Experiments on parallel connected loops in single phase natural circulation: preliminary results
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1. INTRODUCTION

Natural convection flow in loops has been thoroughly investigated over the past four decades. The several fields of interest cover applications such as cooling of electronic circuitry, nuclear applications, material processing, geothermal energy extraction, environmental processes, storage systems, passive safety systems, etc.

Natural circulation of a fluid occurs in presence of temperature and density gradients in a force field, like gravity, due to a heat source and a heat sink in thermal contact with the fluid that establish and maintain circulation with no need of external mechanical moving aid.

There is no general way to predict the distribution of the thermo-hydraulic behaviour and the loop performance. In fact, many variables act together such as the following geometric factors:
- Hydraulic diameter of the tubes;
- Aspect ratio (H/W, height/length) of the loop;
- Orientation of the loop;
- Heat source and heat sink displacements (horizontal, vertical).

From the operating point of view the following variables play a fundamental role on the thermal-hydrodynamic behaviour of a natural circulation loop:
- Fluid characteristics;
- Heater and heat sink locations;
- Heat sink temperature;
- Heater power input.

The dynamic natural circulation behaviour was experimentally and numerically investigated. The first studies were carried out by Keller [1] and Welander [2]. More recently Chen [3], Vijayan et al. [4-5], Misale et al. [6], Devia and Misale [7], Misale [8] and Swapnaeek and Vijayan [9] developed theoretical analyses in order to focus the influence of the loop geometrical characteristics on the natural circulation instabilities.

Natural circulation loops (NCLs) investigated in literature are usually single-phase vertical rectangular or toroidal loops, with simply geometrical shapes. Only few works are focused on complex loops, with additional connecting pipes or more coolers/heaters. Satoh et al. [10] studied the influence of a upper double cooler by means of a numerical approach, while the Authors [11] experimentally analysed the influence of a connecting tube between the half lower heated slice and the upper one (cooled section).

In this context our attention is focused on experimental works. In particular this paper deals with an improvement in phenomena observation. A summary of the works relevant to the experimental investigations regarding rectangular systems is reported in Tab. 1, where the description of loop configuration, the instrumentations used, the major geometrical dimensions and the operating conditions are mentioned.

The aim of this study is to experimentally investigate two natural circulation loops connected at the heated section.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The experimental setup is depicted in Fig.1, whereas in Tab.2 are reported the geometrical dimension of each rectangular loop. The apparatus consists of two rectangular vertical loops connected in the bottom side by two tubes made
of copper. Each rectangular loop utilises copper tubes for horizontal and vertical parts of the loop. The four curves are made with silicon tubes. The internal diameter of the circular cross section is 4 mm, whereas the thickness of the tube is 2 mm. The same tube is used to connect the two rectangular loops. The distance between the two parallel loops is 120 mm. The flow in the circuit is induced by the presence of a heater in the bottom side of the loop and a cooler at the top part of the loop. The heater is realised by a nichromel wire rolled around the horizontal tube, whereas the heat sink is a coaxial heat exchanger located at the top side of the loop. The temperature at the heat sink is controlled by a cryostat (Haake F3, temperature stability ±0.1°C). In order to increase the flow rate of the secondary flow, an external circulator (Calpeda CAM 60E) is used which guarantee a flow rate higher than 10 l/min. This high value of flow causes a constant temperature in the external side of the heat sink. The thermal boundary conditions are: imposed heat flux at the heater and imposed temperature at the cooler.

![Figure 1. Experimental setup (dimensions in mm)](image)

The fluid temperature are measured by six T-type shielded/calibrated thermocouples (O.D. of 0.5 mm/±0.1 K), whereas two additional thermocouples are used to measure both the ambient temperature and the secondary fluid temperature (50% water, 50% glycol) in the cryostat bath. Six calibrated sheathed thermocouples (±0.1°C) are located in the vertical legs of the loop and the hot junction of each thermocouple is placed in the middle of the cross section at the distance from the heater reported in Fig. 1. The presence of a small part of the thermocouple wire in the cross section of the tube reduce it of 7.9%. This value is sufficiently small to neglect the consequent increment of pressure loss.

