Large Eddy Simulation of the PVC Behavior in both Non-Reacting and Reacting Flows with Different Reynold Numbers

Shi Li *, Fu Zhongguang, Shen Yazhou, Wang Ruixin and Zhang Hui

School of Energy, Power and Mechanical Engineering, North China Electric Power University, Beijing 102206, PR China

Email: hmulee@sina.com

ABSTRACT

The effects of the Reynold number on the precessing vortex core (PVC) behavior in both non-reacting and reacting flows are numerically studied using a three-dimensional fully compressible large eddy simulation method. The predicted results show that a central toroidal recirculation zone (CTRZ) is generated in the vicinity of the axis of the combustor which is dominated by many small-scale vortices. Meanwhile, two smaller external recirculation zones (ERZs) are established in the vicinity of the sidewall of the combustion chamber. Under combustion conditions, the flow field tends to become complex, and the structure of CTRZ is more irregular compared to that of the non-reacting flow. An increase in the inlet Reynold number enlarges the magnitude of CTRZ and thus produces a more regular and stronger structure of the PVC inside the combustion chamber. Consequently, the breakdown position of the PVC moves downstream under higher Reynold numbers. The structure of PVCs in the reacting flow mostly located in the regions of the unburned reactants within the per-chamber which is relatively smaller and irregular compared to that in the non-reacting flow. There is a linear increase in pressure oscillations amplitude and frequency with an increase in the inlet Reynold numbers. However, the Strouhal number remains relatively constant in both non-reacting and reacting flows. There is also an anticipated decrease in pressure oscillations and frequency in the reacting flow due to the compressibility effect in the reacting flow.
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1. INTRODUCTION

To improve the combustion stabilization of lean-premixed flame, swirling flows has been widely used in the gas turbine combustion systems [1, 2]. In high swirl flow conditions, the breakdown of vortex induces the formation of central toroidal recirculation zone (CTRZ) inside the combustion chamber [2]. As a result, the heat produced in the combustion recirculate upstream to the exit of the combustor thus improves the stabilization of the premixed-flame [3, 4]. Bubble type, axisymmetric with asymmetric tail, spiral, flattened bubble, flattened bubble spiral, double helix and sheared filament type are the basic type of the vortex core breakdown modes according to the studies of Leibovich et al. [5] and Faler et al. [6]. The type of vortex core breakdown modes are closely related to Reynold number (Re) and swirl number (S) [7, 8]. As research continues, the phenomenon of vortex breakdown in laminar flows with low Reynold numbers are also observed in some other studies [9, 10].

Vortex breakdown can be asymmetric as well as highly time dependent [1, 2]. A precessing vortex core (PVC) develops when the vortex cores precess around the center axis and thus produces an undesired low-frequency acoustic oscillation inside the combustion chamber. The exit of PVC may trigger the combustion instabilities which is harmful to the safe operation of the unit and structure of the combustion chamber [11]. Furthermore, the oscillation frequency of the PVC is closely related to combustor geometry, Reynold number and swirl number in the non-reacting flow. Guo et al. [12] numerically studied the combustion instabilities in swirl stabilized flames and found that the PVC tends to occur in lower swirl numbers for a combustor with an expanded section or central bluff body. Kilian et al. [13] studied the impacts of Reynold number on the flow field in a GT model combustor under atmospheric pressure conditions and found that the frequency of the PVC increases linearly with an increase in the inlet Reynold number of premixed air and methane in non-reacting flow. Similar research conclusions of inlet Reynold number or volumetric flow rate on instability frequency in non-reacting flow also can be found in some other related studies [14]. Furthermore, the occurrence of pressure is relatively more complex in the reacting flow [2]. In some studies, the PVCs occurs in the GT combustion chamber in both non-reacting and reacting flows, and the structure of the PVC and associated flows in the reacting flow is similar to that in the non-reacting flow [13]. However, the PVC only occurs in the non-reacting flow, and can be suppressed in the reacting flow in other studies [15]. The frequency of pressure occurrence is closely related to the preheat temperature, Reynold number and swirl number, pilot.
fuel distribution [15]. Bulat et al. [16] numerically studied the fluid dynamics inside the combustor chamber and found that the coherence of the PVC structure is relatively stronger in the non-reacting flow due to compressibility effects of the flame in the reacting flow. Syred et al. [17] numerically studied the effect of the Reynold number and equivalence ratio upon the Strouhal number ($S_{\lambda}$) and concluded that the PVC as a function of the Reynold number and equivalence and the values of Strouhal number in the reacting flow approaches 80–90 % of that in the non-reacting flow. Similar research conclusions also can be found in other studies [17]. In some other studies, the PVC may trigger the combustion instabilities and the frequency of occurrences of pressure in the reacting flow. Kilian et al. [13] experimentally studied the flame and flow field in a GT model combustor via simultaneous high-speed PIV and OH-PLIF in a reacting flow and found that the PVC only happens in an M-shape flame. The frequencies of the PVC in a reacting flow are slightly higher than that of the non-reacting flows. Therefore, there is no consensus on the effects of the inlet Reynolds number on the behavior of the PVC both in non-reacting and reacting flows inside the combustion chamber.

