Numerical Study of Natural Convection for Generalized Second-Grade Fluids Confined in a Square Cavity Subjected to Horizontal Heat Flux
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ABSTRACT

Two-dimensional steady laminar natural convection of a viscoelastic fluid represented by generalized second-grade fluid model in a square enclosure is studied. The cavity is submitted at its vertical sides to a uniform density of heat flux while the horizontal walls are insulated, without slipping conditions at all the solid boundaries. The governing conservation and constitutive equations with the corresponding boundary conditions are solved by finite volume method in a collocated grid system. The contributions of shear rate dependent and elastic characteristics of the viscoelastic fluid are investigated on momentum and heat transport. The effects of elastic number (E) in the range 0 - 1 on heat transfer and fluid motion are interpreted for a power-law index (n) in the range 1.4 - 0.6 and nominal values of Rayleigh number (Ra) range of 10^3 to 10^5.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of viscoelastic flows is very important due to their wide range of applications in many areas such as geophysics, biomechanical engineering, chemical and petroleum industries. The viscoelastic fluids include both viscous and elastic effects, making their mathematical modeling complicated than the Newtonian fluids one. To describe the behavior of viscoelastic fluids different models have been used. Amongst these models, Rivlin-Ericksen fluids or differential type fluids proposed by Rivlin and Ericksen [1], have received special attention. As a particular case of Rivlin-Ericksen fluids we find second grade fluids, relevant issues concerning these fluids have been discussed in detail by Dunn and Fosdick [2], Fosdick and Rajagopal [3], and Fosdick and Rajagopal [4], respectively. It is clear that second-grade fluids show only the normal stress effects. However, many realistic fluids exhibit combined effects of normal stress and shear-thinning/shear-thickening behavior. In such cases, generalized second-grade fluids, proposed by Man and Sun [5], are suitable. Because of this motivation, the model considered in the present study is a generalized second-grade fluid.

Thermal transfer of viscoelastic fluids has attained tremendous number of studies because of its presence in many areas. The previous investigations have analytically and numerically analyzed this phenomenon in order to interpret and show the effects of elasticity on the flow and heat transfer characteristics related to the viscoelastic flow in different geometries. In the precedent studies, it has been found that the elasticity affects heat transfer through the change of Nusselt number. Shenoy and Mashelkar [6] have analyzed natural convection of viscoelastic fluid by using the approximate integral method. They have noted that the effect of elasticity on Nusselt number depends on the value of Weissenberg number. Also, a set of studies [7-10] have been done for viscoelastic second-grade fluids in different geometries. It has been noticed that the elasticity is considered as a resistance force, which trends to depreciate heat transfer within the flow and decelerate the fluid motion.

Natural convection flows arise from density variations with temperature or concentration within a non-isothermal-fluid under the influence of gravity. This is frequently encountered in many industrial and practical engineering areas that include heat exchangers, nuclear reactors, geothermal systems, metallurgical processes, crystal growth and others. Buoyancy-driven convection for non-Newtonian fluids in enclosures has been broadly studied. Such one-dimensional flows often allow getting an analytical solution of the governing conservation and constitutive equations. In this context, Lamsadi et al. [11] have analytically and numerically studied natural convection of power law fluids in a shallow cavity subjected to a uniform density of heat flux at its horizontal walls. The parallel flow approximation has been used to simplify the governing nonlinear differential equations. They have demonstrated that the flow characteristics are sensitive to the power law index but not to the Prandtl number, when this later is sufficiently large. Another model of non-Newtonian fluids has been used in the study of Allaoui and Vasseur [12], which is the Carreau-Yasuda non-Newtonian fluid. They have deduced a semi-analytical solution for natural convection of a Carreau-Yasuda fluid in a vertical enclosure heated from the side walls, founding pseudo-rheological behavior generates a significant modification in heat transfer characteristics. Whereas 2-D flows require a numerical study to solve the non-linear governing equations. Natural convection of power law fluids inside square enclosures has been numerically studied by Turan et al. [13-17] for various boundary conditions. It has been noted that Nusselt number and the flow intensity are increasing functions with rising Rayleigh number (Ra) and decreasing power law index (n), and heat transfer takes place...
by conduction for low values of Ra and large values of \( n \). Buoyancy-driven convection for another type of non-Newtonian fluids, which are viscoplastic fluids, confined in cavities has been numerically investigated by Hassan et al. [18-20]. It has been shown that heat transfer enhances with increasing Casson parameter, for Casson viscoplastic fluids, for any value of Rayleigh number considered on their studies. While an increase in Bingham number generates a decrease in the fluid circulation and heat transfer, for Bingham fluids, and for each Rayleigh number there correspond a critical Bingham number for which the thermal transfer inside the cavity takes place by conduction mode. Furthermore, the studies of natural convection of viscoelastic fluids in 2-D cavities have been numerically studied by Demir et al. [10, 21, 22]. Demir et al. [21, 22] have numerically investigated unsteady natural convection of viscoelastic Criminala-Erickson-Filby (CEF) fluid confined in a square cavity heated from below. Recently, Sheremet and Pop [10] have studied the flow of natural convection combined with thermal radiation for second-grade fluids confined in a square enclosure, submitted to different temperatures on vertical walls. They have used a finite difference method to solve the governing non-linear conservation and constitutive equations. Results have been obtained just in the nearly Newtonian flow (\( \varepsilon = 0.0001-0.001 \)). It has been found that the elasticity effect is more important for high values of Rayleigh number and radiation parameter as well as small values of Prandtl number.