The temperature data are acquired and stored by a National Instruments data acquisition system (SCXI 1102, SCXI 1303, SCXI 1000, PCI-1200). The frequency sampling is 1 s, with a minimum test duration of 10000 s. Each run started from stagnant condition of the working fluid. The following procedure was applied to each run: prepare the cryostat at the desiderate temperature and at the same time switch on the power supply and open the valve that put in connection the cryostat with the heat sink.

### 3. EXPERIMENTAL CAMPAIGNS

To better investigate the mutual influence of the two connected loops a first series of test was performed increasing and decreasing the power in case of no-connecting loops. The data allowed to know the thermal performance of each loop when the secondary fluid temperature was selected by the cryostat (10, 20 and 30°C).

A second series of test was performed in case of connected loops. In particular, two different procedures were used:

- Procedure A): The same range power (20 W-90 W, power step of 10 W) was supply to loops #1 and 2 during the increasing and decreasing phases.
- Procedure B): A constant power of 40 W was applied at loop #2, whereas the loop#1 started the power from 20 W up to 90 W with power step of 10 W. The power to loop #1 was applied increasing and decreasing with the same step power.

Tables 3, 4 and 5 report the operative conditions tested for each procedure.

### 4. RESULTS

The data collected during the different runs are analysed in terms of absolute values of the average temperature difference between hot and cold legs (several runs move clockwise and other runs move counterclockwise):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Fluid</th>
<th>Loop configuration</th>
<th>Instrumentation</th>
<th>Diameter [mm]/ Aspect ratio (H/D)/ Inclination [deg]</th>
<th>Power [W]</th>
<th>Heat sink temp. [°C]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pilkhwal at al. [14]</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Rectangular, copper tubes, heater: horizontal bottom; heat sink: horizontal at the top.</td>
<td>K-type TC</td>
<td>26.9/ 1.55/ 0</td>
<td>100-800</td>
<td>Ambient tap water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kudariyawar et al. [15]</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Rectangular, glass tubes, the loop is equipped with vertical and horizontal heaters and coolers thus obtaining different working configurations.</td>
<td>3D simulation</td>
<td>26.9/ 1.55/ 0</td>
<td>90-105-120-135-196-220-257-450</td>
<td>Ambient tap water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venkatesh et al. [16]</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Rectangular, copper tubes, heater: horizontal bottom; heat sink: horizontal at the top.</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.5/ 1.51/ 0</td>
<td>50÷450</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
De-ionized water with different concentration and size (10-30mm) of Al2O3 nanofluid.

Distilled water and nanofluid Al2O3 with 2 different concentration.

Rectangular, copper tubes, heater: horizontal bottom; heat sink: horizontal at the top.

Rectangular, copper tubes, heater: horizontal bottom; heat sink: horizontal at the top.

Rectangular, copper tubes, heater: horizontal bottom; heat sink: horizontal at the top. (miniloop)

Rectangular, copper tubes, the loop is equipped with horizontal heaters, vertical horizontal coolers, thus obtaining different working configurations.

Rectangular, copper tubes, heater: horizontal bottom; heat sink: horizontal at the top.

Rectangular, copper tubes, heater: horizontal bottom; heat sink: horizontal at the top.

Rectangular; heat sink: horizontal at the top; heater: vertical arm at the bottom.

Circular glass loop, heat sink on the top, heater at the bottom.

Rectangular, copper tubes, heater: horizontal bottom; heat sink: horizontal at the top.

Rectangular, copper tubes, heater: horizontal bottom; heat sink: horizontal at the top.

Rectangular, copper tubes, heater: horizontal bottom; heat sink: horizontal at the top.

