A computational analysis for boundary layer flow of magneto hydrodynamic tangent hyperbolic fluid of heat and mass transfer past a stretching cylinder with suction/injection using spectral relaxation method
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1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years studies about the flows of boundary layer of non-Newtonian model liquids on extending sheet have been inspected for a long time on account of their tremendous applications in various assembling procedure, for example, in polymer, material, sustenance preparing businesses and so forth. In current time enterprises the uses of non-Newtonian liquids exceed expectations that of Newtonian one. The usage of such liquids can be supported by utilizing a few added substances. In any assembling procedure the magnificence of the final results of such enterprises estimated based on the cooling rate in heat exchange methods because it decides the quality of desired characteristics of the final product. The magneto hydrodynamic is one of the factors by which the cooling rate can be distributed and the happen to the favoured component can achieved. Considered tangent hyperbolic fluid is a working fluid in the present study which is also a non-Newtonian fluid model. The tangent hyperbolic fluid is used extensively for different research facility tests. Then again, because of the considerable applications in polymer preparing enterprises, the researchers have demonstrated their enthusiasm to ponder the time- independent flow of non-Newtonian fluids by means of a clear yield value through tubes. Wang [1] studied about the flow of the fluid at outside of stretching cylinder. Afterward, Majeed et al. [2] analyzed about heat transfer analysis over a hyperbolic stretching cylinder. Furthermore, by means of numerical strategy Mukhopadhyay [3] analyzed the heat transfer along with boundary layer flow in a porous medium over a stretching cylinder.

Afterward, Wang [4] analyzed very strategically about mixed convection heat transfer analysis in between non-Newtonian fluid model and vertical plate by introducing mixed convection parameter by means of unvarying heat of the wall. Further, a detailed notable effect of the laminar mixed convection flow of non-Newtonian was investigated by Hady [5]. Later, Gorla [6] presented a detail discussion about the buoyancy force how it effects the friction factor, the non dimensional rate of heat transfer and also about the details of velocity, temperature fields by means of numerical method. Furthermore, by means of numerical technique i.e., fourth order of R-K method together with shooting scheme, Mostafa [7] noted that there is a corresponding decrease in coefficient of skin friction together with slip parameter increments. Later on Mehdy [8] obtained the numerical solutions of flow and heat transfer for non-Newtonian fluid outside a stretching cylinder by means of various parameters, moreover the behaviour of non-Newtonian fluid is characterised by means of Casson fluid model. Further, Ghaffari et al. [9] presented the non-linear radiation effect in non-Newtonian fluid in a permeable media with stagnated point flow. Furthermore, Chen and Leonard [10] observed that transverse curvature shows a significant impact on skin friction from the leading edge with the help of series solution.

Moreover, Pop et al. [11] they explained about the how the
profiles of velocity and temperature are persuade based on the sort of power-law of the fluid, parameter of the curvature and Prandtl number considerably likewise heat transfer coefficient. Further, Nadeem and Akram [12] in their study they observed that large pressure attention of gradient is very essential for studying channel narrow part moreover pressure gradient is decreased along with weissenberg number and width of the channel increments. Afterward, in one more production they [13] clarified obviously about the partial slip effects in peristaltic transport of a hyperbolic tangent fluid. Later, Naseer et al. [14] in their work they examined by means of numerical strategy about boundary layer flow and heat exchange in a working fluid that is tangent hyperbolic fluid in the direction of axial over a vertical exponentially stretching.

Many researchers are showing their enthusiasm to study the MHD flow due to the notable applications in engineering see for example, in pumps, meters, generators and bearings. Effect of MHD and heat transfer consequences for a flow of boundary layer of power law non-Newtonian over a vertical stretching sheet is considered by Ferdows and Hamad [15]. Later, Satyanarayana and Harish Babu [16] considered Jeffrey fluid which is electrically conducted in his study to examine the effects of chemical reaction and radiation of heat on magneto hydrodynamics heat and mass transmit over a stretchable sheet. In addition, Ashorynejad et al. [17] called attention to the eminent comments about the effects of volume fraction of nanoparticle, sort of nanofluid, parameter of magnetic and Reynolds number on the flow and heat exchange characteristics by means of numerical strategy. Afterward, Kandaswamy et al. [18] deliberated about a mixed convection flow of transfer of heat and mass with the effect of magneto hydrodynamics over a permeable medium.

