1. INTRODUCTION

Fluid flow and heat transfer in porous media have a considerable impact in the practical applications in various fields such as thermal insulation, geothermal engineering, petroleum manufactures, solid matrix heat exchangers, petroleum manufactures, energy maintenance, grain storage devices, groundwater hydrology, ceramic processes, petroleum reservoirs, coal combustors, chemical catalytic reactors, groundwater hydrology, cooling of electronic systems and numerous others. Also, the study of boundary layer flow and heat transfer over stretching surfaces in porous media is particularly relevant due to increasing applications in industries, namely electronic chips, fiber, paper production, liquid films in intensification processes and sketching of plastic films. A reliable studies to this fascinating topic along with the theoretical patterns or experimental data is well authenticated in the literature [1-4].

The analysis of nanofluids have received a prominent attention because of their tremendous spectrum of applications including sterilization of of medical suspensions, nano-material processing, automotive coolants, microbial fuel cell technology, polymer coating, intelligent building design, microfluid delivery devices and aerospace tribology [5]. The term nanofluid, first coined by Choi [6], refers to a liquid containing a dispersion of submicron solid particles (nanoparticles) having higher thermal conductivity in a base fluid. It is noticeable that these nanoparticles are taken ultrarefine (i.e. length of order 1-50nm), thus nanofluids seem to conduct more like a single-phase fluid than a solid-liquid suspension. The nanoparticles utilized in nanofluids are usually made of chemically stable metals, oxides, carbides, nitrides, or non-metals, and the base fluid is generally a conductive fluid, such as water, oil (and other lubricants), ethylene glycol (or other coolants), bio-fluids, polymer solutions and other common fluids. Because of the enhanced heat transfer characteristics and useful applications, numerous investigations has been made on nanofluid under various physical circumstances. The investigation of supplemental heat transfer mechanisms in the convective heat transfer applications was further found in [7-11]. A reliable studies to this fascinating topic along with the theoretical patterns or experimental data is well authenticated in the literature [12-29].

Motivated by the above referenced works, the intent of the current investigation is to examine the impact of anisotropic slip on unsteady three-dimensional flow of nanofluid along a stretching surface saturated with porous medium subject to convective boundary condition. The governing coupled, non-linear partial differential equations are solved by Thomas algorithm with finite-difference type. The impacts of several significant parameters on flow and heat transfer characteristics are exhibited in form of figures. The results indicate that the local skin-friction coefficients and local Nusselt number were reduced sufficiently with the enlargement in the velocity slip factors and solid volume fraction. Further, the local skin friction coefficients and local Nusselt number visualize a huge enhancement with promoting the Biot number.
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2. MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION

Consider the unsteady, laminar, three-dimensional flow of nanofluid over a semi-infinite inclined surface embedded in a porous medium and subject to anisotropic slip and convective boundary condition impacts. The surface is considered to be linearly stretched in the $x$-orientation with a velocity $b_x$ and...
the y-orientation is inclined at an angle Ω to the horizontal line, whilst the z-orientation is perpendicular to the plate surface. In addition, it is assumed that the plate surface is maintained by convective heat transfer at a certain value \(T_f\), while the temperature of the ambient nanofluid is \(T_∞\) such that \(T_f \geq T_∞\). The flow model and physical coordinate system are displayed in Fig. 1. The thermophysical properties of a nanofluid are presented in Table 1.

### Table 1. Thermophysical properties of water and Cu nanoparticles at 25°C [11].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Pure water</th>
<th>Copper (Cu)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\rho) (kg m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>997.1</td>
<td>8933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C_p) (J kg(^{-1}) K(^{-1}))</td>
<td>4179</td>
<td>385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(k) (W m(^{-1}) K(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.613</td>
<td>401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\beta) (K(^{-1}))</td>
<td>21 \times 10(^{-5})</td>
<td>1.67 \times 10(^{-5})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The nanofluid properties are constant except the density in the buoyancy terms of the balance of momentum equations in x- and y-orientations. Under the above assumptions, the boundary layer equations governing the convective flow and heat transfer of the present investigation are [31]