K-type TC

T-type TC

K-type TC

K-type TC

K-type TC

K-type TC

Ambient tap water

(20÷90 W) and heat sink temperature (10, 20, 30°C). Each curve depicted average value of data collected during the repeatability tests. The maximum deviation from the average value drawn in Figure 2a/b are ±0.2 °C and ±0.1 cm/s, respectively.

Table 3. Tests operative conditions: Circuits #1 and #2, no connected loop

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Power #1 [W] step 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>from 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to 90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heat sink [°C]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Tests operative conditions: Circuits #1 and #2 connected, PROC. A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Power #1, #2 [W] step 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>from 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to 90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heat sink [°C]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Tests operative conditions: Circuits #1 and #2 connected, PROC. B; Power #2=40 W

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Power #1 [W]</th>
<th>T\text{,heat,sink [° C]}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>from 20</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to 90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The trends of the average difference temperatures and the average fluid velocity show an opposite behaviour when the power input increases, according to the (1) and (2) equations.

4.2 Connected loops

As reported in the introduction, the main target of this paper is the natural circulation in a connected parallel rectangular loops. Two different operating procedures are adopted, as described in the previous section 3. Loop #1 results adopting Procedures A and B are compared with the data collected in case of no-connected loop #1. In particular, it is interesting to analyse the influence of the parallel connected circuit #2 on circuit #1 behaviour, when the power inputs of the two loops are equal (procedure A) or the power supply of loop #2 is fixed to 40 W (procedure B).

Figure 3 shows details of the 3 procedures for T\text{\,heat\,sink}=20°C. Procedure B behaves the lowest temperature differences, (Figure 3a) while the condition with no-connected loop #1 achieves the highest values for all heat sink temperatures.

Probably the connecting tube allows that a small amount of fluid (“fresh liquid”) of loop #2 participates at the heat transfer of loop #1 reducing the average temperature difference.

Opposite behaviours are presented in Figure 3b, which reports the circuit #1 fluid velocities versus circuit #1 power input, for all the operative conditions investigated: highest velocities are exhibited for and for Procedure B. Similar trends are found for the other heat sink temperatures (10°C and 30°C).

4.3 Data reduction analysis

Moreover, it is clear the influence of the heat sink temperature: when it increases, the average difference temperature decreases for a fixed heat power dissipated at the heater [24-27].

Figure 2. a) Average temperature differences between hot and cold legs versus heat power (circuit #1); b) average fluid velocity versus heat power (circuit #1)
The influence of the fluid thermophysical properties on the average temperature difference could be analysed by means of Bernoulli’s equation applied in case of laminar flow and heat balance. If the wall frictional stress could be neglected for velocity evaluation, the buoyancy and tangential wall forces balance leads to the relationship (3) among the average temperature difference and fluid thermophysical properties (k, c, β, p, Pr), geometrical (height H, length L, internal diameter D) and operative conditions (power input P):

$$\Delta T_{avg} \propto \sqrt{\frac{128 \cdot P \cdot L / D}{\pi \cdot D^3 \cdot H / g} \cdot \frac{k}{(c \cdot \rho)^2 \cdot \beta \cdot Pr}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Figure 4 reports the experimental ΔTavg values of circuit #1 in terms of Equation (3). The trend is linear.

As suggested by Vijayan, the steady-state data could be evaluated by means of an analytical correlation: in case of stable flow, the gravitational forces balance the shear stresses and the steady state Reynolds number Re ss could be correlated with a modified Grashof number Gr m, heat flux, geometry and mean fluid temperature:

$$Re_{ss} = \frac{\varphi \cdot D}{v}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$Gr_m = \frac{D^3 \cdot p^2 \cdot H}{H \cdot \beta \cdot g}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

The final correlations are:

$$Re_{ss} = 0.1768 \cdot \left[ \frac{Gr_m}{N_G} \right]^{0.5} \text{ for laminar flow}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

$$Re_{ss} = 1.96 \cdot \left[ \frac{Gr_m}{N_G} \right]^{0.364} \text{ for turbulent flow}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

$$N_G = \left( \frac{\Delta T_{tot} + k}{T} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where f=64/Re ss and k was evaluated with Idelchik (1994).