In addition, Kumari and Nath [19] in their work they mainly concluded that there is a significant improvement in coefficient of heat exchange with the Prandtl number. Moreover velocity gradient is negative if wall velocity is more noteworthy than that of free stream velocity while velocity gradient is positive if wall velocity is not as much as free stream velocity. Later, Seth et al. [20] presented a hypothetical investigation and noted some of the significant outcomes of the study and in course of time, observed that there is a decrement in case of primary skin friction while there exist a increment in case of secondary skin friction, this observation is one of the outcome in their study. Further, Awais et al. [21] has been analysed noticeably about the impacts of momentum and heat transfer analysis of Sisko fluid near the axisymmetric near to the stagnation point in the direction of a stretching cylinder. Recently, Gangadhar et al. [22] considered numerical study on the viscous dissipation and variable suction/injection on boundary layer flow. They [23] further explored some features about the unsteady boundary layer flow of nanofluid with the assistance of the numerical strategy that is spectral relaxation scheme. In another work he [24] presented the features of MHD micropolar nanofluid by considering Newtonian heating. Further, Venkata Subba Rao et al. [25] considered numerical study on analysis of heat transfer on Casson fluid in presence of thermal radiation and applied transverse magnetic field with the help of powerful assistance of the numerical strategy that is spectral relaxation scheme. Later, in another work they [26] have studied numerically about the features of magneto hydrodynamic boundary layer flow of nanofluid. Ibrahim [27] analyzed very clearly about the impact of thermal radiation on MHD flow of tangent hyperbolic fluid which is a non-Newtonian medal by means of nanoparticle past a stretchable sheet by means of slip with order two as well as convective boundary condition. Later, Mahdi Ramezanizadah et al. [28] they concluded that thermosyphons are utilized in different energy systems because of their substantial performance in heat transfer. There are many substantial practical applications in science and engineering by studying the tangent hyperbolic fluid as working fluid.

The specific objective of current analysis is to explore the heat and mass transfer of magneto hydrodynamics tangent hyperbolic fluid by considering the magnetic field with the help of proposed convergent numerical technique SRM and how the various physical parameters all those are involved in the problem are effect the fluid flow and those effects are examined through graphs and table. Also all the obtained outcomes are shows correlation among the available results in literature.

2. MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION

To analyze the present problem in detail let us take steady, incompressible, 2D flow of electrically conducting (σ) tangent hyperbolic fluid past a stretching cylinder. Because of the stretching there exist a velocity that is denoted with \( \dot{U}_w(x) \) and it is defined as \( \dot{U}_w(x) = \frac{a x}{\gamma} \), here \( a \) is called positive constant and \( l \) is called characteristic length. Fluid is filled at the upper half of the plate that is \( r > R \). Due to the stretching flow is induced. The constitutive equation of tangent hyperbolic fluid, (See, Akbar et al. [29]) is given by

\[
\mathbf{t} = \left[ \mu_e + (\mu_0 + \mu_e)\tanh(\Gamma Y) \right] \nabla \mathbf{v}
\]

(1)

The flow behaviour index is denoted with \( \Gamma \), it is given by

\[
\Gamma = \sqrt{2} \sum_i \sum_j \nabla_i \nabla_j \tau_{ij} = \sqrt{2} \Pi
\]

(2)