Reynolds Average Navier-Stokes (RANS) approach which developed for mean stationary flows is widely used in cases which do not require high precision [18]. Unsteady computing and modeling strategies which take into account unsteadiness and in-homogeneities are alternative numerical solutions to further improve the numerical calculation precision [19]. It is noted that great advances in the development of Direct Numerical Simulations (DNS) and Large Eddy Simulations (LES) have occurred due to the large improvement in computing power and the rise of massively parallel architectures [20]. Up to present, the DNS approach still has difficulty dealing with the aeronautical reactive flows due to the large computational resource needed, especially in high Reynold number turbulence flow [21]. Compared with the other two approaches, only large motions are directly resolved while the small scale motions are modeled in the LES approach [21]. Therefore, four dimensional time and space parameters of flow and chemical thermodynamics field can be easily accessed by using the LES approach at relatively smaller calculation time [22]. Furthermore, it is easy to study the behavior of the PVC inside the GT combustion chamber which is the main reason for the combustion instability. In this study, six LES test cases are selected to investigate the influence of the inlet Reynold on the PVC behavior both in non-reacting and reacting flows which are 88 500, 118 000 and 147 500. The inlet Reynold number is vary with changing the flow rate of incoming fuel/oxidant streams. Case E represents the reference case with inlet Reynold number of 118 000 in the reacting flow. Before analyzing, the LES results of case E is validated with experiments results [23]. Relationships between Reynold number, axial velocity, flame temperature and the PVC behavior both in non-reacting and reacting flows will be discussed in detail in sections 3.2-3.4.

2. NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

2.1 Model combustion chamber

The model GT combustion chamber and dry low emission (DLE) combustor considered in this study is derived from an industrial gas turbine (see Figure 1). Combustive air is introduced at the bottom of the chamber. In order to induce a sufficient degree of negative axial pressure gradient and form a CTRZ within the combustion chamber, as shown in Figure 1, a radical swirler is mounted on the top of the combustion chamber as a device of fresh fuel/oxidant streams inlet and flame stabilization [24]. Twelve wedge-shaped blocks are arranged radially at the inlet of the radical swirler with the swirl number of 1.3 [24]. Fresh fuel/oxidant streams enter into the combustion chamber after passing through the pre-chamber. The dimension of the combustion chamber is about 0.165 m, 0.165 m, and 0.275 m in depth, width, and height respectively [25]. Furthermore, the axial length of the transition region is about 0.165 m [25]. The diameter of the pre-chamber and combustion chamber exit are about 0.086 m and 0.050 m [26]. Fresh oxidant streams, coming from the compressor at 0.3 MPa, enter the combustion chamber after being preheated to approximately 685 K [20]. Most of the air enters the combustion chamber from the radical swirler with a bulk velocity of 4.87 m/s and the rest enters from the panel air nozzle which is mounted in the vicinity of the sidewall of the combustion chamber [27]. The Reynold number is approximately 118 000 based on the inlet diameter of 0.19 m [27]. Fuel gas used in the experiments is German Natural Gas which consists of CH$_4$, CO$_2$ and N$_2$ [27]. In this study, the hydrocarbons are simplified to reduce the computational resources of the LES approach. The mole fraction CH$_4$, CO$_2$ and N$_2$ are set as 98.97 %, 0.27 % and 0.753 % according to the studies of Bulat et al. [27]. Fuel stream is divided into two parts. The main stream injection with a high degree of premixing introduced from premixed nozzle which is mounted on the radical swirler to control the combustion temperature and thus ensures low NOx emissions inside the combustion chamber [20]. The pilot fuel stream introduced from the pilot fuel nozzle which is mounted on the pilot surface to form a pilot diffusion flame in the pre-chamber and thus ignites the incoming fresh fuel/oxidant streams to ensure flame stabilization under lower load conditions of the real gas turbine [27]. However, the pilot diffusion flame is usually not necessary under the experiment conditions. In consequence, the fraction of pilot fuel is set as 0 % and the global equivalence ratio of premix passage stream is about 0.60 including the panel leakage air [27].

