvironment
10. Turn on Plots and training-progress
11. Train HybridDeepNeuralNetwork model for regression with
   - occTrain← time series predictors
   - restrain← responses
12. Save HybridDeepNeuralNetwork model as HybRBiLSTM net

**#Testing**

1. Initialize
   - occTest← target samples form Database
   - resTest← testing samples from ResultDatabase
2. Predict responses YPred using the trained HybridDeepNeuralNetwork model and update the network
3. Stop

**#Error & Accuracy Performance Analysis**

1. Compute error ε = (resTest-YPred)
2. Compute all network performance functions of the designed network
   - Mean of Squared Errors
   - Mean of Absolute Errors
   - Root of Mean of Squared Errors
3. Compute network performance accuracy of the designed network with confusion matrix as a metric to evaluate precision, accuracy and F1-score

**Stop**

2.3.3 Performance metrics for evaluation and comparison

Confusion matrix is used here for quantifying accuracy with performance metrics f1-score, precision and recall. To compare error performance following metrics given by Eqs. (8-10) have been computed.

Mean Absolute Error (MAE): 

\[
MAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |y - \hat{y}|
\]  

(8) 

where, y is true output value and \( \hat{y} \) is predicted output value.

Mean Square Error (MSE):
\[ \text{MSE} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 \]  

(9)

The Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE):

\[ \text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2} \]  

(10)

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Dataset generation

3.1.1 Measurement locations and set up

Our spectrum measurement setup comprised of a discone antenna (AOR DA5000), Rohde & Schwarz R&S FSH3 spectrum analyzer and data processing/analysis machine (laptop/PC). Our dataset comprises of spectrum occupancy information measured as Power Spectral Density (PSD) values in dBm across time (ranging from 24 hours to 7 days) and frequency dimensions (0.7 GHz to 2.7 GHz/200KHz resolution) measured across three geographically varying locations (Pune, Solapur, Kalaburagi in India). We intend to cover all telecommunication bands (GSM900, GSM1800, IMT-3G, LTE (2100, 2300)), broadcasting and wireless services, Broadband Wireless Access (BWA), ISM band etc. Out of the entire dataset 70% has been used for training, 20% for testing and 10% for validation of model.

3.1.2 Noise thresholding

The noise measurements for threshold calculations were carried out for 2 hours with 50Ω matching load termination. Three popular decision thresholding techniques in literature namely Max Noise, m-dB and PFA noise. After doing competitive analysis of these three techniques PFA thresholding with 1% probability of false alarm setting was selected as threshold viz -91.343dBm, -91.903dBm and -91.616dBm respectively for Pune, Solapur and Kalaburagi.

3.2 ANN based spectrum occupancy prediction

3.2.1 Design of MLP, RBFNN and HNN neural networks

Initially we have used artificial neural networks namely MLP, RBF and HNN (Hybrid Neural Network) for spectrum occupancy prediction [19]. The designed neural networks have been depicted in Figures 6, 7 and 8.

![Figure 6. MLP network](image)

3.2.2 ANN performance analysis

The error performance comparison of three networks has been summarized in Tables 3 and 4 above. RBF Neural Network shows best performance than MLP and Hybrid Neural Network. The analysis of network performance has been performed by confusion matrix using True positives (TP), True negatives (TN), False Positives (FP) and False Negatives (FN) values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>MLP</th>
<th>RBF</th>
<th>HNN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No of neurons in first layer</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of neurons in second layer</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of neurons in third layer</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of hidden layers</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>75.78%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>84.61%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Confusion matrix for MLP and RBF neural networks
The performance evaluation metrics precision ($\pi$), recall ($\psi$) and $F_1$-score are defined as follows:

$$\pi = \frac{\xi}{\xi + \nu}, \quad \psi = \frac{\xi}{\xi + \mu}, \quad F_1 \text{score} = 2 \times \frac{\pi \times \psi}{\pi + \psi}$$

where $\xi$ (true positive), $\nu$ (false positive) and $\mu$ (false negative). Major performance metrics precision, recall and $F_1$ score have been computed and listed in Table 5. Thus RBFNN has the best performance with all scores reaching the best values as seen in Figure 9.

1) Precision ($\pi$)\(=\frac{TP}{TP+FP}\) = 0.996,1,1
2) Recall ($\psi$)\(=\frac{TP}{TP+FN}\) = 0.7492,1,0.8253

3) $F_1$-score\(=\frac{2 \times \pi \times \psi}{\pi + \psi}\) = 0.8551,1,0.9043

Table 5. Performance metrics for ANNs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>MLP</th>
<th>RBF</th>
<th>HNN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Precision ($\pi$)</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Recall ($\psi$)</td>
<td>0.7492</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$F_1$-score</td>
<td>0.8551</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9043</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Error Performance graphs in Figures 10-11 and error computations Table 6 clearly depict that RBF Neural Networks outperform MLP and HNN for both time and frequency wise GSM 900 band prediction. These results motivate us to incorporate RBFNN as a hybrid component for proposed Hybrid Deep Neural Network based prediction.

Table 6. Performance metrics for ANNs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANN Technique</th>
<th>Frequency Dimension</th>
<th>Time Dimension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MSE</td>
<td>MAE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLPL</td>
<td>0.0808</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBF</td>
<td>9.56E-06</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNN</td>
<td>0.5201</td>
<td>0.6665</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3 Hybrid deep neural network based prediction

3.3.1 LSTM and BiLSTM based prediction
The described data set is now used for training and testing an LSTM and BiLSTM networks. For training and validation of network the model parameters considered for hybrid deep neural network based spectrum prediction are as shown in Table 7.