Here, \( \Pi = \frac{1}{2} tr(\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T) \). If \( \mu_e = 0 \) in Equation (1) then it is unrealistic to examine the issue of the infinite shear rate viscosity, moreover the considered working fluid has the shear thinning impacts, so that \( \Gamma \dot{Y} < 1 \), because of this equation (1) is expressed as follow:

\[
\mathbf{t} = \mu_0 \left[ \left( \Gamma \dot{Y} \right) \nabla \mathbf{v} - \mu_0 \left[ (1 + \Gamma \dot{Y} - 1) \right] \nabla \mathbf{v} \right]
\]

(3)

The external force \( f \) is formulated below:

\[
f = J \times B
\]

(4)

Here, current density is with \( J \) and it defined as \( J = \sigma_0 (E + V \times B) \) and \( B = (0, B_0) \) is the applied magnetic field with transverse uniform time dependent to the fluid layer. The electric field and electric conductivity are represented with the symbols \( E \) and \( \sigma_0 \) respectively. It is assumed that external electric field does not exist. With this assumption the induced magnetic field and the magnetic Reynolds number become considerably negligible. Consequently, the Hall Effect is not
in consideration. Figure 1 represents the coordinate system as well as physical model of the considered problem.

Figure 1. Physical model of the considered problem

By means of above supposing assumptions governing equations for the flow of present considered model are:

\[
\frac{\partial (ru)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (rv)}{\partial r} = 0
\]

(5)

\[
u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} = \nu (1-n) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial r^2} + (1-n)\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + \sqrt{2\Gamma} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \frac{n\Gamma (\partial u)}{\sqrt{2r}} - \frac{\sigma B_i^2}{\rho} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2}
\]

(6)

\[
u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} = \alpha \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} \right)
\]

(7)

\[
u \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial C}{\partial r} = \alpha \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial C}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial r^2} \right)
\]

(8)

The following are considered as boundary conditions:

\[
r = R : u = U_w(x) = \frac{ax}{l}, v = v_o, T = T_w, C = C_w
\]

(9)

\[
r \to \infty : u \to U_w(x) = 0, T \to T_w, C \to C_w
\]

(10)

Here, similarity transformations can be chosen for finding the similarity solution and they are as follows:

\[
u = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r}, \psi = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r}, \eta = \left( \frac{\alpha}{l} \right) \left( \frac{r^2 - R^2}{2R} \right), \varphi(\eta) = \frac{C - C_o}{C_w - C_o}
\]

(11)

\[
\theta(\eta) = \frac{T - T_w}{T_w - T_o} \varphi(\eta) = \frac{C - C_o}{C_w - C_o}
\]

Making use of transformations (11), equations (5)-(10) can be written as:

\[
(1-n)(1+2K\eta)\frac{d^3 \varphi}{d\eta^3} + f'''' + 2K(1-n)f'''' + 2\lambda n(1+2K\eta)^{1/2} f'f'' + 2\lambda K(1+2K\eta)^{1/2} f'' - Mf' = 0
\]

(12)

\[
(1+2K\eta)f'''' + 2Kf'''' + Scf\varphi'' = 0
\]

(13)

\[
B_u u n v uu r r r r
\]

(14)

Boundary conditions after transformation as follow:

\[
f(0) = f_n, f'(0) = 1, \theta(0) = 1, \varphi(0) = 1
\]

(15)

\[
f'(\infty) = 0, \theta(\infty) = 0, \varphi(\infty) = 0
\]

(16)

Non dimensional constants which are involved in equations (12)-(14) are the Weissenberg number \(\lambda\), suction/injection parameter \(f_w\), Prandtl number is denoted with \(Pr\), magnetic parameter is denoted with \(M\), curvature parameter is denoted with \(K\) and the Schmidt number is denoted with \(Sc\) and all these are formulated as follow:

\[
\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{\alpha}U_w}{\sqrt{2D}}}, \ f_w = -\frac{r}{R} \sqrt{\frac{T}{\nu}}, \ Pr = \frac{\nu}{\alpha}
\]

(17)

\[
M = \frac{\sigma B_i^2}{\rho \alpha}, \ K = \left( \frac{1}{R} \right) \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\nu}}, \ Sc = \frac{\alpha}{D}
\]

The wall shearing stress \(\tau_w\) at the surface is defined as

\[
\tau_w = \mu \left[ (1-n) \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + \frac{n\Gamma}{\sqrt{2r}} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right)^2 \right]_{r=R}
\]

(18)

Here \(\mu\) is the viscosity coefficient.

