Establishment and optimization of fluid pipe network models based on topological analysis algorithm
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ABSTRACT

Recent years has seen the proliferation of various fluid pipe networks in our living and working environments. With the growth in size, complexity and scale, fluid pipe networks are faced with numerous problems like pipe collision and bursting. To prevent these problems, ensure the operation efficiency and save cost, this paper probes into the structure and performance of two fluid pipe networks that backs up each other. Based on topological analysis algorithm, the two fluid pipe networks were converted into topological graphs, and created topological models involving pipe elements and nodes. Through optimization of the models, the author proposed the two-pipe connection mode for the two pipe networks. The optimization strategy was then verified through a simulation application in a tree-shaped water injection system. The results show that our strategy can effectively optimize the two-pipe connection, ensure the operation efficiency and save the network cost. The research findings provide a good reference to the design and optimization of fluid pipe networks in China.

1. INTRODUCTION

The continuous improvement of living standards has increased the presence of various fluid pipe networks in our living and working environments, such as the pipe networks for domestic water supply, heat supply, rainwater drainage, wastewater drainage, fire water supply, industrial water supply and oilfield water injection [1]. To satisfy the needs of life and work, all types of pipe networks are continuously growing in size and complexity. Many problems (e.g. the collision, wrong connection and connection failure between pipes) will emerge if the fluid pipe networks are constructed empirically without rational analysis or design [2]. The ensuing to resource waste, cost hike and network instability will make it impossible for the fluid pipe networks to fulfill the living and working demands. As a result, in-depth analysis of the structure and performance of fluid pipe networks is needed to rationalize and optimize the design of these networks.

In general, a fluid pipe network consists of three parts: source power, nodes and connecting pipes. These parts interact with each other, forming a complex yet complete system [3]. The research focus of fluid pipe networks lies in reducing cost and enhancing efficiency through structural optimization. For instance, Afshar, and Ribas et al., optimized the pipe layout of water supply pipe network by the genetic algorithm [4-5]. Sinha and Pandey, and Carrion et al., put forward reliability evaluation methods after examining the structural performance of different fluid pipe networks [6-7]. Chang established an equivalent model of fluid pipe network [8].

In addition, some scholars have introduced topological analysis, which focuses on the spatial correlation of each element in a set, to explore fluid pipe networks. For example, Zhang et al., (2017) relied on topological analysis algorithm to optimize the fluid pipe network layout through the optimization of the node positions and connections. Popular topological algorithms include Prime algorithm and genetic algorithm [9].

To sum up, the existing studies mainly deal with the optimization or reliability evaluation of a single fluid pipe network, failing to explore the optimal connection between two or more fluid pipe networks. In fact, the connection between multiple fluid pipe networks is a common issue, due to the continuous expansion of these networks. Therefore, this paper attempts to optimize the connection between two fluid pipe networks, aiming to save cost and enhance operation efficiency, in addition to optimizing the layout of fluid pipe networks.

To optimize the connection between two fluid pipe networks, this paper converts fluid pipe networks into topological graphs based on the topology analysis algorithm, and sets up the topology models of the fluid pipe networks. After optimizing these models, the author proposed a method to optimize the connection between the two fluid pipe networks. The proposed method was proved feasible through the simulation application to an actual water injection system. The research findings provide a good reference for the design and optimization of fluid pipe networks in China.

2. MODEL CONSTRUCTION

This paper considers two fluid pipe networks (hereinafter referred to as the system) that back up each other, that is, the primary pipe network and the secondary pipe network serve as the backup network for each other through the optimal connection mode. This connection pattern ensures the
2.1 Establishment of fluid pipe element model

The first step of model construction is to analyze the topology of the fluid pipe networks. For simplicity, the fluid is assumed to flow at a constant speed, and each fluid pipe network is considered as the combination of multiple pipe elements via nodal connections. In addition, all pipe elements are assumed to obey the law of conservation of energy [10]. Let me be the node on one side and n be the node on the other side of pipe element i. If the elevation of node m is greater than that of node n, then the fluid will flow from m to n, and the pressure at node m will be greater than that of node n. The energy resulted from elevation difference can be expressed as:

\[ \Delta P = \frac{P_i^m - (\rho \cdot g \cdot \Delta P_i) + (\rho \cdot g \cdot (H_i^m - H_i^n))}{P_i^n} \]

where \( P_i^m \) and \( P_i^n \) are the pressures at node m and node n, respectively; \( \rho \) is the fluid density; \( g \) is the acceleration of gravity; \( H_i^m \) and \( H_i^n \) are the elevations of node m and node n, respectively; \( \Delta P_i \) is the pressure loss of pipe element i. The value of \( \Delta P_i \) can be calculated by the Darcy’s law [11]:

\[ \Delta P = \frac{l_i \cdot v_i^2}{2g \cdot d_i} = \frac{8l_i \cdot Q_i^2}{\pi \cdot g \cdot d_i^5} \]

where \( l_i, v_i, d_i \), and \( Q_i \) are the length, fluid flow speed, inner diameter and flow of pipe element i; \( \lambda \) is the head loss coefficient.

