New Perspective for Heat Transfer Evaluation During Film Condensation Inside Tubes
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ABSTRACT

This paper presents the main results of the research developed by the author in his postdoctoral investigations on heat transfer calculations during film condensation inside tubes. The elements studied are combined in an analysis expression that provides a reasonable fit with the available experimental data, which includes a total of 22 fluids, including water, refrigerants and a wide range of organic substances, which condense inside horizontal, inclined and vertical tubes. These experimental data were obtained from the reports of 33 sources. Available data covers tube diameters from 2 to 50 mm, mass flow rates from 3 to 850 kg/(m²s), reduced pressures \( p_r = P/P_r \) ranging from 0.0008 to 0.91, Re values for single-phase from 1 to \( 10^5 \), Reynolds number for two-phase from 900 to 594390, Reynolds number for single-phase from 65 to 84950 and vapor quality from 0.01 to 0.99. The mean deviation found for the analyzed data for horizontal tubes was 13.4%, while for the inclined and vertical tubes data the mean deviation was 14.9%. In all cases, the agreement of the proposed model is good enough to be considered satisfactory for practical design.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the modern engineering, it is possible to find in advanced literature, an important group of papers in which the heat transfer inside tubes is reviewed or studied. However, the characteristics of the heat transfer process in tubes and the elements related to it generate a complex analysis process, being required in all cases of experimentation and model correlation. In the last five decades an important group of methods and models have been presented, which have been validated from available experimental data.

Several specialized studies, Camaraza-Medina et al. [1], Boyko and Kruzhihil [2], Rabiee et al. [3], Zeinelabdeen et al. [4], Lee et al. [5] have provided a detailed analysis of several models of wide diffusion and acceptance, being widely discussed, their ability to predict the average heat transfer coefficients. However, the literature lacks a single criterion that establishes the suitable dimensionless groups to build a model for the determination of heat transfer coefficients in film condensation.

Most of the known models use approximately five to ten dimensionless groups and validation and adjustment parameters, however, they have a common point, and it consists in the use of the Dittus-Boelter model for the calculation of the heat transfer coefficients in single-phase, which only includes two dimensionless groups and three adjustment values. This model is given by Chen et al. [6], Shah [7, 8]:

\[
Nu_{L} = \frac{h_d}{k} = c Re^m Pr^n \quad (1)
\]

where, \( h \) is the single-phase heat transfer coefficient, \( d \) is the inner diameter of tube, \( k \) is the fluid thermal conductivity, \( Re = Gd/\mu \) is the Reynolds number, \((Gd/\mu \) being the mass flux and \( \mu \) the dynamic viscosity), \( Pr = \mu c_p/k \) is the Prandtl number. The exponent \( n \) is suggested to be 0.3 and 0.4 for cooling and heating respectively, while \( m = 0.8 \) and \( c = 0.023 \).

The present research includes high and low mass flows, with the objective that the developed model can consider and predict the effects associated with stratification. An important group of experimental data reported by various authors was collected, in which various tube diameters and various fluid properties are included.

This paper presents the main results of the research developed by the author in his postdoctoral investigations. The elements studied are combined in an analysis expression that provides a reasonable fit with the available experimental data, which includes a total of 22 fluids, including water, refrigerants and a wide range of organic substances. These experimental data were obtained from the reports of 33 sources. Available data covers tube diameters from 2 to 50 mm, mass flow rates from 3 to 850 kg/(m²s), and reduced pressures \( p_r = P/P_r \) ranging from 0.0008 to 0.91.

The main objective of this paper is to define and identify the dimensionless groups that allow the heat transfer coefficient to be described more adequately during film condensation inside tubes, in addition to developing a mathematical procedure that generates a new, improved model for calculating the heat transfer coefficients during film condensation inside tubes.

