

simulation, it has been observed that the stress variation is shown near the hitch element and arc anchors of the bucket and safety factor also decrease in this area. In the loaded bucket condition (filled with overburden), a similar observation has been found, but the magnitude of stress increased and hence, a factor of safety decreased. In the mine A, it has also been noted from the maintenance logs that the failure occurs near the hitch element of the bucket.

Reference

1. A.M. Mouazen, M. Nemenyi, Finite element analysis of subsoiler cutting in non-homogeneous, 1999, Soil and Tillage Research, vol. 51, pp. 1-15.
2. M. Costello, J. Kyle. A method for calculating the static condition of a dragline excavation system using dynamic simulation, 2004, Mathematical and Computer Modeling, vol. 40, pp. 233-247.
3. C.J Coetzee, D.N.J. Els, G.F. Dymond, Discrete element parameter calibration and the modeling of a dragline bucket filling, 2010, Journal of Terramechanics, vol. 47, pp. 33-44.
4. Design and loading of dragline buckets. A CSIRO DMS report.
5. M.P. Tupkar, S.R. Zaveri, Design and analysis of an excavator bucket (IJSRET), 2015, vol. 4, ISSN: 2278-0882.
6. Golbasi, N. Demirel. Investigation of stress in an earthmover bucket using finite element analysis, 2015, The Southern African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy, ISSN: 2225-6253.
7. C.J Coetzee, D.N.J. Els. The numerical modeling of excavator bucket filling using DEM, 2009, Journal of terramechanics, vol. 46, pp. 217-227.
8. Mootaz Abo-Elnor, R. Hamilton, J.T. Boyle. Simulation of soil-blade interaction for sandy soil using advanced 3D finite element analysis, 2004, Soil & Tillage Research, vol. 75, pp. 61-73.
9. Mootaz Abo-Elnor, R. Hamilton, J.T. Boyle. 3D Dynamic analysis of soil-tool interaction using the finite element method, 2003, Journal of Terramechanics, vol. 40, pp. 51-62.
10. <https://en.wikipedia.org>.
11. www.abl-services.com.