The coefficient of skin friction can be written as:

\[
C_f = \frac{\tau_w}{\rho U_w^2}
\]

(19)

From the equations (17) and (18), the formula for the coefficient of skin friction is as follow:

\[
C_f R e^{0.5} = \left[ (1-n) f''(0) + n\lambda (f''(0))^2 \right]
\]

(20)

At the wall surface heat flux can be written as follow:

\[
q_w = -k \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \right)_{r=R}
\]

(21)

The formula for Nusselt number is as follow:

\[
Nu = \frac{x}{k T_w - T_o}
\]

(22)

At the wall heat transfer rates with the help of Equations (21) and (22) is as follow:

\[
\frac{Nu}{Re^{0.5}} = -\theta'(0)
\]

(23)

Formula for mass flux at surface is as follow:
of introducing $\mathcal{D}$, where $\mathcal{D}$ is the differentiation matrix, is to estimate the unknown variables and its derivatives at the collocation points. The following representation is the matrix vector product

$$
\frac{\partial f_{r+1}}{\partial \eta} = \sum_{l=0}^{N} D_{l,0} f_{l}(\xi_l) = D f_{r}, \quad l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N
$$

(33)

Here $D = 2\mathcal{D}/L$ and the vector function $f = \{f(\xi_0), f(\xi_1), \ldots, f(\xi_N)\}^T$ is defined at grid points. The powers of $D$ denote the higher-order derivatives, i.e.

$$f^{(p)} = D^p f_r$$

(34)

The order of the derivative is denoted by $p$. Applying the proposed technique to equations (27)-(30), we get,

$$A_1 f_{r+1} = B_1, f_{r+1}(\xi_\infty) = f_u$$

(35)

$$A_2 p_{r+1} = B_2, p_{r+1}(\xi_\infty) = 1, p_{r+1}(\xi_0) = 0$$

(36)

$$A_3 \theta_{r+1} = B_3, \theta_{r+1}(\xi_\infty) = 1, \theta_{r+1}(\xi_0) = 0$$

(37)

$$A_4 \varphi_{r+1} = B_4, \varphi_{r+1}(\xi_\infty) = 1, \varphi_{r+1}(\xi_0) = 0$$

(38)

Here,

$$A_1 = D, B_1 = p_r$$

(39)

$$A_2 = D^2 diag((1-n)(1+2K\eta))$$

(40)

$$+2\lambda n(1+2K\eta)^{3/2} p_{r+1}$$

$$+diag \left(f_{r+1} + 2K(1-n)D-MI, B_2 = p_r^2\right)$$

(41)

$$A_3 = diag(1+2K\eta)D^2 + diag \left(Pr f_{r+1} + 2K D - diag(Pr p_{r+1})I, B_3 = 0\right)$$

(42)

In equations (39)-(42), an identity matrix represented by $I$, and diagonal matrix represented by $diag[I]$ at the grid points the values of the functions $f, p, \theta$ and $\varphi$ are $f, p, \theta$ and $\varphi$ respectively, where the subscript $r$ represents an iteration number.