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{\rho_{nf}} \left[ \mu_{nf} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} + \gamma (\rho \beta)_{nf} \cos \Omega (T - T_∞) - \frac{\mu_{nf}}{K} \right],
\]

\[
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + w \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{\rho_{nf}} \left[ \mu_{nf} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial z^2} - \frac{\mu_{nf}}{K} v + \gamma (\rho \beta)_{nf} \sin \Omega (T - T_∞) \right],
\]

\[
\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + w \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{\rho_{nf}} \left[ \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} + \mu_{nf} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial z^2} - \frac{\mu_{nf}}{K} w \right],
\]

\[
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + w \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} = \alpha_{nf} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2}.
\]

\[u(t,x,0) = bx + N_1 \mu_{nf} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}, \quad v(t,x,0) = N_2 \mu_{nf} \frac{\partial v}{\partial z}.
\]

\[w(t,x,0) = 0, \quad -k_{nf} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} = h_f (T_f - T),
\]

\[u(t,x,\infty) = 0, \quad v(t,x,\infty) = 0,
\]

\[T(t,x,\infty) = T_∞ \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}(x,\infty) = 0.
\]

where \(x, y,\) and \(z\) are the Cartesian coordinates, \(u, v, w, P\) and \(T\) are the fluid velocity components in the \(x, y,\) and \(z\)-orientations, pressure and nanofluid temperature, respectively. \(g^*, b, t,\) and \(\Omega\) are the gravitational acceleration, constant having units of inverse time, dimensional time, ambient concentration, and the inclination angle, respectively. \(N_1\) and \(N_2\) are the velocity slip coefficients in the \(x\) and \(y\) orientations, respectively. \(h_f\) is the heat transfer coefficient. \(\beta_{nf}\) is the thermal expansion coefficient of the nanofluid; \(\rho_{nf}\) is the the is effective density of the nanofluid, \(\mu_{nf}\) is the effective dynamic viscosity of the nanofluid and \(\alpha_{nf}\) is the thermal diffusivity of the nanofluid, \((\rho C_p)_{nf}\) is the heat capacitance of nanofluid, \((\rho \beta)_{nf}\) is the thermal expansion coefficient of the nanofluid which are given by [11]:

\[\rho_{nf} = (1-\phi) \rho_f + \phi \rho_s, \quad \mu_{nf} = \frac{\mu_f}{(1-\phi)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad \alpha_{nf} = \frac{k_{nf}}{(\rho C_p)_{nf}}.
\]

Here, \(\phi\) is the solid volume fraction parameter, \(\mu_f\) is the dynamic viscosity of the basic fluid, \(\beta_f\) and \(\beta_s\) are the thermal expansion coefficients of the base fluid and nanoparticle, respectively, \(\rho_f\) and \(\rho_s\) are the densities of the basic fluid and nanoparticle, respectively, \(k_{nf}\) is the effective thermal conductivity of nanofluid which is given as:

\[k_{nf} = \frac{(k_f + 2k_s) - 2\phi(k_f - k_s)}{(k_f + 2k_s) + \phi(k_f - k_s)}.
\]

where, \(k_f\) is the thermal conductivity of the nanoparticles and \(k_s\) is the thermal conductivity of base fluid.

Introducing the following non-dimensional quantities;

\[\tau = bt, \quad \eta = z / \sqrt{v_f T}, \quad \theta = h_f (T_f - T_∞), \quad \phi = \sin \Omega g (t, \eta), \quad \psi = \frac{v_f}{\sqrt{v_f T}}.
\]

\[\Gamma = \frac{\beta_f (T_f - T_∞)}{b}, \quad \theta(t, \eta) = (T - T_∞) / (T_f - T_∞),
\]

\[P = \rho_f b v_f G(t, \eta), \quad Da = kb / v_f, \quad \delta_s = N_1 \mu_f \sqrt{b/v_f}, \quad \delta_s = N_2 \mu_f \sqrt{b/v_f} / \alpha_f, \quad Bi = h_f a / Re^{\frac{1}{2}} k_f , \quad Pr = \nu_f / \alpha_f.
\]

