Experimental Study on Hygrothermal Performances of Indoor Covering Materials
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ABSTRACT

Several clayey materials were prepared in Thermophysical Laboratory of Bari. Hygrothermal properties were measured according to technical standards. In order to consider the potential of earthen materials to improve indoor comfort, the software WUFI®+ was used to simulate the hygrothermal behavior of a Test Room, placed in a Mediterranean climate. The analysis was carried out considering the internal surface of the walls of the building covered by five different plasters. Results demonstrated that when considering an unconditioned scenario (without HVAC system) Clay-Straw and Lime-Bentonite lead up to a greater improvement of the hygric performances, unlike traditional coverings as Gypsum or Ceramic tiles. It can be appreciated a greater damping relative humidity and sorption capacity of the earthen coatings. However, in presence of HVAC system any significant variations occurs in terms of energy saving.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Clay, one of the most common mineral present in loam, has been one of the most widely used materials in building construction. Bricks, ceramic tiles, mortars and plasters made with unbaked clay can be found worldwide.

Several studies have investigated the hygrothermal properties of unfired clayey materials and have shown their potential for passive buffering of relative humidity fluctuations in building physics applications [1-6].

Some researchers have performed experimental measurements of the thermal properties of unfired clayey building materials [7-8]. It was demonstrated that unfired earth can reach values comparable to the limits of fired clay.

Furthermore, several studies [1, 3, 10, 11] demonstrated that unfired clayey materials can provide better hygrothermal performances in comparison with common building materials like fired clay and cement.

Allinson and Hall [1, 3] characterized the hygric and thermal properties of cement-stabilised rammed earth materials. Minke [11] has demonstrated that when using a clayey plaster a significant water absorption rate occurs in the first 2 cm thick layer of the wall when the indoor air humidity raises from 30% to 70%.

Liuzzi et al. [12] performed a study on hygrothermal behaviour and relative humidity buffering of unfired and hydrated lime-stabilized clay composites, using different type of clay (bentonite, quarry fine and kaolinite).

Murray [13] and Reeves et al. [14], showing the differences between various clays including kaolinite and bentonite, listed the most important physical and chemical characteristics that influence the behavior.

The additives can improve the hygrothermal performances (thermal insulation, water vapour permeability, equilibrium moisture content, buffering capacity), mechanical strengths (compressive, tensile, binding strength), resistance to weather conditions (rain and frost erosion, running water), swelling/shrinkage, and workability of the composite.

Ashour et al. [2, 15, 16] testing earth plasters reinforced with different fibers, has pointed out that the shrinkage of plasters is influenced by several factors, e.g. curing temperature, fiber content, fiber type and plaster compositions.

It was demonstrated that the equilibrium moisture content of plaster reinforced with barley straw is slightly higher than the other materials examined: shavings, wheat straw, and earth plaster without fiber. The relative humidity has greater effect on the change of moisture content than temperature. Increasing the fiber content thermal insulation and moisture content greatly increases.

Insulating materials using palm tree fibers and seaweed in a cement matrix were tested in [17]. Their properties were a function of the fiber content mass ratios with values that are comparable to synthetic insulating materials.

This study focuses on the hygrothermal behaviour of a Test Room in a Mediterranean climate with different materials as interior wall coatings. The aim of the paper is to better understand and quantify how the hygric mass of the unbaked clayey materials can improve the indoor environmental conditions.
In order to avoid slaking of the unstabilized materials in liquid water, the free water saturation ($w_f$) was estimated using the Künzel approximation [23].

According to UNI EN ISO 15148 [24], the water absorption coefficient ($A_w$) is assessed putting one face of the specimen, previously oven dried to constant mass, in water for a small height and weighting at specific time intervals the mass increase.

Hygrothermal properties for the other materials (Gypsum, Vapour Barrier, Ceramic tiles) were assumed from WUFI’s database of materials.

![Figure 1. Isomet 2104 (Applied Precision Ltd.)](image)

The main physical and hygrothermal parameters for the investigated materials are provided in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mix</th>
<th>C/S</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SN</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TP4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(C/S=Clay/Silt; B= Bentonite; SN=Sand; G=Gravel; L=Lime; S=Straw).

The bulk density ($\rho_{true}$) was measured as mass of dried material, divided by volume.

The true density ($\rho_{true}$) was measured with the Ultrapyc 1200e Quantachrome Helium gas Pycnometer. Then the porosity ($n$) was calculated as:

$$n = 1 - \frac{\rho_{dry}}{\rho_{true}}$$

Thermal properties were measured by a transient plane heat source device, the Isomet 2104 apparatus (Figure 1).