To start the SRM scheme for equations (27)-(30) the initial guesses are chosen as follow:

$$f_{0}(\eta) = f_u + 1 - e^{-\eta}, \quad p_{0}(\eta) = e^{-\eta}, \quad \theta_{0}(\eta) = e^{-\eta}, \quad \varphi_{0}(\eta) = e^{-\eta}$$

(43)

All the functions are chosen randomly and all these functions are satisfying the boundary conditions. The purpose of repeating the iteration scheme is to get the convergence. In terms of infinity norm of spectral relaxation method the convergence is explained by
\[
Er = \max \left( \left\| f_{\eta+1} - f_{\eta} \right\|, \left\| p_{\eta+1} - p_{\eta} \right\|, \left\| \phi_{\eta+1} - \phi_{\eta} \right\| \right)
\]  
(44)

The main purpose of increasing the number of grid points to build up the exactness of the proposed scheme, moreover to obtain consistent solutions for any further increments also.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Numerical computations are shown graphically in Figure 2 to Figure 15 to discuss various resulting parameters which are involved the problem. The outcomes which are obtained from the numerical procedure discussed in the previous section are compared with those of Akber et al. [29] and Malik et al. [34] and displayed in Table 1. This comparison shows a decent agreement between present study and previous studies. Furthermore, the outcomes demonstrate that the spectral relaxation method is efficient and adequately powerful for use of solving fluid flow problems.

Table 1. Comparison of coefficient of Skin friction

\[
\sqrt{Re_x C_{f\eta}} \text{ with the available results in literature for various values of } M \text{ when } Pr = Sc = n = K = \lambda = 0
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M</th>
<th>Present (SRM)</th>
<th>Akbar (Runge–Kutta–Fehlberg method) [29]</th>
<th>Malik (Kellor–Box method) [34]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-1.118034</td>
<td>-1.11803</td>
<td>-1.11802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1.414214</td>
<td>-1.41421</td>
<td>-1.41419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-2.449490</td>
<td>-2.44949</td>
<td>-2.44945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-3.316625</td>
<td>-3.31663</td>
<td>-3.31657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-10.049874</td>
<td>-10.04988</td>
<td>-10.04981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>-22.383029</td>
<td>-22.38303</td>
<td>-22.38294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>-31.638584</td>
<td>-31.63859</td>
<td>-31.63851</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Variation of velocity profile \( f' (\eta) \) for \( n \)

Particularly, Figures 2-12 show the characteristics of emerging parameters those are involved in the problem. The Figures 2-4 describe the distribution of velocity, temperature mass friction function for the varying values of power law index \( n \) for both cases i.e., suction and injection here suction is with \( f_w > 0 \) and injection is denoted with \( f_w < 0 \). In this regard, it can be noticed from Figure 2, that velocity profiles decreased for rising values of \( n \). It is because of increments in
fluid flow, so that there is a resistive force to the flow. This opposing force is named as Lorentz force. As a result, there exists a retardation effect on the fluid flow. Due to this there exists a deceleration in the velocity. Moreover, increase in its boundary layer thickness is observed. It tends to be seen that, temperature, concentration profiles and also corresponding boundary layer thickness is significantly increased along with the increase in \( M \), this can be shown in Figures 6 and 7. We can observe that enhancement in the fluid velocity profiles along with the rising of curvature parameter \( K \) as shown in Figure 8. The reason is that with the increasing values of \( K \) there exist a reduction in the curvature radius the reason behind is that area of the cylinder reduces. Because of this reason fluid particle gets a low resistive force to the cylinder. With this velocity of the fluid enhances. Also Figures 9 and 10 portrays profiles of temperature and concentration respectively under the influence of \( K \). Moreover, we can notice from the same figures, that curvature parameter \( K \) enhances the fluid temperature, concentration and also boundary layers of thermal and concentration respectively. The reason is that parameter of curvature \( K \) accelerates the rates of heat and mass transmits significantly as a result we can notice an upsurge in the fluid temperature and concentration. In general, the relation between fluid kinematic viscosity and thermal diffusivity is named as the Prandtl number. From the Figure 11, noticed that temperature and corresponding thickness of the thermal boundary layer are decreased for Prandtl number increments. Reason behind this is that, increase in \( Pr \) means increases in viscosity of the fluid and rate of heat transfers increase. It is the reason for decrement in the fluid temperature.