In view of the Eqn. (9), the basic field of Eqns. (1)-(6) with Eqns (7)-(8) can be expressed in dimensionless form as:

\[\Gamma \frac{d^2 \theta}{d \tau^2} + \frac{\eta^2}{2} \frac{d \theta}{d \tau} + \phi \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial \tau^2} - \frac{\tau}{Da} \frac{d \theta}{d \tau} \right] = 0.
\]
\[ \Gamma_g g' + \frac{\eta}{2} g'' + \tau \left( f g' - f g - \frac{\Gamma_g g + \Gamma_g \theta}{\text{Da}_g} \right) - \frac{\partial g}{\partial \tau} = 0, \]  
\[ \Gamma_h h' + \frac{\eta}{2} h'' + \tau \left( f h' - h + \frac{\Gamma_h \theta}{\text{Da}_h} \right) - \frac{\partial h}{\partial \tau} = 0, \]  
\[ \Gamma_3 (\phi) g' + \Gamma_f f' + \frac{\eta}{2} f'' - \frac{1}{2} f + \tau \left( f f' - \frac{\Gamma_f f}{\text{Da}_f} \right) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau} = 0, \]  
\[ \Gamma_4 (\phi) \theta' + \frac{\eta}{2} \theta'' + \tau f - \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \tau} = 0, \]  
where Eq. (1) is identically satisfied. In Eqs. (10)-(14), a prime denotes partial differentiation with respect to \( \eta \), and the parameters \( \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3 \) and \( \Gamma_4 \) are given by:

\[ \Gamma_1 (\phi) = \frac{1}{(1 - \phi)^{2.5}} \left[ 1 - \phi + \phi(\rho / \rho_f) \right]. \]

\[ \Gamma_2 (\phi) = \frac{1 - \phi + \phi((\rho / \rho_f)^2)}{1 - \phi + \phi(\rho / \rho_f)}. \]

\[ \Gamma_3 (\phi) = \frac{1}{1 - \phi + \phi((\rho / \rho_f)^2)}. \]

\[ \Gamma_4 (\phi) = \frac{1}{1 - \phi + \phi((\rho / \rho_f)^2)}. \]  

The transformed boundary conditions become

\[ f (\tau, 0) = 0, f' (\tau, 0) = 1 + \frac{\delta_1}{(1 - \phi)^{2.5}} \tau^{-1/2} f (\tau, 0) \]

\[ g (\tau, 0) = \frac{\delta_2}{(1 - \phi)^{2.5}} \tau^{-1/2} g (\tau, 0), G (\tau, 0) = 0 \]

\[ h (\tau, 0) = \frac{\delta_3}{(1 - \phi)^{2.5}} \tau^{-1/2} h (\tau, 0), \]

\[ \frac{k_f}{K_f} \theta' (\tau, 0) = -B_i \tau^{-1/2} \left[ 1 - \theta (\tau, 0) \right], \]

\[ f' (\tau, \infty) = g (\tau, \infty) = h (\tau, \infty) = \theta (\tau, \infty) = 0. \]  

In the above equation parameters; \( \text{Da}, \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta, \text{Bi}, \text{Pr} \) are respectively the Darcy number, slip factors, slip ratio, Biot number, Prandtl number and dimensionless time.

The quantities of the physical interest are the local skin friction coefficients in the \( x \)- and \( y \)-orientations and local Nusselt number which are an important parameters commonly used in fluid mechanics. The non-dimensional forms of these quantities are defined as [31]:

\[ C_{f_x} = \frac{2}{(1 - \phi)^{2.5} \text{Re}_x^{1/2} \sqrt{\tau}} \left[ f' (\tau, 0) + \frac{G_{f_x}}{\text{Re}_x^2} \cos \Omega g' (\tau, 0) \right] \]  

\[ C_{f_y} = \frac{2G_{f_y}}{(1 - \phi)^{2.5} \text{Re}_x^{1/2} \sqrt{\tau}} \sin \Omega h' (\tau, 0). \]

\[ Nu_x = \frac{q_w x}{k_f (T_f - T_w)} = -\text{Re}_x^{1/2} \left( \frac{k_{nf}}{k_f} \right) \theta' (\tau, 0), \]

where \( Gr_x = g^* \beta_f (T_f - T_w) x^3 / \nu_f^2 \) and \( \text{Re}_x = x v^2 / \nu_f \) are the local Grashof and Reynolds number, respectively.