2. METHODS AND VALIDATION

If in a tube a three-dimensional control volume is taken inside and it is also considered that the heat flux by conduction cannot be neglected, then, the equation for the conservation of
energy applied to the analyzed tube is described by [9]:

$$\rho C_p \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( k \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( k \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( k \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The energy equation for the fluid is described by:

$$\rho c \left[ \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + V_x \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + V_y \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} + V_z \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right] = k \left( \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2} \right) + \mu \Phi$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

In Eq. (3), the viscous cutting effects are considered by means of the Schlichting function of viscous dissipation \( \Phi \) [4]:

$$\Phi = 2 \left[ \left( \frac{\partial V_x}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial V_y}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial V_z}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right] + \left( \frac{\partial V_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial V_z}{\partial z} \right)^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The viscous dissipation function is considered because it can generate a very important influence on high velocity flows. The compressibility of the flow is present due to the existence of a phase change, for this reason it is necessary to consider the density variations associated with the phase change. The process can be considered as continuous, therefore the continuity equation is:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho V_x)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (\rho V_y)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial (\rho V_z)}{\partial z} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

In the tube, an elemental section is taken as a control volume (see Figure 1). In this analysis, the flow is assumed to be one-dimensional, so the directional transport effects along the tube (axial) are more important than the radial ones. Therefore, Eqsns. (2), (3), (4) and (5) can be simplified to expressions (6), (7), (8) and (9):

**One-dimensional energy equation. for the tube**

$$\rho C_p \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( k \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

**One-dimensional energy equation. for the fluid**

$$\rho c \left[ \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + V_x \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \right] = k \left( \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} \right) + \mu \Phi$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

**One-dimensional function of the viscous dissipation term**

$$\Phi = 3 \left( \frac{\partial V_x}{\partial x} \right)^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

**One-dimensional continuity equation**

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho V_x)}{\partial x} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

In Figure 1, assuming that the heat exchange process is stationary, segments PB and AQ are the respective inputs and outputs of the heat flow to the analyzed control volume in the PB and AQ segments the heat transfer process can be described by means of an unknown functional, described by the following relationships:

$$\begin{align*}
(x &= X_1(t) \text{ for } PB \\
(x &= X_2(t) \text{ for } AQ)
\end{align*}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

The mathematical axiom of the maximum value generates that the analyzed problem has a univocal and continuous solution throughout its interval. For this purpose, the Eq. (6) is applied, obtaining that the Green differential is:

$$Z(\tau) = -a^2 \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

![Figure 1. Elementary volume used in the analyzed problem](image)

The elemental control volume PAQB is divided into four sub-elements PB, AQ, BQ and AP. Therefore, for each segment, the integration of the differential Eq. (6) and its homogeneous combination to Green’s Integral produces as a result a complex integral that includes the sum of four integral relations, one for each sub-element respectively [10, 11]:

$$\int_{PB} \varphi \psi dx - \int_{PA} \varphi \psi dx + \int_{AQ} \varphi \psi dx - \int_{BQ} \varphi \psi dx + a^2 \left[ \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \right] dt$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

In Eq. (12) the term \( \varphi(x, t) \) is the solution for heat transfer process.

If the Green functional is equated to zero, then the source function \( \psi = G_0(x, t, \xi, \tau) \) is generated. This equation can be expressed in terms of infinite line as:

$$\psi = G_0(x, t, \xi, \tau) = \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi a^2(t - \tau)}} e^{-\frac{(x-\xi)^2}{4a^2(t-\tau)}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

The solution for heat transfer process \( \varphi(x, t) \) is obtained in the elementary volume PAQB, assuming that in the inward contour of the control volume the solution of the heat transfer problem is given by \( \varphi(x, t + h) \), where \( h > 0 \). Substituting \( x - h = \xi \) and \( t = \tau + h \), then Eq. (13) is transformed to:

$$\psi = G_0(x,t) = \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi a^2 h^2}} e^{-\frac{(2x-h)^2}{4a^2 h}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)
Applying the limit when \( h \to 0 \) in Eq. (14), considering that is a continuous function. The obtained result is substituted into Eq. (12), then, Eq. (15) is generated.

Eq. (15) is very complex, and its solution by traditional integration methods would require considerable time and greater rigor. However, this difficulty can be overcome if numerical solution techniques are used, among them the benefits provided by the finite element method (FEM). For this purpose, we start from the criterion that by means of FEM techniques, it is possible to obtain a weak solution of the problem studied. For this purpose, the minimum energy principle is applied on the control volume \( PABQ \), which is equivalent to finding a minimum of the integral complex shown in Eq. (15). For this purpose the following substitutions are required:

\[
\bar{\omega} \varphi(x, t) \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}a^2h^2} e^{\frac{(2x-h)^2}{4a^2h}} = \bar{\omega}
\] (16)

or:

\[
\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}a^2h^2} e^{\frac{(2x-h)^2}{4a^2h}} \frac{\partial \varphi(x, t)}{\partial x} - \varphi(x, t) \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}a^2h^2} e^{\frac{(2x-h)^2}{4a^2h}} = \omega
\] (17)