From the above mentioned literature survey, one can find the lack of studies treating the natural convection for confined viscoelastic generalized second-grade fluids, which exhibit both normal stress effects and variable viscosity. Consequently, the present study has considered a generalized second-grade fluid confined in a square enclosure, submitted at its vertical sides to a uniform density of heat flux while the horizontal walls are insulated, through a numerical algorithm using a finite volume method that allows to obtain results for relatively high elasticity numbers which has been one of the main challenges in numerical simulations.

2. GEOMETRY AND GOVERNING EQUATIONS

The geometry of interest is a viscoelastic fluid-filled square cavity subjected at its vertical walls to a uniform density of heat flux, while the horizontal ones are insulated, without slipping conditions at all the solid boundaries (Figure 1).

The Cauchy stress tensor of an incompressible homogenous second grade fluid [1] is defined by:

\[
\Sigma = -pI + \mu A_1 + \alpha_1 A_2 + \alpha_2 A_1^2
\]

where, \( -pI \) denotes the indeterminate part of the stress due to assumption of incompressibility, \( \mu \) is the coefficient of viscosity, \( \alpha_1 \) and \( \alpha_2 \) are the material constants referred to normal stress modulus, the Rivlin-Ericksen tensors \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) are given by:

\[
A_1 = \text{grad} V' + (\text{grad} V')^T
\]

\[
A_2 = \frac{dA_1}{dt} + A_2(\text{grad} V') + (\text{grad} V')^TA_1
\]

Here \( V' \) stands for the velocity and \( d/dt \) is the material derivative defined as follow:

\[
\frac{d(\cdot)}{dt} = \frac{\partial (\cdot)}{\partial t} + \mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla (\cdot)
\]

Then the material parameters must respect the following restrictions [2]:

\[
\mu \geq 0; \alpha_1 \geq 0 \text{ and } \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 0.
\]

A shortcoming of second-grade model is that cannot predict shear-thinning/shear-thickening, which is the decrease/increase of viscosity with increasing shear rate. The generalized second-grade fluids exhibit both normal stress effects and variable viscosity. The stress tensor of such fluids is defined as (see [23, 24]):

\[
\Sigma = -pI + \mu(\gamma)A_1 + \alpha_1 A_2 + \alpha_2 A_1^2
\]

Here \( \gamma \) is the shear rate. The viscosity is represented by the power-law model, due to Ostwald-de Waale, which can be expressed as:

\[
\mu(\gamma) = k \left( \left( \frac{\gamma x}{\gamma_0} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\gamma y}{\gamma_0} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{n}{2}}
\]

where, \( k \) and \( n \) are the consistency and the power law indices, respectively.