It is noteworthy to mention that by substituting \( \text{Da}, \text{Bi} \rightarrow \infty, \phi=0 \) and \( \delta_1=\delta_2=0 \) in Eqs. (10)-(15), the problem is reduced to the transient flow of regular fluid and heat transfer over an inclined stretching sheet which is discussed previously in [31].

3. SOLUTION METHODOLOGY

The system of non-linear equations (10)-(14) with the pertinent boundary conditions Eqs. (17) has been solved numerically using the implicit finite-difference method identical to that reported by Blottner [30]. This numerical scheme has several coveted features that make it convenient for solution of parabolic partial differential equations. These features contain a second order accuracy with arbitrary \( \tau \) and \( \eta \) spacing, letting very quick \( \tau \) variation and easy programming of the solution for large number of coupled equations. Due to the nonlinearities of the governing equations, an iterative solution technique is desired to solve the resulting system of nonlinear algebraic equations, in this work Thomas algorithm (see Blottner [30]) is employed. The convergence criterion used is based on the difference between the new and the old iterations. When this difference approached \( \text{10}^{-6} \) the solution is supposed converged and the iteration process is terminated. A grid independence study was carried out to examine to impact of the step size \( \Delta \eta \) and \( \Delta \tau \) and the limit of the boundary layer \( \eta_a \) and the maximum of the dimensionless time \( \tau \) on the solution in order to optimize them. Based on the optimization study the computational domain is divided into 501 and 196 nodes in the \( \tau \) and \( \eta \) orientations and the initial step sizes employed were \( \Delta \eta = 0.001 \) and \( \Delta \tau = 0.01 \) and the growth factors were \( K_F = 1.0375 \) and \( K_F = 1.0 \) such that \( \Delta \eta = K_F \Delta \eta_{-1} \) and \( \Delta \tau = K_F \Delta \tau_{-1} \). This gave the maximum value of \( \eta (\eta_a) \) which represented the ambient conditions was assumed to be 35 and the desired value of \( \tau (\tau_a) \) in this case was equal \( 5.0 \). The step sizes employed were arrived at after performing numerical experimentations to assess grid independence and ensure accuracy of the results. In order to verify the accuracy of present method, the current results are compared with the results obtained by Chamkha [31] in Fig. 2 for various values of \( Pr \) at \( \phi=0, \text{Da}, \text{Bi} \rightarrow \infty, \delta_1=0 \) and \( \delta_2=0 \).
As we can see form this Fig., the results are found in a good agreement with these results.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In order to gain a clear physical insight of this investigation, the impact of the Darcy number Da, solid volume fraction parameter φ, slip factors δ₁ and δ₂, and Biot number Bi on the profiles of nanofluid velocity components, pressure and temperature as well as the local skin-friction coefficients in the x and y orientations Cᵢₓ and Cᵢᵧ, and the local Nusselt number Nuₓ are presented in Figs. 3-8. The current numerical investigation is carried out for copper-water nanofluid as working fluid and the value of Prandtl number Pr of base fluid (water) is kept constant at 6.2.