That proves to be equivalent:

\[
\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x} - \varphi(x, t) \frac{\partial \bar{\omega}}{\partial x} = \omega
\] (18)

Substituting Eq. (18) and Eq. (16), into Eq. (15):

\[
\int_{PB} \bar{\omega} dx - \int_{AQ} \bar{\omega} dx + \int_{BQ} \left[ \bar{\omega} dx + a^2 \left( \frac{\partial \varphi(x, t)}{\partial x} \right) \right] dt - \int_{AP} \left[ \bar{\omega} dx + a^2 \left( \frac{\partial \varphi(x, t)}{\partial x} \right) - \varphi(x, t) \frac{\partial \bar{\omega}}{\partial x} dt \right] = 0
\] (19)

With the application of FEM techniques, Eq. (19) can be transformed into a local domain, which in turn would be integrated of two linear elements composed of three nodes each (one-dimensional quadratic element). If second order parabolic arcs are used instead of linear segments in the free meshing adjustment, it is possible to obtain a more cautious approximation for the temperature distribution profile. The one-dimensional element used (see Figure 2), has a node at each end and a third node located in the center of the element [9, 10].

**Figure 2.** One-dimensional element (quadratic)

For these elements, the form functions are given by:

\[
N_1 = -\frac{1}{2}(1 - \xi); \quad N_2 = (1 + \xi)(1 + \xi); \quad N_3 = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \xi)
\] (20)

The nodal solutions \( N_1, N_2 \) and \( N_3 \) combined in the form of a product generate a weak function, which allows describing the temperature field and its distribution \( dx \) by conduction along the axial dimension \( dx \). Applying the substitution \( x = \xi \) and assuming the extreme limit when \( h = 0 \), then, this transformation allows us to reach the term a \( x = \xi \). Therefore, three quadratic elements are possible, which is equivalent to having three work zones [11]:

\[
N_1 = -\frac{1}{2}x^2 + x^2; \quad N_2 = 1 + 2x + x^2; \quad N_3 = \frac{1}{2}x^2 + x^2
\] (21)

If the variable dependence with time is unknown, then it is required to use the simplified energy equation, Eq. (3), and substitute it in the parabolic function present in the nodal solution. This technique is necessary for each integral element of the simplified Eq. (19), then:

**Segment PB**

Entry

\[
\left( -\frac{1}{2}x^2 + x^2 \right) V_x = a \left( -\frac{1}{2}x^2 + x^2 \right)^2 + 3\mu \frac{\partial V_x}{\partial x}^2
\] (22)
Intermediate

\[(1 - 2x + x^2)V_x = a(1 - 2x + x^2)^2 + 3\mu \left( \frac{\partial V_x}{\partial x} \right)^2 \]  
(23)

Exit

\[\left( \frac{1}{2}x + x^2 \right)V_x = a \left( \frac{1}{2}x + x^2 \right)^2 + 3\mu \left( \frac{\partial V_x}{\partial x} \right)^2 \]  
(24)

Segment AQ

Entry

\[-\left( -\frac{1}{2}x + x^2 \right)V_x = -a \left( -\frac{1}{2}x + x^2 \right)^2 + 3\mu \left( \frac{\partial V_x}{\partial x} \right)^2 \]  
(25)

Intermediate

\[-(1 + 2x + x^2)V_x = -a(1 + 2x + x^2)^2 - 3\mu \left( \frac{\partial V_x}{\partial x} \right)^2 \]  
(26)

Exit

\[-\left( \frac{1}{2}x + x^2 \right)V_x = -a \left( \frac{1}{2}x + x^2 \right)^2 - 3\mu \left( \frac{\partial V_x}{\partial x} \right)^2 \]  
(27)

When reviewing the control volume, it is verified that the sides BQ and AP do not include vertical components, however, if they consider the infinite source function, Eq. (14), for which it is required that the variation of physical properties along the nodal segment are subordinate to the continuity equation, Eq. (9). The density changes as the length of the tube increases, due to the phase change and the compressibility of the vapor. If the process is considered as stationary, then \( \partial \rho / \partial t = 0 \), therefore, Eq. (9) is transformed to [12]:

\[\frac{\partial (\rho V_x)}{\partial x} = 0 \]  
(28)