![Figure 1. Cross section of the model GT combustion chamber and DLE combustor](image)

2.2 Numerical Simulations

A parallel LES solver is applied to solve the fully compressible Navier-Stokes equations on the structured grids of the computational domain [28]. A Favre filter is applied to the conservation equations of mass, momentum and energy yields [29]. Separation of the large and small scale energetic motions is achieved as follows:

$$\phi = \bar{\phi} + \phi'$$

(1)
\[ \tilde{\phi} = \int_{b} \phi G(x, x') dx' \]  

(2)

where \( \tilde{\phi} \) and \( \phi' \) are the large scale solvable and small scale unsolvable variables respectively. \( D \) is the flow region and \( G(x, x') \) is the density weighted filter function.

Mass, momentum and energy are determined as follows:

\[ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0 \]  

(3)

\[ \frac{\partial (\rho \mathbf{u})}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}) = -\nabla p + \rho \mathbf{g} + \nabla \cdot \tau + \rho \mathbf{f}_{\text{atm}} \]  

(4)

\[ \frac{\partial E}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (E \mathbf{u}) = -\nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}) + \rho \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{u} + \nabla \cdot (\tau \mathbf{u}) + \mathbf{f}_{\text{atm}} \cdot \mathbf{u} \]  

(5)

where \( \tau_{ij} \), \( H_{ij} \) and \( \sigma_{ij} \) are the sub-grid scale stress tensor, sub-grid scale flux of energy and the sub-grid viscous diffusion term respectively [30]. Variables filtering by spatial-filtering operation are denoted by a tilde (~) such as velocity, temperature and enthalpy. Variables filtering by spatial-filtering operation are denoted by the overbars (-) such as tensor, sub-grid scale flux of energy and the sub-grid viscous diffusion term respectively [30]. Variables filtering by spatial-filtering operation are denoted by a tilde (~) such as velocity, temperature and enthalpy.

\[ \tau_{ij}^{sgs} = -2 \mu \mathcal{S}_{ij} + 1/3 \tau_{kk} \delta_{ij} \]  

(6)

\[ H_{ij}^{sgs} = -P \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_i} \]  

(7)

Laminar Finite Rate, Finite Rate /Eddy Dissipation and Eddy Dissipation Concept model are the sub-grid scale combustion models provided in FLUENT code [1, 2]. The Finite Rate /Eddy Dissipation model is used in this study, which uses the smaller one of Arrhenius and eddy dissipation rate as the net reaction rate [1, 2]. Expressions of Arrhenius and eddy dissipation rates in the Finite Rate /Eddy Dissipation model are determined as follows:

\[ R_{\text{e}} = v'_{ij} M_{s,u} A_T \rho \Sigma_k \min \left( \frac{Y_{s}}{v'_{i,j} M_{s,u}} \right) \]  