The dry state thermal conductivity ($\lambda$) and dry state thermal diffusivity ($\alpha$) were determined after oven drying at 105°C to constant mass and leaving the samples in desiccators with silica gel until reaching the ambient temperature.

The specific heat capacity was calculated as:

$$C_p = \frac{\lambda}{\rho \alpha}$$

The water vapour permeability ($\delta_w$) and the water vapour resistance coefficient ($\mu$) of specimens were determined according to UNI EN 1015-19 [21].

According to UNI EN ISO 12571 [22] the sorption isotherms were measured on samples of size 4 cm x 4 cm x 1 cm in the climatic chamber (Perani AC520) at constant temperature (23°C) at different values of relative humidity, $w_{eq}$ was the equilibrium moisture content at 80% of air relative humidity.

Measurements were performed on three samples for each blend.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mix</th>
<th>Clay-Straw TP4</th>
<th>Lime-Bentonite C5</th>
<th>Gypsum GY</th>
<th>Vapour Barrier VB</th>
<th>Ceramic tiles GR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_{true}$ [kg/m³]</td>
<td>1384</td>
<td>1843</td>
<td>1273</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>1700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$ [-]</td>
<td>0.441</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_p$ [J/kg K]</td>
<td>1034</td>
<td>766</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_{dry}$ [W/m K]</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>0.892</td>
<td>0.430</td>
<td>2.300</td>
<td>1.470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu$ [-]</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>32800</td>
<td>54.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_{eq}$ [kg/m³]</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_f$ [kg/m³]</td>
<td>273.6</td>
<td>234.5</td>
<td>183.0</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_w$ [kg/ m²s0.5]</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>0.260</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>-0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. HYGROTHERMAL SIMULATION

3.1 Test room and boundary conditions

A single Test Room, placed in a Mediterranean climatic context (climate station of Bari-Palese), was modeled.

The Test Room (Figure 2) was supposed to be a double bedroom with internal dimensions 5.5×3.6 m² and height 2.8 m. On the vertical wall south facing there is a window of 2.55 m². On the top of the window, 10 cm far apart, is inserted an overhang of 1 m depth for solar protection.
The room is defined as part of a building and one wall adjoins the outer climate. There is no heat exchange over the inner walls, floor and ceiling to the adjacent rooms. On the southern side, the building borders with the outdoor environment; the adjacent rooms were set having the same indoor temperature and relative humidity of the Test Room.

The walls consisted of lightweight fired bricks of 30 cm thickness, covered by an external cement-gypsum plaster. On the internal side there were supposed five different coatings of 2 cm thickness:
1) Clay-Straw plaster (TP4);
2) Bentonite-Lime plaster (C5);
3) Gypsum plaster (GY);
4) Gypsum plaster with a waterproof wallpaper (Vapour Barrier, VB);
5) Ceramic tiles (Gres, GR).

A thermal transmittance of about 0.31 W/m²K, for the opaque walls, was calculated for all the assemblies.

Common cement floor slabs were supposed with an insulation cork layer of 3 cm thickness. A thermal transmittance of about 0.70 W/m²K was calculated for the horizontal assemblies.

The window, with a low-emissivity double glass, has a thermal transmittance of 1.99 W/(m²K) and a sunscreen device operating in a way to reduce overheating.

The Test Room was supposed to be occupied 7 days a week by two occupants at rest, during the night, between 11.00 p.m. to 9.00 a.m., and one occupant at rest, in the afternoon, between 3.00 p.m. and 5.00 p.m. For each user the convection heat transfer was supposed to be 65 W, radiation heat transfer was set as 36 W and the water vapor rate produced in the room was supposed of 43 g/h. Furthermore, horizontal natural ventilation, in terms of ACH, was set constant to 0.5 h⁻¹.

An overall summary of the internal gain schedule is shown in Table 3.

A first simulation was run over one year. It became clear that this period does not provide accurate and adequate results. Wihan [25] has demonstrated that it takes almost one year for materials within a wall assembly to gain perfect equilibrium with the surrounding environment. At least another year of data is needed for further investigations.

This is the reason why the simulation was set to run over a period of 2 year and the results, for the purpose of the present study, were considered on the second simulation year. The time step was set to 1 hour.

The analyzes were performed supposing two different scenarios: in the first one a passive house without HVAC was considered (Unconditioned scenario); in the second one an air conditioning system was taken into account (Conditioned scenario). This HVAC system is able to keep the indoor air at constant temperature of 20°C in winter and 26°C in summer, and at constant relative humidity of 50% all year long.

### Table 3. Internal daily schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11 p.m. - 9 a.m.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 p.m. - 5 p.m.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.2 Unconditioned scenario

The results were initially examined for the room without HVAC system in passive inner climate. Figure 3 provides results in terms of relative humidity of the indoor air on July.