If vapor density at the inlet is called \( \rho_V \), and liquid density at the outlet is called \( \rho_L \). Then, when checking the viscous stress diagram (see Figure 1), two fundamental forces are distinguished, the first associated with the drag of the vapor and the second is linked to the gravitational force, while in the opposite direction the effect of viscous forces (friction) appears. As the entire heat transfer process happens in a continuous, confined and oriented medium, then the velocity variation depends on a characteristic dimension of the duct, so it can be established that the differential Eq. (27) can be transformed to generate the relationship that allows evaluating the velocity profile (differential equation of the velocity profile), and that is also valid for any section of the conduit examined, this is:

\[\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} + \left( \frac{\rho_L + \rho_V}{\mu_L} \right) g \frac{x}{2} = 0 \]  
(29)

In Eq. (29), \( V(x) \) is the velocity through the film, for any value of \( x \). To solve this problem, two boundary conditions are required. On the wall the condition of non-slip fluid is applied, therefore:

\[x = 0 \text{ and } V = 0 \]  
(30)

On the film surface, the vapor drag is assumed can be despised. If the function \( \delta(x) \) is considered to be the thickness of the film, the required boundary condition will then be as follows:

\[x = \delta; \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} = 0 \]  
(31)

The condensate film thickness \( \delta(x) \) has not been determined. Considering that the vapor drag is negligible can be a valid assumption on many occasions; however, it is applicable only when the vapor velocity is not very high. By integrating the differential Eq. (29), the following is obtained [13, 14]:

\[\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} = -\left( \frac{\rho_L - \rho_V}{\mu_L} \right) g \frac{\sin \theta}{\delta} + C_1 \]  
(32)

Applying in Eq. (32) the condition of contour given in Eq. (31), then:

\[0 = -\delta \left( \frac{\rho_L - \rho_V}{\mu_L} \right) g \frac{\sin \theta}{\delta} + C_1 \]  
(33)

Solving the integration constant \( C_1 \) in Eq. (33):

\[C_1 = \delta \left( \frac{\rho_L - \rho_V}{\mu_L} \right) g \frac{\sin \theta}{\delta} \]  
(34)

Replacing Eq. (34) and the contour condition given in Eq. (31) into Eq. (32)

\[\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} = \left( \frac{\rho_L - \rho_V}{\mu_L} \right) g \frac{\sin \theta}{\delta} (\delta - x) \]  
(35)

Integrating again the differential Eq. (33) gives:

\[V = \left( \frac{\rho_L - \rho_V}{\mu_L} \right) g \frac{\sin \theta}{\delta} \left( \frac{x}{2} - \frac{x^2}{2} \right) + C_2 \]  
(36)

Using the boundary condition stated in Eq. (31) and substituting it into Eq. (36) leads to \( C_2 = 0 \). Reordering Eq. (36) later:

\[V = \frac{\rho_L - \rho_V}{\mu_L} g \frac{\sin \theta}{\delta} \left( \frac{x}{2} - \frac{x^2}{4} \right) \]  
(37)

Eq. (37) shows that the velocity profile \( V(x) \) is parabolic. The velocity will have a maximum value on the surface of the film when \( x = \delta \). Therefore, the maximum velocity can be obtained, if the boundary condition given in Eq. (31) is substituted in Eq. (37), then [15]:

\[V_{\text{Max}} = \frac{\rho_L - \rho_V}{2 \mu_L} g \frac{\sin \theta}{\delta} \left( \frac{x}{2} - \frac{x^2}{4} \right) \]  
(38)

Replacing Eq. (35) in Eq. (28):

\[\frac{\rho_L - \rho_V}{\mu_L} g \frac{\sin \theta}{\delta} (\delta - x) = 0 \]  
(39)
Therefore, the arbitrary function $\varphi(x,t)$ that was assumed to establish the solution of the thermal exchange problem, takes into account the variation of the velocity profile along the characteristic length of the conduit examined.