On the basis of the assumptions commonly used in natural convection problems, the dimensionless governing equations for Boussinesq fluids, written in terms of dimensionless velocity vector components, \( (U, V) \), dimensionless pressure, \( P \), and dimensionless temperature, \( T \), in Cartesian coordinate system \((X, Y)\), are:

- **Continuity equation**

\[
\frac{\partial U}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial Y} = 0
\]

- **Momentum equations**

\[
\left( U \frac{\partial U}{\partial X} + V \frac{\partial U}{\partial Y} \right) = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial X} + Pr \mu \left( \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial X^2} + \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial Y^2} \right) + \frac{2\mu_1}{\eta} \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial Y} \right) + \frac{\mu_1}{\eta} \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial Y} \right) + \frac{\rho g}{\eta} \left( 1 - T \right)
\]

- **Energy equation**

\[
U \frac{\partial T}{\partial X} + V \frac{\partial T}{\partial Y} = \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial X^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial Y^2}
\]
where:
\[
\mu_a = \left[ 2 \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right]^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}
\]  
(11)

\[
\tau_{xx} = E \left[ 2 \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right]
\]  
(12)

\[
\tau_{yy} = E \left[ 2 \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right]
\]  
(13)

\[
\tau_{xy} = E \left[ \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) + 2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + 2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right]
\]  
(14)

In the above equations \( \mu_a \) is the effective viscosity, \( \tau_{xx}, \tau_{yy} \) and \( \tau_{xy} \) are the extra-stress tensor components.

The dimensionless parameters, appearing in all these equations, are the Rayleigh number (\( Ra = \frac{\beta g L^2 \Delta T^2}{\alpha^2 n} \)), Prandtl number (\( Pr = \frac{(k/\rho) L^2 \Delta T^2}{\alpha^2 n} \)) and elastic number (\( E = \frac{d_1}{\rho L^2} \)). The dimensionless variables used here are:

\( (X, Y) = (X', Y') / L', (U, V) = (U', V') / (\alpha / L'), T = (T') / (q'L'/\lambda) \), where \( g \) represents the acceleration due to gravity, \( \beta \) is the thermal expansion coefficient at the reference temperature, \( \alpha \) is the thermal diffusivity at reference temperature, \( \lambda \) is the thermal conductivity at the reference temperature.

To close the above equations system, the following appropriate boundary conditions are necessary:

\[
U = V = \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} = 0, \text{for } X = 0 \text{ and } X = 1
\]  
(15)

\[
U = V = \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = 0, \text{for } Y = 0 \text{ and } Y = 1
\]  
(16)

The physical quantities which present the heat transfer rate by convection are the local Nusselt number, \( Nu \), and the average Nusselt number, \( \bar{Nu} \), that are expressed as:

\[
Nu = - \frac{\frac{\partial U'}{\partial x}}{\Delta T} = \frac{1}{\Delta T} \int \frac{\partial U'}{\partial x} dy
\]  
(17)

\[
\bar{Nu} = \int_0^1 N u dy
\]  
(18)

3. NUMERICS

3.1 Discretization method

The above conservation and constitutive equations are solved by using a finite volume formulation in collocated grid. All of conservation equations can be written in the following general form of transport equation [25]:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( U \phi - \Gamma \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( V \phi - \Gamma \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} \right) = S_\phi
\]  
(19)

Here \( \phi \) is the working variable, \( \Gamma \) is the diffusion coefficient and \( S_\phi \) is the source term, which can be linearised as:

\[
S_\phi = S_c + S_p \phi_p
\]  
(20)

where, \( S_c \) is the constant part of \( S_\phi \) that is explicitly independent on \( \phi \), while \( S_p \) is the coefficient of \( \phi_p \) which is made negative to enhance the numerical stability [25].

A finite volume formulation is adopted, in collocated grid, for the special discretization. The flow domain is divided into a number of control volumes \( \Delta V \) around \( P \) (Figure 2).

![Figure 2. Control volume](image)

By integrating the Eq. (19) over the control volume, the final form of discretized equations relating the variable \( \phi_\psi \) to its neighboring grid point values can be given in every control volume as:

\[
A \phi_P = A_W \phi_W + A_E \phi_E + A_S \phi_S + A_N \phi_N + S_\phi
\]  
(21)

The behavior of the numerical scheme can be improved by the discretization of source term. To compute it, we need the first gradient of \( \tau_{xx}, \tau_{yy} \) and \( \tau_{xy} \). For the term \( \partial \tau_{xx}/\partial x \), as like as the other gradients, is assuming quadratic variation of \( \tau_{xx} \) along the x direction. Therefore, \( \partial \tau_{xx}/\partial x \) is expressed as \( 2ax^2 + b \) [26].