The flow in each pipe element changes with the pressure loss between the nodes on both sides of the element. The three variation conditions are explained below:

(a) If \( \Delta P_i \geq p^\text{req} \), then

\[ Q_i = \begin{cases} \text{satisfies the } q^\text{req} \\ \text{partly satisfies } 0 < q < q^\text{req}; \\ 0 \ (\text{no flow}) \end{cases} \]

(b) If \( \Delta P_i < p^\text{min} \), then

\[ 0 < Q_i < q^\text{req} \]

(c) If \( \Delta P_i < p^\text{min} \), then \( Q_i = 0 \ (\text{no flow}) \).

2.2 Establishment of fluid node model

It is assumed that the flow through each node is constant, i.e., the incoming flow equals the outgoing flow at the node. In this case, the flow of node m is made up of the incoming flow \( I_m \), the flow \( Q_i \) of the pipe element i connected to this node and the flow loss \( q_m \) of this node:

\[ I_n = Q_i + \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} q_m, \quad m = 0, 1, \cdots, M - 1 \]

where \( S_m \) is the set of serial numbers of the nodes connected to node m; M is the total number of nodes in the primary pipe network.

2.3 Calculation of model cost

The overall cost is positively correlated with the cost per pipe element, node and connection. Hence, the cost objective function can be established as:

\[ C = \min \left( \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} y_{mn} \cdot \omega_{mn} \cdot l_{mn} \right) \]

where \( C \) is the cost of the system; \( y_{mn} \) is the connection coefficient between node m in the primary pipe network and node n in the secondary pipe network; \( \omega_{mn} \) is the unit cost of connecting the two pipe elements; \( M \) and \( N \) are the total number of nodes in the primary and secondary pipe networks, respectively; \( l_{mn} \) is the connection length. The value of \( l_{mn} \) can be calculated as:

\[ l_{mn} = \sqrt{(x_m - x_n)^2 + (y_m - y_n)^2 + (z_m - z_n)^2} \]

where \( (x_m, y_m, z_m) \) are the coordinates of node m in the primary pipe network; \( (x_n, y_n, z_n) \) the coordinates of node n in the secondary pipe network. In actual pipe networks, the connections between the nodes are not necessarily linear. To improve the model accuracy, additional nodes can be designed at the break points when the pipe networks are converted into topological graphs.

3. MODEL OPTIMIZATION

The fluid pipe networks were converted into topological graphs, taking the energy supply stations and the primary stations as nodes and the pipes as edges. The topological models of the primary and secondary pipe networks were then optimized by single parent genetic algorithm, in reference to relevant literature [12]. The connection between the two pipe networks was optimized by the flow in Figure 1. After setting up the topologies, the parameters of each node, namely, pressure \( P \) and flow \( Q \), were calculated from equations (1)–(4). Then, the importance of each pipe element was evaluated against the availability of nodes, flow or pipe elements (the availability is defined as the ratio of the number of nodes, the flow or the number of pipe elements after troubleshooting to the total number of nodes, the total flow or the total number of pipe elements when the system works normally). The satisfactory nodes determined in importance evaluation were sorted. Then, the nodes of the primary and secondary pipe networks were allocated to sets S1 and S2, respectively.
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**Figure 1.** Optimization flow of the connection between the two pipe networks

The connection mode was optimized as follows. First, one node (to simulate single-pipe connection) or two nodes (to simulate two-pipe connection) were selected randomly from the two sets. Under no external pressure, the author judged whether the pressure of a node could satisfy the pressure required for the other node. If the result was positive, the reliability of each connection was calculated and the cost was computed by equation (5). Then, the connection mode with higher reliability and lower cost was identified. Under external pressure, the reliability and cost were computed directly for each connection. Then, the connection mode with higher reliability and lower cost was identified.

Inspired by the previous research [13], the mean reliability $R_m$ of each node is calculated and taken as the reliability of that node:

$$R_m = \frac{\sum_{D=1}^{MAX} \sum_{t=1}^{24} Q_{mt} \sum_{D=1}^{MAX} \sum_{t=1}^{24} Q_{mt}^{req}}{Q_{mt}^{req}}$$

where $Q_{mt}$ is the flow of node $i$ within the $t$-th hour; $D$ is the number of sampling days; $Q_{mt}^{req}$ is the flow required for node $m$ within the $t$-th hour. If $R_m = 0$, then node $m$ has no flow in that period, which requires inspection and repair to eliminate the failure; if $0 < R_m < 1$, then node $m$ works imperfectly (e.g. lack of flow supply and possible pipe damage), which also requires inspection; if $R_m = 1$, then node $m$ works normally.