(8)

\[ R_{\text{e}} = v'_{ij} M_{s,u} A_T \rho \Sigma_k \frac{\sum Y_{s}}{v'_{i,j} M_{s,u}} \]  

(9)

Because of the enormous computational resources required for solving all the species, a reduced M4 mechanism based on GRI-Mech 3.0 mechanisms is adopted which is consisting of four step reaction mechanisms and six species which have been verified in the studies of Bulat et al. [27].

\[ [CH_4]^{0.07} + 1.5[O_2]^{0.07} \rightarrow CO + 2H_2 \]  

(10)

\[ [H_2]^{0.55} + 0.5[O_2]^{0.01} \rightarrow H_2O \]  

(11)

\[ [CO]+0.5[O_2]^{0.55} \rightarrow CO_2 \]  

(12)

\[ [CO]+[H_2O] \rightarrow CO_2 + H_2 \]  

(13)

The kinetic rate data of the M4 mechanism are determined as follows:

\[ k_{1} = A_T e^{\frac{k E_{a}}{RT}} \]  

(14)

\[ k_{2} = (p_{\text{am}} / \rho)^{a} A_T e^{\frac{k E_{a}}{RT}} \]  

(15)

\[ k_{3} = A_T e^{\frac{k E_{a}}{RT}} \]  

(16)

\[ k_{4} = A_T e^{\frac{k E_{a}}{RT}} \]  

(17)

where \( k_{i} \) is the kinetic rate, \( A \) is the pre-exponential factor, \( B \) is the temperature exponent, \( E_{a} \) is the activation energies, \( R \) is the ideal gas constant and \( T \) is the temperature.

**Figure 2.** Schematic illustration of the computational domain and grid structure

A computational fluid dynamics (CFD) pre-processing software code Gambit is employed to establish the modeling and mesh generation in this study [29]. Computational domain includes the model GT combustion chamber and DLE combustor (see Figure 2). Considering the complexity of the computational domain, a structured multi-grid generation method is applied to achieve high quality hexahedral mesh of the whole computational domain. A finer grid scheme is generated in the vicinity of the region to the combustor and sidewall of the combustion chamber to predict exactly the change of important coherent parameters in those regions [27]. Considering that the LES approach still has strict requirements for the computing capability and speed of the computer and memory, a relatively larger mesh is generated in the region near the exit of the combustion chamber to control the grid number of the computer region, thus reducing the required numerical calculations [27]. Total grid number is approximately 1 792 366. Time step is calculated by courant number rule which is kept below 0.5 during the calculation. Considering that the grid scale of the combustor region is below 1 mm, the time step is set as 1×10^{-6} s. In order to study the transformation of flame configuration in 0.5 s, the total number of time steps is about 250 000 for each case examined. Six LES test cases are selected to investigate the influence of the inlet Reynold number on the flow field,
temperature distribution, and the PVC behavior in both non-reacting and reacting flows inside a model GT combustion chamber. Three cases with different inlet Reynolds numbers are selected to estimate its effects in non-reacting flow which are 88 500, 118 000 and 147 500 respectively (cases A, B and C). Flow rates of the combustive air are 0.131 kg/s, 0.175 kg/s and 0.219 kg/s at the inlet pressure of 0.3 MPa [26]. The setting of boundary conditions for cases D, E and F are identical to those of cases A, B and C, except that the operating conditions are varied from non-reacting to reacting flow. For cases D, E and F, the same global equivalence ratios of fuel stream are given at about 0.60 including the panel leakage air. Furthermore, the total thermal powers are 251 kW, 335 kW and 419 kW respectively [26]. Therefore, the flow rates of the fuel are 0.131 kg/s, 0.175 kg/s and 0.219 kg/s respectively. The percentage of pilot fuel is set as 0 %. The pressure loss coefficients of the combustion chamber are set as 1 %, for all cases examined.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Validation of the numerical results