3.2 Solving method

The obtaining discrete system for each control volume consist of a set of linear algebraic equations, which are then easily solved by means of the line by line technique based on the tridiagonal matrix algorithm (TDMA) [25, 27].

To solve the discretized equations, an equation of pressure is clearly necessary, since it is an unknown in the momentum equations that requires the use of Semi-Implicite Method for Pressure Linked Equation (SIMPLE) algorithm, in which the continuity equation is transformed to the pressure equation. To avoid checkerboard velocity and pressure distribution, cell face velocities are evaluated by Momentum Interpolation Method (MIM), firstly proposed by Rhie and Chow [28], and widely used in the literature [29-31].

The solution process is reiterated until attaining the convergence criterion:

\[
\max \left\{ \phi^{n+1} - \phi^n \right\} \leq 10^{-7}
\]  
(22)

where, \( \phi = (U, V, P, T) \).

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Mesh independency

To check the mesh independency of the obtaining solution, minimum stream function value, \( \psi_{min} \), and average Nusselt number, \( \bar{Nu} \), are presented for two fixed cases, in which the control parameters are given by: \( n = 1, Ra = 10^5, Pr = 30, E = 0.4 \) and for the second case \( n = 0.6, Ra = 10^5, Pr = 30 \) and \( E = 0.06 \).
Several tests were made for four different grids. Results are tabulated in Table 1. For sufficient precision the uniform grid of 250x250 has been considered for the further study.

4.2 Validation of the numerical code

To validate the elaborated numerical code, our results, expressed in terms of minimum stream function, \( \Psi_{\text{min}} \), and average Nusselt number, \( \bar{Nu} \), for both imposed temperature and flux cases, are compared with previous researches with or without elasticity effect. Hence, as can be seen from Table 2 and Figure 3 a good agreement is generally obtained.

### Table 1. Minimum stream function, \( \Psi_{\text{min}} \), and average Nusselt number, \( \bar{Nu} \), for different meshes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n = 1 ), ( Ra = 10^3 ), ( Pr = 30 ) and ( E = 0.4 )</th>
<th>( \Psi_{\text{min}} )</th>
<th>( \bar{Nu} )</th>
<th>( \Delta_1 = \frac{\Psi_{\text{min}} \text{at} \ 10^3 - \Psi_{\text{min}} \text{at} \ 250\times250}{} )</th>
<th>( \Delta_2 = \frac{\bar{Nu} \text{at} \ 10^3 - \bar{Nu} \text{at} \ 250\times250}{} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>150x150</td>
<td>-4.568350</td>
<td>3.213178</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
<td>0.0248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200x200</td>
<td>-4.537409</td>
<td>3.170324</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>0.0116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250x250</td>
<td>-4.546154</td>
<td>3.133428</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>0.0053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300x300</td>
<td>-4.530809</td>
<td>3.116613</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n = 0.6 ), ( Ra = 10^4 ), ( Pr = 30 ) and ( E = 0.06 )</th>
<th>( \Psi_{\text{min}} )</th>
<th>( \bar{Nu} )</th>
<th>( \Delta_1 = \frac{\Psi_{\text{min}} \text{at} \ 10^4 - \Psi_{\text{min}} \text{at} \ 250\times250}{} )</th>
<th>( \Delta_2 = \frac{\bar{Nu} \text{at} \ 10^4 - \bar{Nu} \text{at} \ 250\times250}{} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>150x150</td>
<td>-4.368957</td>
<td>2.977868</td>
<td>0.0719</td>
<td>0.0386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200x200</td>
<td>-4.216745</td>
<td>2.913420</td>
<td>0.0384</td>
<td>0.0173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250x250</td>
<td>-4.058411</td>
<td>2.862851</td>
<td>0.0053</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300x300</td>
<td>-4.035047</td>
<td>2.779754</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Comparison of our simulation results with previous studies for different values of \( E \), \( Pr \) and \( Ra \): case of imposed constant temperature difference