If additionally, it is considered that the arbitrary function $\varphi(x,t)$ is similar in its numerical and functional value to Eq. (39), then, in the third and fourth terms of Eq. (19) the arbitrary function $\varphi(x,t)$ can be replaced by Eq. (37). Integrating:

$$\bar{v}_x + \left\{ \frac{a^2 t \bar{\omega} (\rho_L - \rho_V) g \sin \theta (\delta^2 - x)}{\mu_L} \right\} + \frac{\mu_L \bar{\omega} (\rho_L - \rho_V) g \sin \theta (\delta^2 - x)}{\mu_L} \frac{\partial \bar{\omega}}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\omega}_x + \frac{a^2 t \bar{\omega} (\rho_L - \rho_V) g \sin \theta (\delta^2 - x)}{\mu_L} = 0$$

(40)

When the velocity is a maximum, then the equality $x = \delta$ is fulfilled. Applying this equality in Eq. (38):

$$\bar{v}_x + \frac{a^2 t \bar{\omega} (\rho_L - \rho_V) g \sin \theta (\delta^2 - x)}{\mu_L} = 0$$

(41)

Simplifying Eq. (41)

$$\bar{v}_x^2 - \bar{v}_x = 0$$

(42)

Eq. (42) turns out to be identical to the term that describes the input discretization in the nodal distribution, therefore, the remaining nodal combinations (two) are applicable to the two finite elements that cover the horizontal segments, and the node effect initial in the third and fourth terms of Eq. (19) can be neglected. For this reason, it can be established that these initial nodes are stationary or origin points, therefore:

**Segment BQ**

**Entry**

$$V_x = ax + 3 \mu x \left( \frac{\partial V_x}{\partial x} \right)^2$$

(43)

**Intermediate**

$$(1 + 2x + x^2)V_x = ax(1 + 2x + x^2)^2 + 3 \mu x \left( \frac{\partial V_x}{\partial x} \right)^2$$

(44)

**Exit**

$$\left( \frac{1}{2} x + x^2 \right) V_x = ax \left( \frac{1}{2} x + x^2 \right)^2 + 3 \mu x \left( \frac{\partial V_x}{\partial x} \right)^2$$

(45)

**Segment AP**

**Entry**

$$-V_x = -ax - 3 \mu \left( \frac{\partial V_x}{\partial x} \right)^2$$

(46)

**Intermediate**

$$-(1 + 2x + x^2)V_x = -ax(1 + 2x + x^2)^2 + 3 \mu x \left( \frac{\partial V_x}{\partial x} \right)^2$$

(47)

**Exit**

$$-\left( \frac{1}{2} x + x^2 \right) V_x = -ax \left( \frac{1}{2} x + x^2 \right)^2 - 3 \mu x \left( \frac{\partial V_x}{\partial x} \right)^2$$

(48)

Substituting Eq. (43) into Eqns. (35) and (37) gives:

$$\delta^2 \left( \rho_L - \rho_V \right) g \sin \theta \left( \frac{x}{\delta} - 1 \right) x^2 = ax +$$

$$+3 \mu x \frac{\left( \rho_L - \rho_V \right) g \sin \theta}{\mu_L} \left( \delta - x \right)^2$$

(49)

$$x \delta \left( \rho_L - \rho_V \right) g \sin \theta - \frac{x^2 \left( \rho_L - \rho_V \right) g \sin \theta}{2 \mu_L} \frac{\mu_L}{\mu_L} = ax + 3 \mu x \frac{\left( \rho_L - \rho_V \right) g \sin \theta}{\mu_L} \left( \delta - x \right)^2$$

(50)

Grouping and reducing similar terms in Eq. (50):

$$(\delta - x) = a + \left( \frac{3 \mu x^2 + \delta^2}{x - 2 \delta} \right) \frac{\left( \rho_L - \rho_V \right) g \sin \theta}{\mu_L}$$

(51)

As $x$ is the characteristic dimension, then, $x = r = d/2$. Substituting this term into Eq. (51):

$$\left( \frac{\delta - d}{2} \right) = a + \left[ \frac{3 \mu d^2}{2} + \frac{\delta^2}{d - 2d} \right] \frac{\left( \rho_L - \rho_V \right) g \sin \theta}{\mu_L}$$

(52)

Solving for the diameter in Eq. (52)

$$d = 2 \delta - 2a - \left[ 1.5 \mu d^2 + \frac{4 \delta^2}{d} - 4d \right] \frac{\left( \rho_L - \rho_V \right) g \sin \theta}{\mu_L}$$