Figure 3 shows the comparison of LES and experimental profiles of the mean axial velocity in four different axial locations of the mid-plane for case E which is located at $z/D = 1.21, 1.44, 1.66$ and $2.00$ from the pilot surface, where $D$ is the diameter of the pre-chamber (see Figure 1). It is clear that the adverse pressure gradient induces a large CTRZ in the vicinity of the central axis of the combustor. The calculated axial velocity profiles show a slight asymmetry in these zones which may be improved after sufficient averaging time [27]. The expansion of the main flow forms two peak velocities on the each side of the combustion chamber. The location and magnitude of the axial velocity and reverse flow zones are well captured at all four different axial locations although the magnitude and reverse velocity in the reverse flow zone is over-estimated than that of experimental data. Figure 4 shows the LES and experimental profiles of the mean flame temperature for the case E. The experimental data of the flame temperature only cover one-half of the combustion chamber. It is noted that the location and magnitude of the flame are slightly over-estimated than that of experimental data. However, the maximum error between LES and experiment results is still lower than 10 %. Similar calculation deviations can also be found in the studies of Bulat et al. [27]. Good agreement between LES and experimental data implies that the numerical results are reasonable for studying the velocity, flame temperature distribution and the PVC behavior in both non-reacting and reacting flows.

3.2 Effect of Reynold number on flow field

Figure 5 shows the instance flow field and velocity vectors of the mid-plane close to the pre-chamber both in non-reacting and reacting flows for all cases examined. The dimension of the visualized domain is about 0.25 m, and 0.190 m in depth and width including the DLE combustor. Fresh incoming fuel/oxidant streams enter into the pre-chamber after passing through a single radial swirler mounted on the head of the DLE combustor [26]. Under the influence of centrifugal forces, the inflow suddenly expands and thus forms an annular swirling jet inside the combustion chamber [30]. Therefore, a CTRZ is generated in the vicinity of the axis of the combustor which is dominated by many small-scale vortices. The vortices are visualized by the plot of velocity vectors in Figure 5. The structure of CTRZ turns out to be complicated especially in the downstream region of the flowfield. The boundary of CTRZ extends to the pilot surface of the combustor which can establish a firm aerodynamic base for stabilization of the lean-premixed flame in the reacting flow [24]. Furthermore, two smaller external recirculation zones (ERZs) are established in the vicinity of the sidewall of the chamber due to the sudden expansion of the annular swirling jet. Therefore, two shear layers are generated between the inflow, CTRZ and ERZs. The difference in the inlet Reynolds number affects the location and magnitude of recirculation zones inside the combustion chamber. The velocity of inflow increases with the rising of the inlet Reynold number which increases the adverse pressure gradient and thus enlarges the magnitude and reverses the velocity in the recirculation zones in both non-reacting and reacting flows. Furthermore, the velocity of the
The annular swirling jet is relatively lower in the non-reacting flow. This is caused by the heat being released by the combustion reaction directly heats the inflow which decreases the density and thus improves its velocity which further enlarges the magnitude and reverse velocity in the recirculation zones. Under combustion conditions the flow field becomes more complex and diffuse. In consequence, the structure of CTRZ is more irregular compared to that of the non-reacting flow especially in the downstream of CTRZ.

To understand the details of the flow field, Figures 6 and 7 shows the LES profiles of the mean axial velocity in four different axial locations of the mid-plane in both non-reacting and reacting flows for all cases examined. It is clear that the expansion of the annular swirling jet forms two symmetrical peak velocities that decrease with the increasing of the axial distance due to the diminishing of the jet inside the combustion chamber. However, the distance between the peak points is the opposite due to the expansion of the main flow. It is clear that the maximum value of the axial velocity is relatively higher in the reacting flow. At $z/D=1.21$, for example, the maximum values of axial velocity increase from 40 m/s in case B (non-reacting flow) to 45 m/s in case E (reacting flow) under the same Reynold number of 118 000. The regions with zero axial velocity is usually defined as the boundary of the recirculation zones [24]. Consequently, it is easy to compare the location and structure of recirculation zones through the profiles of the average axial velocity. It is clear that the length of CTRZ is much longer than that of ORZ which disappears when the axial distance is further than 2.00 $D$. Furthermore, the magnitude of the recirculation zone and the reverse velocity increase with an increase in the Reynold number in both non-reacting and reacting flows. At $z/D=1.21$, for example, the breadth of CTRZ increases from 0.04 m in case A ($Re=85\ 000$) to 0.045 m in case C ($Re=118\ 000$).