Figs. 3(a)-3(e) visualize the impact of the Darcy number on the profiles of nanofluid velocity components in x-orientation fₓ(r, η) and gₓ(r, η), velocity component in y-orientation h(r, η), pressure G(r, η), and temperature θ(r, η), respectively. It is noteworthy to mention from the definition of Da, that the value of Darcy number measures the extent of the permeability of porous medium, that is the existence of a porous medium in the flow provides resistance to flow, thus, this resistive force leads to a considerable decelerate the motion of the nanofluid along the stretched surface. This is appeared in Figs. 3(a)-3(e) by increasing the Darcy number Da from 0.001, 0.01,1 (very high permeability) to 10 (weak permeability) clearly induces a pronounced enhancement in the x-velocity components (fₓ and gₓ) and y-velocity component h, i.e., accelerates the flow. In addition, the variations in velocities are maximized some distance from the wall, towards the free stream. As such the nanofluid acceleration towards the edge of the boundary layer is less impeded by wall effects here. Also, evolution in Da implies to damp the Darcian drag force, due to the inverse relationship in Eqs. (10)-(13); porous drag force is therefore progressively lowered with an evolution in permeability, i.e., Darcy number, which serves to promote the flow velocities in the regime. Subsequently, these promotes in the flow have a tendency to a robust dwindling in both of temperature and pressure profiles. In addition, it is interesting to note that an increment in the values of Da are followed by corresponding rise in all hydrodynamics boundary layers and slight diminution in thermal boundary layers thickness.

**Figure 3.** Impact of Darcy Number Da on (a) fluid velocity of x-orientation (fₓ'), (b) fluid velocity of x-orientation (gₓ), (c) fluid velocity of y-orientation (h), (d) pressure profiles (G), (e) fluid temperature (θ).
Figs 4(a)-4(d) demonstrate the impact of Darcy number $Da$ upon variation in skin-friction coefficients in the $x$- and $y$-orientations $C_{fx}$ and $C_{fy}$ and local Nusselt number $Nu_r$, respectively, against the dimensionless time $\tau$.

As seen from the definitions of $C_{fx}$, $C_{fy}$ and $Nu_r$, they are directly proportional to $f''(\tau,0)$, $g'(\tau,0)$, $h'(\tau,0)$ and $-\theta'(\tau,0)$, respectively. It is manifested from these Figs. that there are two opposite behaviors for the local skin-friction coefficients and local Nusselt number. These behaviors are clarified by the reduction in the skin-friction coefficient in the $x$-orientation $f''(\tau,0)$ and a huge enhancement in either the skin-friction coefficients in the $x$- and $y$-orientations $g'(\tau,0)$, $h'(\tau,0)$ and the Nusselt number $-\theta'(\tau,0)$ as a result of rising the Darcy number $Da$.

This is due to the fact that the increment in the flow velocity components close to the wall with decreasing the nanofluid temperature as $Da$ enlarges, causing the wall slope of the fluid linear velocity and the negative wall slope of the temperature profiles to grow. On other hand, both the local skin-friction coefficients and Nusselt number become lower at the dimensionless time $\tau=0$ and they are larger with high values of $\tau$.

Figs. 5(a)-5(e) depict the influences of slip factors $\delta_1$ and $\delta_2$ on dimensionless nanofluid velocity components, pressure and temperature profiles, respectively. It is apparent from these Figs., and based on the definition of slip ratio that an elevation in the slip factors $\delta_1$ and $\delta_2$ results in a considerable reduction in both the $x$-velocity component $f'$ and pressure profiles $G$, while a reverse trend occurred with the profiles of velocity of $x$-orientation $(g)$, fluid velocity of $y$-orientation $(h)$, and nanofluid temperature $\theta$. This is due to the fact that a rising the values of the velocity slip parameters have a tendency to accelerate the flow along the $y$-orientation which is inclined to the horizontal line, whereas a reverse trend occurred along the stretched surface in the $x$-orientation. This, in turn, produces a sufficient depress in $x$-velocity component and a strong promotes occurs in the fluid velocity profiles in $y$-orientation and temperature. There will be a corresponding increase in the hydrodynamics and thermal boundary layer thickness. These behaviors are clearly shown in Figures 5(a)-5(e).
Figure 5. Impact of slip factor $\delta_1$ on (a) fluid velocity of $x$-orientation ($f'$), (b) fluid velocity of $x$-orientation ($g$), (c) fluid velocity of $y$-orientation ($h$), (d) pressure profiles ($G$), (e) fluid temperature ($\theta$).