(53)

Eq. (53) is a transcendental type Equation, and allows obtaining the required duct diameter for a pre-established flow of condensate through a horizontal tube, in order to avoid fluid stagnation. In a horizontal tube $\theta = 0^\circ$; therefore, sin $\theta = 0$ and the Eq. (53) can be simplified, obtaining:

$$d = 2 \delta - 2a$$

(54)

or:

$$x = 2(\delta - a)$$

(55)
If \( V_{\chi} = V \) Max is considered, Eq. (38) now becomes [16]:

\[
V_{\chi} = \frac{gd^2 \sin \theta (\rho_L - \rho_v)}{2\nu L} \rho_L
\]  

(56)

The velocity gradient is described by Eq. (35), in which the velocity profile was assumed for the analysis as parabolic. If additionally, it is considered that the maximum velocity is obtained in the intermediate node, then Eqns. (55), (56) and (57) are substituted in the intermediate segment \( BQ \) (Eq. (44), obtaining Eq. (57):

**Segment BQ (Intermediate)**

\[
\begin{align*}
& (1 + 4\delta - 4a + 4\delta^2 - 8a\delta + 4a^2)g\theta^2 \sin \theta (\rho_L - \rho_v) / 2\nu L \rho_L \\
& = (2a\delta - 2a^2) \cdot (1 + 4\delta - 4a + 4\delta^2 - 8a\delta + 4a^2)^2 \\
& + (6\mu b - 6\mu a) \left[ (\rho_L - \rho_v) g \sin \theta / \mu L \right] (2a - \delta)^2
\end{align*}
\]

(57)

Reducing similar terms in Eq. (57):

\[
\begin{align*}
& (\rho_L - \rho_v) g \theta^2 \sin \theta / 2\mu L \\
& = (1 + 4\delta - 4a + 4\delta^2 - 8a\delta + 4a^2)^2 \\
& - (6\mu b - 6\mu a) \left[ (\rho_L - \rho_v) g \sin \theta / \mu L \right] (2a - \delta)^2
\end{align*}
\]

(58)

Eq. (58) is a weak solution for the nodal discretization developed to establish the nodal distribution of the condensate at the midpoint of the horizontal control volume. When the velocity is lower, it is satisfied that \( \delta \approx 0 \), therefore, Eq. (58) becomes:

\[
\frac{(6\mu a) \left[ 2a(\rho_L - \rho_v) g \sin \theta \right]^2}{(1 - 4a + 4a^2)} = 2a^2
\]

(59)

From Eq. (55):

\[
a = \frac{x}{2} - \delta = \frac{d}{4}; \quad \Rightarrow \quad x = \frac{d}{2}; \quad \delta = 0
\]

(60)

Substituting Eq. (60) into Eq. (59) and simplifying:

\[
\frac{d(\rho_L - \rho_v) g \sin \theta \theta^2}{\sqrt{6\mu (\mu_L d^2 - \mu_L d)^2}} = 0
\]

(61)

The basic problem is studied for vertical installations, therefore, for horizontal tubes \( \theta = 90^\circ \), then \( \sin \theta = 1 \). Transforming conveniently in Eq. (61) and applying radicals’ properties, then:

\[
\frac{\sqrt{gd(\rho_L - \rho_v)}}{6\mu} = 0
\]

(62)

where, \( 6\mu \) is the viscous dissipation function [17]. The viscous dissipation term is the quotient between the density of the vapor and the mass flow:

\[
6\mu = p / \rho_v^{0.5}
\]

(63)

The effect generated by variation of the thermodynamic vapor quality \( \chi \), is included in the viscous dissipation criteria [9-11], then Eq. (61) is transformed to:

\[
6\mu = G / \rho_v^{0.5}
\]

(64)

Replacing Eq. (64) into Eq. (62):

\[
\frac{\sqrt{gd(\rho_L - \rho_v)}}{xG} = 0
\]

(65)

The dimensionless parameter shown in Eq. (65) was generated with the use of weak formulations, applying FEM techniques. The dimensional parameter given by Eq. (65) is known as dimensionless velocity \( (1 / \sqrt{\rho g}) \) and is an essential and required element for the description and prediction of film condensation inside tubes [18-20].