It can be appreciated a greater damping capacity of the clay-straw (TP4) plaster.

![Figure 3. Relative humidity of indoor air, on July, for different coatings](image)

This demonstrates a great buffering performance of these materials either in summer than in winter.

From Figure 4 it can be seen that this moisture buffering has very little impact on the temperature of the indoor air as the temperature profiles generally are overlapping. This is because the building materials, having a similar thermal conductivity and thickness, show a similar thermal resistance.

The moisture buffering performance of clayey coverings is more evident in Figures 5-6, a lower range of variation of indoor relative humidity is obtained in the year.

Looking at the moisture exchange with partitions, Clay-Straw and Bentonite-Lime plasters show the greatest values either in absorption than in desorption (Figure 7).

The comfort analyses by the adaptive model, according to UNI EN 15251 [26], provides the results reported in Figures 8-9 as Indoor Operative Temperature vs Outdoor Gliding Temperature, for TP4 and VB coverings respectively.

Operative temperature of Clay-Straw covering, fall into the comfort limits typical for a II category building (i.e. Normal level of expectation as for a new building and renovations), while Operative temperature of Waterproof Wallpaper covering fall into the comfort limits typical of a III category building (i.e. Acceptable, moderate level of expectation as for existing buildings).
3.3 Constantly conditioned scenario

In order to improve the thermal comfort of the occupants it was considered a second scenario with an oversized HVAC plant for heating, cooling, humidification and dehumidification. Oversized means that HVAC gives all what is needed to maintain the desired indoor conditions (temperature and relative humidity).

The Test Room was simulated with new design conditions: 20 °C and 50% relative humidity in winter (i.e. from 15th November to 31st March); 26 °C and 50% relative humidity in the rest of the year (i.e. from 1st April to 14th November).
By looking at the energy demand for the humidification and dehumidification (Figure 10) it can be seen that any significant variation can be appreciated during the year. The annual energy demand for heating and cooling is shown in Figure 11. It can be noted that comparing to gypsum covering, the energy requirement decreased when TP4 and C5 were used.

The yearly moisture flux between the walls and the indoor air is shown in Figure 12; the walls with TP4 and C5 demonstrate a greater moisture buffering capacity. It can be noted that the TP4 plaster has a better sorption capacity than C5 plaster.

Figures 13-14 provide the yearly peak power for heating and cooling. By using the earthen materials, a decrease can be appreciated, perhaps due to their greater moisture buffering performance that influences the latent heat power request.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The hygrothermal parameters of the earthen building materials (TP4 and C5) were measured at Thermophysical Laboratory of University of Politecnico di Bari. The data of the traditional materials (Gypsum, Vapour Barrier and Ceramic tiles) were taken from WUFI®+ database.

The indoor climate of a Test Room in a Mediterranean climate context was modeled using the software WUFI®+. Considering a first scenario without HVAC system, the numerical simulations show that the TP4 plaster significantly damps the amplitude of relative humidity fluctuations during both summer and winter in comparison with traditional materials with greater surface diffusion resistance.

The yearly range of variation of relative humidity demonstrates that the earthen coatings have a better moisture buffering performance comparing to the other coverings. Furthermore, the results show that the earthen coverings have the greatest capacity to absorb and desorb the moisture content in the Test Room.

Considering an HVAC system, the yearly energy demand for humidification/dehumidification was studied. Any significant variations can be appreciated during the year for the five covering materials.

Considering the peak power for heating and cooling over the year, a decrease can be appreciated when using earthen coverings.

In conclusion, it can be asserted that the use of an earthen coating could realize better indoor comfort in free running conditions, without HVAC system. However, when HVAC system works, no significant variations occur, as well as no relevant energy saving is noted.
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NOMENCLATURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A_w$</td>
<td>water absorption coefficient [kg/(m²·s⁰.⁵)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_p$</td>
<td>specific heat capacity [J/(kg·K)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>bulk porosity [-]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$RH$</td>
<td>relative humidity [%]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>temperature [°C]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w$</td>
<td>equilibrium moisture content [kg/m³]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_{so}$</td>
<td>equilibrium moisture content at RH=80% [kg/m³]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_f$</td>
<td>free water saturation (RH=100%) [kg/m³]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_p$</td>
<td>water vapour permeability [kg/(m·s·Pa)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_{ dryer}$</td>
<td>dry thermal conductivity [W/mK]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>water vapour resistance coefficient [-]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_{dry}$</td>
<td>dry density [kg/m³]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_{true}$</td>
<td>true density [kg/m³]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>