Figure 5. Axial velocity distribution of the mid-plane in both non-reacting and reacting flow (m·s$^{-1}$)

Figure 6. Mean axial velocity distribution along different radial locations of the mid-plane in reacting flow (m·s$^{-1}$)

Figure 7. Mean axial velocity distribution along different radial locations of the mid-plane in reacting flow (m·s$^{-1}$)
3.3 Effect of Reynold number on temperature distribution

Figure 8 shows the flame temperature in the mid-plane close to the pre-chamber in the reacting flow in cases D, E and F. The gas temperature in the non-reacting flow is not included in this study due to the even distribution of temperature inside the combustion chamber. It is noted that the fresh incoming fuel/oxidant streams are numerically ignited by filling the combustion chamber with high-temperature burned products which is different from the actual ignition process inside the combustion chamber [27]. Fresh incoming premixed fuel/oxidant streams are ignited in the pre-chamber and burn downstream which formed an M-shaped flame in the shear layers between the internal and external recirculation zones. The relatively lower global equivalence compresses the premixed flame temperature inside the combustion chamber. Comparing Figure 5 with Figure 8, it is clear that the flame behavior is strongly correlate with the characteristics of the recirculation zones.

In many previous studies, the pressure of the iso-surface is usually used to compare the structure of the PVCs. Figure 10 shows the structure of the PVCs visualized by pressure iso-surface (P=0.299 MPa), streamlines, pressure and tangential velocity in the cross section of z=0.06 m in cases A, B and C (non-reacting flow). It is clear that the PVCs with a helical structure formed in the upstream of CTRZ, in cases A, B and C. The PVC precesses in the same direction as the global rotation of the flow. Furthermore, the structure of the PVCs is anchored to the pilot surface of the combustor and persists for about 1.5–2.0 diameters of the pre-chamber before compressing into a smaller vortex as it moves downstream. In case A, there is only a single PVC structure formed upstream of CTRZ which only persist for about 1.5 diameters of the pre-chamber as shown in Figure 10 a. Most of the
liquid around the PVC structure rotates on its center. The location of the vortex overlaps with the lowest pressure region which deviates from the center axial of the combustion chamber. Furthermore, the tangential velocity in the vicinity of the PVC center is approximately zero. Therefore, the vortex has the typical feather of the PVC according to the studies of Sarpkaya et al. [7, 8]. Difference in the inlet Reynolds number affects the structure of the PVC. In case B, another smaller PVC structure appears downstream of CTRZ. Furthermore, a PVC structure with approximately equal intensity occurs in case C. An increase in the Reynolds number enlarges the magnitude of CTRZ and thus produces a more regular and stronger structure of the PVC inside the combustion chamber. Therefore, the breakdown position of the PVC moves toward downstream of CTRZ with higher inlet Reynolds numbers. Figure 11 shows the structure of the PVCs visualized by the pressure iso-surface (P=0.299 MPa), streamlines, pressure and tangential velocity in the cross section of z=0.06 m in cases D, E and F (reacting flow). As aforementioned, the setting of the boundary conditions for cases D, E and F are identical to that of cases A, B and C, except that the operating conditions vary from non-reacting flow to reacting flow. It is clear that the structure of the PVCs in the reacting flow are mostly in the regions of unburned reactants within the per-chamber. However, the coherence of the PVC structure is relatively smaller and irregular compared to that of the non-reacting flow. This happens because the occurrence of the PVC is suppressed by compressibility effects of the flame in the reacting flow [7, 8]. Similar research conclusions also can be found in the studies of Sarpkaya et al. [7, 8]. Furthermore, the structure of the PVC tends to appear further fractured especially downstream of CTRZ which is dominated by a small-scale vortex. This happens because the flow viscosity is significantly changed in the reacting flow, especially in the high temperature region.