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( Ra )</th>
<th>( E )</th>
<th>( Pr )</th>
<th>Present Work</th>
<th>Sheremet and Pop [10]</th>
<th>Turan et al. [13]</th>
<th>De Val Davis [32]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>( \Psi_{\text{min}} )</td>
<td>( \bar{Nu} )</td>
<td>( \Psi_{\text{min}} )</td>
<td>( \bar{Nu} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 10^4 )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>-5.073</td>
<td>2.205</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 10^4 )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-5.120</td>
<td>2.246</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 10^5 )</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>-11.028</td>
<td>4.630</td>
<td>-10.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3.** Comparison of our simulation results (left) with those of Turan et al. [14] (right) for \( Pr = 100 \) and different values of \( Ra \) and \( n \): case of imposed constant heat flux

4.3 Flow and heat transfer in viscoelastic fluids

The main objective of this study is to evaluate the effects of \( Ra \), \( n \) and \( E \) on the flow and heat transfer generated by natural convection for a generalized second-grade fluid. To do this, numerical trials are conducted for various values of the governing parameters \( E \) (0 - 1), \( n \) (0.6, 1, 1.4), \( Ra \) (10^3 - 10^5) and Prandtl number was kept at a constant value \( Pr = 30 \).

4.3.1 Combined effects of Rayleigh and elastic numbers

In this part, the power law index was kept at a constant value, \( n = 1 \), while the Rayleigh number was varied between \( 10^3 \) and \( 10^5 \) and the elasticity parameter was ranged between 0 and 1.

It was found that the attainable maximum elastic number, at which a converged solution was obtained, strongly depends on Rayleigh number. At \( Ra = 10^3 \) the maximum elastic number reached was 1, while at \( Ra = 10^5 \) it was 0.4.

Typical streamlines and isotherms illustrating elasticity effects on the flow structure and temperature patterns are presented in Figures 4a-4b for two different values of \( Ra \) (10^3 and 10^5) and various values of \( E \). At \( Ra = 10^5 \) (Figure 4a), a circular clockwise flow is formed inside the enclosure for all values of elastic number. In fact, the buoyancy forces generated due to the temperature difference between the vertical walls cause the fluid to rise along the hot wall and to descend along the cold wall. At the same time, the
corresponding isotherms are nearly parallel to the isothermal walls indicating that the pseudo-conductive regime dominates the heat transfer mechanism. A growth of elastic number shows a non-significant modification of streamlines and isotherms. An increase in Rayleigh number to $Ra = 10^5$ (relatively high convection), which indicates a strengthening of buoyancy forces comparing to viscous forces, generates, in the Newtonian case ($E = 0$), an elongation of isotherms and cell core of streamlines along the horizontal axis, which indicates that convection dominates heat transfer. However, for a viscoelastic fluid, a more important flow resistance is generated as the value of elastic number increases. Therefore, the cell core of streamlines becomes less elongated, and the corresponding isotherms seem to be less non-linear with increasing $E$. Hence, the phenomenon proves that the elasticity reduces the convection process.

Additionally, Figure 5 shows the distribution of non-dimensional temperature $T$ along the centerline at $Y = 0.5$ for two values of $Ra$ ($10^3$ and $10^5$) and different values of $E$. It is clear to notice that, in general, the distribution of $T$ exhibits an increase in boundary layer thickness with rising $E$. This increasing trend of thermal boundary layer thickness with a growth of $E$ indicates that the effects of convection weaken with elasticity. An augmentation of the thermal boundary layer thickness gives rise to a decrease in the magnitude of heat flux at the vertical walls, which acts to decrease the average Nusselt number $\overline{Nu}$ as can be shown in Figure 6, where the variation of the average Nusselt number $\overline{Nu}$ with $E$ is presented. It is clear that the effect of elasticity is more pronounced for $Ra=10^5$ than $Ra = 10^3$ (This behavior is consistent with results of Sheremet and Pop [10]), which means that the parameter $E$ plays an inhibiting role against the mixing role of $Ra$.