**Figure 6.** Variation of temperature profile \( \theta(\eta) \) for \( M \)

**Figure 7.** Variation of concentration profile \( \phi(\eta) \) for \( M \)

**Figure 8.** Variation of velocity profile \( f'(\eta) \) for \( K \)

**Figure 9.** Variation of temperature profile \( \theta(\eta) \) for \( K \)

**Figure 10.** Variation of concentration profile \( \phi(\eta) \) for \( K \)

**Figure 11.** Variation of temperature profile \( \theta(\eta) \) for \( Pr \)
Figure 12 is drawn to demonstrate the concentration profile for varying values of Schmidt number $Sc$. The values for $Sc$ are selected as 0.24, 0.62 and 0.78 to signify the diffusing chemical species in gases like $H_2$, $H_2O$ and $NH_3$ respectively. From Figure 12, it tends to be seen that, fluid concentration decreased with the increase of $Sc$. Figure 13 is used to understand the behaviour of skin friction for various resulting parameters which are encountered in the present problem. From this plot noticed that skin friction coefficient is increased along with the rise of flow controlling parameters like, magnetic, curvature and suction/injection. $f_w$, while opposite results are obtained for magnetic field strength. Figure 15 presents the behaviour of local Sherwood number for different fluid parameters. From this figure it tends to be found that increase in curvature parameter $K$ and suction/injection parameter $f_w$ causes corresponding increase in local Sherwood number while opposite results are obtained for magnetic field strength.

5. CONCLUSIONS

From the present analysis is laid out to analyse the transfer of heat and mass in magneto hydrodynamics tangential hyperbolic fluid flow past a stretchable cylinder by means of thermal dispersion and suction/injection by means of proposed numerical technique. A set of transformed equations which are obtained from the governing partial differential equations are solved numerically with the help of convergent numerical technique that is Spectral Relaxation Method. The numerical solutions are displayed through graphs and tables and found a decent agreement with the previously presented outcomes in the literature. From above analysis some of the main observations are summarizes as follow:

1. There is a decrease in the velocity profile with power law index increments in both cases i.e., suction and injection.
2. Temperature and concentration profiles are upsurges with power law index increments in both cases i.e., suction and injection.
3. The flow parameters like, curvature and power law index have shown the same behavior in case of velocity, temperature and concentration distribution.
4. Skin friction coefficient and heat as well as mass transfer rates are up surged along with curvature parameter increments.
5. The effect of magnetic parameter causes increment in skin friction coefficient in the same way there is a reduction in heat and mass transfer rates.
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NOMENCLATURE

\( k \) Thermal conductivity of the fluid (W/m K)

\( c_p \) Specific heat maintained at unvarying pressure (J/kg K)

\( f \) Non dimensional stream function

\( u, v \) Velocity components (m/s)

\( x, r \) Dimensionless coordinates

\( \gamma \) Mechanical thermal dispersion coefficient

\( T \) Temperature fluid (°C)

\( T_w \) Surface temperature

\( T_\infty \) Fluid ambient temperature

\( u_w(x) \) Stretching velocity

\( i \) Time index at the time of navigation

\( L \) Scale

\( t \) Time

\( \overline{N} \) Number of grid points

\( C_f \) Coefficient of skin friction

\( C \) Fluid concentration

\( C_w \) Fluid ambient concentration

\( C_{sw} \) Concentration at the stretching surface

Greek symbols

\( \alpha \) Fluid thermal diffusivity (m²/s)

\( \mu \) Fluid thermal viscosity (N s/m)

\( \rho \) Density (kg/m³)

\( \tau_w \) At wall shear stress

\( \varphi \) Non-dimensional concentration

\( \eta \) Similarity variable

\( \nu = \frac{\mu}{\rho} \) Kinematic viscosity of the fluid

\( \mu_s \) Zero shear rate of viscosity of the fluid

\( \mu_\infty \) Infinite shear rate of viscosity of the fluid

\( \Gamma \) Material constant with time dependent

\( \theta \) Dimensionless temperature

Subscript

\( w \) Surface condition

\( \infty \) Infinity condition

Super script

\( i \) Derivative with respect to \( \eta \)