The variations of the skin-friction coefficients and local Nusselt number with different values of slip factors $\delta_1$ and $\delta_2$ are respectively, shown in Figs 6(a)-6(d). It is noteworthy to mention that an augmentation in the slip factors $\delta_1$ and $\delta_2$ implies a noticeable reduction in all the local skin-friction coefficient and Nusselt number. This result was predicted because the increment in the velocity slips elucidate a considerable acceleration in the flow along the $y$-orientation with maximization in the hydrodynamics and thermal boundary layers thickness, as depicted in Figures 5(a)-5(e).

Figure 6. Impact of slip factor $\delta_1$ on (a) the skin-friction coefficient in the $x$-orientation $f''(\tau, 0)$, (b) skin-friction coefficient in the $x$-orientation $g'(\tau, 0)$, (c) skin-friction coefficient in the $y$-orientation $h'(\tau, 0)$, (d) wall heat transfer $-\theta'(\tau, 0)$. 
Figs. 7(a)-7(e) elucidate the influences of solid volume fraction parameter $\phi$ and Biot number $Bi$ on the nanofluid velocity components, pressure and temperature profiles, respectively. It is noteworthy to mention that a raise in the solid volume fraction parameter $\phi$ results in a considerable decrease in all the velocity components and pressure profiles. Moreover, the nanofluid temperature reduces near the stretched surface with the enhancement in the solid volume fraction parameter $\phi$, whereas the opposite behavior occurs with temperature after finite distance in the free stream. This consistency with the physical behavior that increasing the volume of nanoparticles implies a high thermal conductivity of nanofluid (see Table 1), consequently the thermal boundary layer thickness magnifies due to the enlargement in the values of $Bi$. The reason for this behavior is that as $Bi$ increases, the cold nanofluid on stretched surface is convectively heated and as a consequence of the temperature difference between the surface and the nanofluid will increase. As an outcome, the velocity as well as the wall temperature and thermal boundary layer thickness magnifies due to the enlargement in the values of $Bi$. Moreover, it is noteworthy to mention that the changes in $Bi$ cause no effects on the behaviors in both the $x$-velocity components $f'$ and pressure profiles $G$ due to the governing equations (11), (14) and (15) which are uncoupled from the other equations.

Finally, Figs. 8(a)-8(d) exhibit the impact of the solid volume fraction $\phi$ and Biot number $Bi$ on the local skin-friction coefficients and Nusselt number. It is manifested that an increment in the solid volume fraction tends to damp the skin-friction coefficients and the Nusselt number, whilst the reverse behavior happens with the increase of Biot number $Bi$. This phenomenon is true even in the increase of solid volume fraction $\phi$, which, results in an increase in the thermal boundary layer thickness, associated with considerable decrease in the wall shear stress adjacent to the stretching surface with a decrease in the wall temperature gradient, and hence produces a sufficient reduction in the local Nusselt number. Furthermore, it is manifested that an increasing in the value of Biot number $Bi$ has a tendency to increase both the local skin friction coefficients and local Nusselt number. The reason for this behavior is that as $Bi$ increases, the cold nanofluid on stretched surface is convectively heated and thus, the flow velocity rises, which in turn enhances in the gradients of velocity components and temperature.
5. CONCLUSIONS

This analysis is ensued to discuss the impacts of the anisotropic slip and convective boundary condition on the unsteady three-dimensional flow of nanofluid along an inclined stretching surface saturated with porous medium. The governing equations are solved by implicit numerical scheme of finite-difference type. The impacts of various significant parameters on flow and heat transfer are accomplished through graphs. From the computational data, it is concluded that there are two opposite behaviors for the local skin-friction coefficients and local Nusselt number as Darcy number increased. These behaviors are illustrated by the reduction in the skin-friction coefficient in the x-orientation and the enhancement in both the skin-friction coefficients in the y-orientations and local Nusselt number due to increases in Darcy number. In addition, it is found that all the local skin-friction coefficients and local Nusselt number were reduced as either of velocity slip factors or solid volume fraction parameter were increased. Furthermore, it is found that an increment in the Biot number implies a sufficient enhancement in both the local skin friction coefficients and local Nusselt number.
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