Figure 4. Stream lines (right) and isotherms (left) for different values of $E$ and various values of $Ra$: (a) $Ra = 10^3$ and (b) $Ra = 10^5$

Figure 5. Variations of non-dimensional temperature along the mid-length of the cavity for different values of $E$ and various values of $Ra$: $Ra = 10^3$ (left) and $Ra = 10^5$ (right)
4.3.2 Combined effects of power law index and elastic number

In this part of the study, mutual effects of the rheological parameters have been discussed. During the variation of power law index, the governing equations become heavily non-linear, mainly for shear-thinning fluids ($n<1$). Therefore, the attainable maximum elastic number, at which a converged solution was obtained, strongly depends on Rayleigh number and power law index. For $n = 0.6$, the value of $Ra$ was taken equal to $10^4$ and $E$ was ranged from 0 to 0.06. Despite this restriction to the small values of elastic number, it was noticed that interesting structural changes in both streamlines and isotherms. For shear-thickening fluids ($n>1$), it was considered
$n = 1.4$, $Ra = 10^5$ and $E$ was varied from 0 to 0.6.

Results presented in the previous section are given for $n = 1$. Now let us increase the value of $n$. Consequently, the effects of viscous forces become increasingly strong in comparison to buoyancy forces because of shear-thickening. Figure 7 presents typical streamlines and isotherms for $Ra = 10^5$, $n = 1.4$ and different values of $E$. A very limited qualitative effect can be observed in the flow structure and temperature patterns by changing $E$. Furthermore, the distributions of non-dimensional temperature $T$ and the vertical velocity component $V$ along the mid-length of the cavity are presented in Figure 8; it is observed a non-significant modification in the temperature distribution, and a slight decrease in the magnitude of vertical velocity. Moreover, Table 3 shows the dependence of the flow intensity (absolute value of minimum stream function), $|\Psi_{\min}|$, and the mean heat transfer (average Nusselt number), $\overline{Nu}$, on $E$ for $Ra = 10^5$ and two different values of $n$ ($n = 1.4$ and $n = 1$). It is clear from Table 3 that the increase in $E$ causes the flow intensity, $|\Psi_{\min}|$, and average Nusselt number, $\overline{Nu}$, to decrease because of the flow resistance offered by elasticity. Table 3 further shows that, at $n = 1.4$, elasticity influences slightly the mean heat transfer and flow intensity. Here, an increase in $E$ from 0 to 0.6 generates a diminution of $|\Psi_{\min}|$ and $\overline{Nu}$ at 2.08% and 0.77%, respectively. Whereas in the case where $n = 1$ and $Ra = 10^5$, a growth of elastic number from 0 up to 0.4 leads to a decrease in $|\Psi_{\min}|$ and $\overline{Nu}$ at 10.76% and 12.57%, respectively. In other words, the influence of elasticity is less important for shear-thickening fluids. It is probably due to the fact that this parameter does not affect the flow when the viscous forces are more important.

Table 3. Absolute value of minimum stream function, average Nusselt number for $Pr = 30$, $Ra = 10^5$, $n = 1.4$ and $n = 1$ versus $E$

| $E$  | $|\Psi_{\min}|$ | $\overline{Nu}$ |
|------|----------------|-----------------|
| 0    | 3.747493       | 2.224913        |
| 0.2  | 3.739863       | 2.221718        |
| 0.4  | 3.726862       | 2.219437        |
| 0.6  | 3.669501       | 2.207776        |

| $E$  | $|\Psi_{\min}|$ | $\overline{Nu}$ |
|------|----------------|-----------------|
| 0    | 5.094553       | 3.584049        |
| 0.2  | 4.841439       | 3.414926        |
| 0.4  | 4.546154       | 3.133428        |

Figure 9. Stream lines (top) and isotherms (bottom) for $n = 0.6$, $Ra = 10^4$ and (a) $E = 0$, (b) $E = 0.04$ and (c) $E = 0.06$