The Re ss experimental data of all the runs are depicted in Figure 5 versus (Gr m/N G) and compared with laminar flow Vijayan correlation.

Experimental data seem to be well correlated by the analytical correlation, with a slight underestimation for the lower Gr m/N G values.

Figure 6 reports the circuit #1 effectiveness reported in terms of power input #1 (10÷90 W).

Effectiveness refers to the ratio of actual heat transfer to maximum possible one; it has been evaluated as follows:

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\Delta T_{avg}}{T_{in} - T_{heat sink}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

In equation (9) T s represents the inlet liquid temperature in the heat sink, assumed equal to the left leg mean temperature if the fluid flow is clockwise, and equal to the right leg mean temperature if the fluid flow is counterclockwise.

In Figure 6a the no-connected loop behaviour is showed for the 3 different heat sink temperatures investigated.

The best performance in terms of average difference temperature (Figure 2a) is achieved for T heat sink=30°C, whereas in terms of efficiency for this heat sink temperature it shows the lowest performance even though all values of efficiency varying in a reduced spread (between 1 and 0.96).

In Figure 6b the efficiency for both no-connected and connected loop are depicted for the different operating procedures adopted during the experiments (no-connected loop; Procedure A; Procedure B), at T heat sink=20°C.

For all the heat sink temperatures investigated Procedure A led to highest values of efficiency. These results suggest that the average difference temperature is not the only parameter to take in account for the evaluation of the system thermal performance (the minimum values of the average difference temperatures were found for Procedure B); a more thorough analysis could be extended to other thermophysical and geometrical parameters, including the horizontal connecting leg influence on the loop mutual link.
5. CONCLUSIONS

In the present paper an experimental investigation on single-phase natural circulation loops was presented. A first step of the work has been focused on a single loop, in which the upper horizontal section was cooled by a heat sink (cryostat) and the lower one by a heater. The average difference temperature between the two vertical legs was analysed for different heat sink temperatures and heater power input.

Afterwards the investigation was focused of the mutual influence of two connected loops, which have been heated following two different procedures, with the power inputs of the two loops equal (procedure A) or the power of loop #2 fixed to 40 W and varying the power of loop #1 (procedure B).

Then experimental average temperature difference data were analysed for underline the influence of the fluid thermophysial properties. The results are very interesting and suggest a correlation between the temperature difference and a proper combination of thermophysial properties and operative conditions.

For all the heat sink temperatures investigated, loop #1 showed the lowest temperature difference at the heated section in case of connected loop (Procedure B) whereas it achieves the highest values for no-connected loop.

Experimental data have been compared with the analytical correlation proposed by Vijayan, showing a good agreement.

In terms of effectiveness, the best perform of no-connected loop is achieved for the highest heat sink temperature, while for all the heat sink temperatures investigated Procedure A leaded to highest values of efficiency.
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**NOMENCLATURE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Internal cross section area [m²]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>Water specific heat [J/kgK]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Internal tube diameter [m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dc</td>
<td>Horizontal connecting internal diameter [m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>Friction factor (64/Reₚ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grₚ</td>
<td>Modified Grashof number [-]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Circuit Height [m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>Concentrated pressure losses coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lc</td>
<td>Horizontal connecting pipe length [m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt</td>
<td>Circuit total length [m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ne</td>
<td>Concentrated and uniform pressure losses parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Power input [W]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>Prandtl number [-]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reₛ</td>
<td>Steady state Reynolds number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tₛ</td>
<td>Heat sink temperature [°C]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THₜ</td>
<td>Average hot leg temperature [°C]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCₜ</td>
<td>Average cold leg temperature [°C]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Circuit width [m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β</td>
<td>Coefficient of thermal expansion [1/K]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ε</td>
<td>Effectiveness factor [-]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µ</td>
<td>Dynamic viscosity [kg/ms]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ρ</td>
<td>Water density [kg/m³]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>