Referring to the previous research [13], the instantaneous reliability $RS_j$ of the system can be computed as:

$$RS_j = \frac{\sum_{m=0}^{H-1} Q_{mj}^{req} (Q_{mj} / Q_{mj}^{req})}{\sum_{m=0}^{H-1} Q_{mj}^{req}}$$

where $Q_{mj}$ is the flow of node $m$ at the $j$-th hour; $Q_{mj}^{req}$ is the flow required for node $m$ at the $j$-th hour. If $RS_j = 0$, then the system stopped running; if $0 < RS_j < 1$, then node $m$ works imperfectly (e.g. lack of flow supply and possible pipe damage); if $RS_j = 1$, the system works normally.

**4. SIMULATION APPLICATION**

The established model optimization method was applied to a typical tree-shaped water injection system in Qinggang County, northeastern China’s Heilongjiang Province. To begin with, the primary and secondary pipe networks of the system were separately converted into topological structures, and the relevant parameters were calculated. Figures 2 and 3 present the topological graphs optimized by the genetic algorithm.
The importance of a pipe element is negatively correlated with the availabilities of the corresponding node and flow when the pipe element fails. Here, the availability of 0.5 is treated as the critical value, and the pipe elements with smaller-than-0.5 node and flow availabilities are considered as relatively important. As shown in Figures 4 and 5, the pipe elements 2#, 4#, 5#, 8# and 12# in the primary pipe network were relatively important, so were the pipe elements 2#, 4#, 6#, 7# and 10# in the secondary pipe network. Thus, the serial numbers of such pipe elements in the primary pipe network were allocated to set S1, while those in the secondary pipe network to set S2: 

Figure 4. Node and flow availabilities of the primary fluid pipe network under pipe element failure

To optimize the connection between the primary and secondary networks, the importance of each pipe element in the two pipe networks was evaluated separately, and the node and flow availabilities were calculated under the failure of each pipe element. The results are shown in Figures 4 and 5. The importance of a pipe element is negatively correlated with the availabilities of the corresponding node and flow when the pipe element fails. Here, the availability of 0.5 is treated as the critical value, and the pipe elements with smaller-than-0.5 node and flow availabilities are considered as relatively important. As shown in Figures 4 and 5, the pipe elements 2#, 4#, 5#, 8# and 12# in the primary pipe network were relatively important, so were the pipe elements 2#, 4#, 6#, 7# and 10# in the secondary pipe network. Thus, the serial numbers of such pipe elements in the primary pipe network were allocated to set S1, while those in the secondary pipe network to set S2: 

Figure 5. Node and flow availabilities of the secondary fluid pipe network under pipe element failure

Two nodes were randomly selected from the two sets to link up the two networks, and the connected pipe elements were numbered. Two-pipe connection, more reliable than one-pipe connection, was adopted. The node connections are recorded in Table 1 below.

Table 1. Node connections between the primary and secondary pipe networks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Node number</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-2</td>
<td>2-2</td>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>2-6</td>
<td>2-6</td>
<td>2-7</td>
<td>2-7</td>
<td>2-10</td>
<td>2-10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-4</td>
<td>12-4</td>
<td>5-2</td>
<td>8-2</td>
<td>5-2</td>
<td>8-2</td>
<td>4-10</td>
<td>5-2</td>
<td>4-7</td>
<td>5-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Node number</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-2</td>
<td>8-4</td>
<td>5-2</td>
<td>8-2</td>
<td>5-2</td>
<td>8-2</td>
<td>5-2</td>
<td>8-2</td>
<td>5-2</td>
<td>8-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Node number</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-2</td>
<td>5-4</td>
<td>5-4</td>
<td>5-6</td>
<td>5-6</td>
<td>5-7</td>
<td>5-7</td>
<td>5-10</td>
<td>5-10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Node number</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-2</td>
<td>8-2</td>
<td>8-4</td>
<td>8-4</td>
<td>8-6</td>
<td>8-6</td>
<td>8-7</td>
<td>8-7</td>
<td>8-10</td>
<td>8-10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-4</td>
<td>12-6</td>
<td>12-2</td>
<td>12-6</td>
<td>12-2</td>
<td>12-10</td>
<td>12-2</td>
<td>12-6</td>
<td>12-2</td>
<td>12-6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After the connection, the reliability of each network and the cost of the connections were calculated. The results are displayed in Figures 6–8.

Figure 6. Reliability of the primary network after the connection

Figure 7. Reliability of the secondary network after the connection

Figure 8. Reliability of the connected network after the connection
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