Figure 10. Structure of the PVC visualized by a pressure iso-surface, streamlines, pressure and tangential velocity in the cross section of z=0.06 m in the non-reacting flow
Due to the turbulent nature of the flame/flow interaction, the investigation of pressure oscillations in the non-reacting flow is a prerequisite for studying combustion instabilities. In the test rig, the periodic fluctuations of the reacting flow are captured by a piezo acoustic microphone installed in the combustion chamber [31]. Figure 12 presents the Fourier transformed pressure spectra which is obtained at the same location as the test rig in both non-reacting and reacting flows during the calculation for all cases examined. It is clear that there is a linear increase in pressure oscillations amplitude with an increase in the inlet Reynold number in both non-reacting and reacting flows. The characteristics of the effect of the inlet Reynold number on pressure oscillations amplitude is consistent with that of the studies of Killian et al. [13]. Furthermore, the amplitude of pressure oscillations in the non-reacting flow is relatively higher than that of the reacting flow for all cases examined. Comparing Figure 10 with Figure 11, it is clear that this happens because the pressure field in the non-reacting flow is dominated by the presence of the PVC which is suppressed in the reacting flow. The largest peaks in the spectrum of the pressure occasion occur at the frequencies of 163.9 Hz, 218.8 Hz and 272.9 Hz in cases A, B and C. The frequency of the PVC increases linearly with an increase in the inlet Reynold number of stream in the non-reacting flow. As aforementioned, the frequency of the PVC can be readily characterized by the non-dimensional parameter of Strouhal number $\frac{f}{D/u_\infty}$. It is clear that the Strouhal number relatively remains constant which is approximately equal to 8.54 in cases A, B and C respectively. In case E, the dominant frequency is approximately 194.4 Hz which is in agreement with the experiment results in the studies of Stopper [31]. It is clear that the effect of the inlet Reynold number on pressure occasion frequencies is similar to that in the non-reacting flow. There is also an anticipated decrease in frequency in the pressure frequency in the reacting flow. The Strouhal number decreases to about 7.54 in the reacting flow.
7. CONCLUSIONS

The effects of inlet Reynold number on the recirculation zone and precessing vortex core (PVC) behavior in both non-reacting and reacting flows are numerically studied by using a three-dimensional compressible three-dimensional large eddy simulation approach. The predicted results show that a central toroidal recirculation zone (CTRZ) extends to the pilot surface of the combustor which could establish a firm aerodynamic base for ignition and combustion of fuel gas in the reacting flow. Under combustion conditions, the flow field became more complex and the structure of CTRZ is more irregular compared to that of the non-reacting flow, especially downstream of the flowfield. Furthermore, the PVCs with a helical structure formed upstream of CTRZ which precesses in the same direction as the global rotation of the flow and then compresses into a smaller vortex as it moves downstream in both non-reacting and reacting flows. Increasing the inlet Reynold number enlarges the magnitude of CTRZ and thus produces a more regular and stronger structure of the PVC inside the combustion chamber. Therefore, the breakdown position of the PVC moves toward downstream of CTRZ with higher inlet Reynold numbers. The structure of the PVCs in the reacting flow mostly located in the regions of unburned reactants within the per-chamber which is relatively smaller and more irregular compared to that of the non-reacting flow. There is an increase in pressure oscillations amplitude and frequency with the increase in the inlet Reynold number. However, the Strouhal number remains relatively constant in the non-reacting flow. There is also an anticipated decrease in pressure oscillations, frequency and Strouhal number due to the compressibility effects of the flame in the reacting flow.
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NOMENCLATURE

CFD Computational Fluid Dynamics
CTRZ Central Toroidal Recirculation Zones
DNS Direct Numerical Simulations thermal
RANS Reynolds Average Navier-Stokes
LES Large Eddy Simulations
ORZ Outer Recirculation Zones
PVC Precessing Vortex Core