3.3.2 Spectrum occupancy prediction
Both signal power and band occupancy can be predicted with LSTM/BiLSTM neural network predictor. Figure 12 shows plots of occupancy (duty cycle) being plotted against GSM900 band frequency range 890 MHz to 960 MHz. Here spectrum occupancy plot of the measured data is compared and plotted with the predicted data. The trained model is saved and used for prediction of future signal strengths and occupancy. The predicted signal strengths and occupancy of GSM 900 band at Kondhwa, Pune.

3.3.3 Hybrid R-LSTM and Hybrid R-BiLSTM based prediction
The same data set is now used for training and testing proposed hybrid models Hybrid R-LSTM and Hybrid R-BiLSTM. The results in Figure 13 indicate far more improvement in prediction accuracy. This model combines the advantage of high prediction accuracy of RBFNN after integration with BiLSTM model known for its high prediction accuracy due to forward and backward training capability.

3.3.4 Network performance analysis
Initially out of total 320 spectrum data points there are 71 Idle (unoccupied) states and 249 Busy (occupied) states for GSM900 band data at Kondhwa Pune location. Using this data confusion matrix in Table 8 is computed for spectrum occupancy data. The sequence length taken into consideration is 31.

3.3.5 Error performance evaluation and comparison
The error performance comparison of proposed models has been summarized in Table 10. The proposed Hybrid RBF-BiLSTM Neural Network outperforms all other models for both GSM band and Whole band considering time as well as frequency dimension wise predictions. The results have been illustrated graphically in Figures 15-17. Finally the training time in Table 11 and comparison plot Figure 18 depict improvement in computational speed with the proposed Hyb R-BiLSTM model requiring relatively low training time compared to classical LSTM and BiLSTM with approximately 57% improvement in computation speed.

| Table 8. Confusion matrix for LSTM neural networks |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Channel State/Network | LSTM Busy | LSTM Idle | BiLSTM Busy | BiLSTM Idle | Hybrid R-LSTM Busy | Hybrid R-BiLSTM Busy |
| Busy | 249 | 68 | 249 | 55 | 246 | 17 |
| (TP) | (FN) | (TP) | (FN) | (TP) | (FN) | (TP) | (FN) |
| Idle | 0 | 16 | 3 | 54 | 1 | 60 |
| (FP) | (TN) | (FP) | (TN) | (FP) | (TN) | (FP) | (TN) |

| Table 9. Performance metrics of hybrid networks |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | Precision | Recall (r) | F1- score | LSTM | BiLSTM | Hybrid R-LSTM | Hybrid R-BiLSTM |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| LSTM & BiLSTM prediction GSM900 Occupancy, Kondhwa, Pune |
| Figure 12. | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | |
| Figure 13. Hyb R-LSTM and Hyb R-BiLSTM spectrum occupancy prediction |

| | | | | | | |
| Figure 14. Performance comparison of hybrid networks |

| | | | | | | |
| Figure 14. Performance comparison of hybrid networks |

| | | | | | | |
| Figure 14. Performance comparison of hybrid networks |
Table 10. Error performance metrics of hybrid networks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>LSTM</th>
<th>BiLSTM</th>
<th>Hybrid R-LSTM</th>
<th>Hybrid R-BiLSTM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Precision (π)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9879</td>
<td>0.9959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Recall (ψ)</td>
<td>0.7854</td>
<td>0.8190</td>
<td>0.9354</td>
<td>0.9575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F₁-score</td>
<td>0.8798</td>
<td>0.9005</td>
<td>0.9609</td>
<td>0.9763</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11. Performance evaluation of LSTM neural network

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Training Time GSM Band (in Seconds)</th>
<th>Training Time Whole Band (in Seconds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Freq dimension</td>
<td>Time dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSTM</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BiLSTM</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hybrid R-LSTM</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hybrid R-BiLSTM</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 15. MSE comparison plots of hybrid networks

Figure 16. MAE comparison plots of hybrid networks

Figure 17. RMSE comparison plots of hybrid networks

Figure 18. Training time comparison plots of hybrid networks
4. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have proposed a hybrid deep neural network model combining RBF and BiLSTM neural networks for spectrum inference in cognitive radio network. We tested the performance of this model on our real world spectrum dataset for GSM 900 band (890 MHz to 960 MHz) and entire band (700 MHz to 2.7 GHz) in both time and frequency dimensions.

We compared the performance of this hybrid model with single models like LSTM and BiLSTM. We studied the influence of dataset for both time and frequency dimensions and noted that hybrid model outperforms both single models. We compared performance of hybrid model and single models for precision, recall, F1 score and error performance with metrics MSE, MAE, RMSE. We found that this hybrid approach gives high prediction accuracy and optimal speed.

Our experiments reveal that hybrid approach gives better results for spectrum inference than individual single model approach for spectrum dataset. We have also observed that the effectiveness of this hybrid approach also depends on domain of spectrum dataset.

This hybrid approach can be further enhanced to include spatial dimensions to delve further deep into spectrum dataset. In future this technique can be optimized with a modular approach to fit the requirements of different telecommunication bands. In conclusion hybrid deep neural network can significantly enhance the capabilities of spectrum inference in CRNs.
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