Figure 10. Non-dimensional temperature (left) and vertical velocity (right) along the line $Y = 0.5$ for $n = 0.6$, $Ra = 10^4$ and different values of $E$
For $n = 0.6$, the effects of convection become stronger. As expected, the flow structure is more sensitive to the change of the elastic parameter although the variation interval of $E$ is smaller. According to Figure 9, with the increase in $E$, streamlines become more curved in the vicinity of the walls, and the central cell starts to be less elongated. Also, the isotherms are less non-linear due to the fact that the elasticity incapacitates the convection process. On the other hand, Figure 10 shows the variation of non-dimensional temperature $T$ and the vertical velocity component $V$ along the mid-length of the cavity. It is clear to notice that, an increase in elastic parameter $E$ leads to an increase in thermal boundary layer thickness and a decrease in magnitude of the vertical velocity, which in turn indicates that the effects of convection weaken with elasticity. Moreover, Table 4 shows the dependence of $|\Psi_{min}|$ and $\bar{Nu}$ on $E$ for $Ra = 10^4$ and two different values of $n$ ($n = 0.6$ and $n = 1$). It is evident from Table 4 that the flow intensity and average Nusselt number decrease with the enhancement of elastic number as a result of the flow resistance generated by elasticity. Table 4 also shows that, at $n = 0.6$, the mean heat transfer and flow intensity are significantly affected by the rise of elastic number. An increase in $E$ from 0 to 0.06 leads to a reduction of $|\Psi_{min}|$ and $\bar{Nu}$ at 19.01% and 19.06%, respectively. This change is more important comparing to the case when $n = 1$ and $Ra = 10^4$, where an increase in $E$ from 0 to 0.6 leads to a reduction of $|\Psi_{min}|$ and $\bar{Nu}$ at 5.81% and 3.39%, respectively.

Table 4. Absolute value of minimum stream function, average Nusselt number for $Pr = 30$, $Ra = 10^4$, $n = 0.6$ and $n=1$ versus $E$

| $E$  | $|\Psi_{min}|$ | $\bar{Nu}$ |
|-----|---------------|------------|
| 0   | 5.006324      | 3.536906   |
| 0.02| 4.646111      | 3.319593   |
| 0.04| 4.208706      | 2.995955   |
| 0.06| 4.054811      | 2.862851   |

5. CONCLUSION

In the present work, the problem of natural convection of Generalized Second-Grade fluids, which exhibit both normal stress and shear-thinning/shear-thickening effects, confined in a square cavity has been numerically solved using a finite volume method and SIMPLE algorithm in a non-staggered grid system. Numerical simulations have been conducted for different values of Rayleigh number, power law index and elastic number. It has been found that the flow and thermal structures as well as the thermo-hydraulic characteristics of the fluid are sensitive to its rheological behavior, since the flow intensity and heat transfer rate decrease with the elasticity effect, which represents a resistance force. Besides, the effect of elasticity is more pronounced for high values of Rayleigh number and low values of power law index.
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**NOMENCLATURE**

- $A_{1,2}$: Rivlin-Ericksen tensors
- $E$: elastic number
- $g$: gravitational acceleration, m.s$^{-2}$
- $k$: consistency index for a power-law fluid
- $L'$: height or width of the enclosure, m
- $n$: power law index
- $Nu$: mean Nusselt number
- $\bar{Nu}$: mean Nusselt number
- $P$: dimensionless pressure
- $Pr$: generalized Prandtl number
- $q'$: constant heat flux, W. m$^{-2}$
- $Ra$: generalized Rayleigh number
- $(U,V)$: dimensionless horizontal and vertical velocities
- $(U',V')$: horizontal and vertical velocities, m.s$^{-1}$
- $(X,Y)$: dimensionless horizontal and vertical coordinates
- $(X',Y')$: horizontal and vertical coordinates, m

**Greek symbols**

- $\alpha_{1,2}$: normal stress modulus
- $\beta$: thermal expansion coefficient, K$^{-1}$
- $\lambda$: thermal conductivity, W.m$^{-1}$.K$^{-1}$
- $\tau_0$: elastic stress tensor
- $\mu$: dynamic viscosity, kg.m$^{-1}$.s$^{-1}$
- $\mu_e$: dimensionless effective viscosity of fluid
- $\rho$: density of fluid, Kg. m$^{-3}$
- $\psi$: dimensionless stream function
- $\Gamma$: diffusion coefficient
- $\phi$: working variable
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subscripts</th>
<th>Superscripts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>$'$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$min$</td>
<td>Dimensional variables</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

effective variable
minimum value