\[
I_\theta = \frac{xG}{\sqrt{gd(\rho_L - \rho_v)}}
\]

(66)

For vertical and inclined tubes, the application of weak solutions leads to a result identical to that obtained in Eq. (66) [21-23]. A criterion currently accepted is the use of the Shah parameter to define the prevailing condensation regime [8], establishing that three types of thermal regimes are possible inside vertical and inclined tubes. The parameter given by Shah is described by means of the following expression:

\[
Z = \frac{1 - \chi}{\chi} p_{T_L}^{0.8}
\]

(67)

Condensation inside tubes is governed and directly conditioned by two dimensionless parameters, Eqns. (66) and (67) [24-26]. The finite element evaluated, for the case of vertical and inclined geometric configuration, is made up of three nodes; therefore, it is required to identify the three regions generated for this purpose. However, for horizontal configurations, the intermediate node is suppressed, causing only two regions to be required. To define the validity range of each zone for the case of inclined and vertical tubes, (see Figure 1) it is assumed that the flow enters the elementary section through segment \( PB \) and leaves this section through segment \( QA \).

To define the validity range of zone 1, two input nodes in segments \( PB \) and \( AQ \) must be taken into account. For the first, Eqns. (35), (38) and (55) are substituted in Eq. (22), while for the second we proceed in the same way with Eqns. (35), (38) and (55), those that are substituted in Eq. (25). The two new expressions obtained are added and subsequently the value of this sum is equated with Eq. (64), solving this equality as a function of dimensionless velocity.

To define the validity range of zone 2, two intermediate nodes in the \( PB \) and \( AQ \) segments must be taken into account. For the first, Eqns. (35), (38) and (55) are substituted in Eq. (23), while for the second one proceeds in the same way with Eqns. (35), (38) and (55), those that are substituted in Eq. (26). The two new expressions obtained are added and subsequently the value of this sum is equated with Eq. (64), solving this equality as a function of dimensionless velocity.
(24), while for the second one proceeds in the same way with Eqns. (35), (38) and (55), those that are substituted in Eq. (27). The two new expressions obtained are added and subsequently the value of this sum is equated with Eq. (64), solving this equality as a function of dimensionless velocity.

The mathematical transformations required in the three previous paragraphs turn out to be extremely voluminous and complex, therefore, in the paper only the final results of the mathematical operations performed will be given [27-29]:

For vertical and inclined tubes

Zone 1

\[ I_g \geq \frac{1}{2.37Z + 0.728} \]  \hspace{1cm} (68)

Zone 2

\[ 0.927e^{(-0.0068Z^{-1.165})} < I_g < \frac{1}{2.37Z + 0.728} \]  \hspace{1cm} (69)

Zone 3

\[ I_g \leq 0.927e^{(-0.0068Z^{-1.165})} \]  \hspace{1cm} (70)

To define the validity range of each zone, in the case of horizontal tubes (see Figure 1), it is assumed that the flow enters the control volume through the BQ segment and leaves it through the AP segment.

To establish the validity range of Zone 1, two input nodes are defined in the BQ and AP segments. For the first, Eqns. (35), (38) and (55) are replaced in Eq. (43), while for the second, Eqns. (36), (39) and (56) are replaced in Eq. (46). The two new relations obtained are added later and the result of the sum is equated with Eq. (64), solving this equality as a function of dimensionless velocity.

To establish the validity range of zone 2, two input nodes are defined in the BQ and AP segments. For the first, Eqns. (35), (38) and (55) are replaced in Eq. (45), while for the second; Eqns. (36), (39) and (56) are replaced in Eq. (48). The two new relations obtained are added later and the result of the sum is equated with Eq. (64), solving this equality as a function of dimensionless velocity.

The mathematical transformations required in the two previous paragraphs turn out to be extremely voluminous and complex, therefore, in the paper only the final results of the mathematical operations performed will be given [30-32]:

For horizontal pipes

Zone 1

\[ I_g \leq 0.979(Z + 0.262)^{-0.618} \]  \hspace{1cm} (71)

3. EXPERIMENTAL VALIDATION OF THE PROPOSED MODEL

3.1 Proposal model for heat transfer evaluation during film condensation inside tubes

Validation and adjustment of the available experimental data allowed a new correlation, given by Camaraza [18]:

\[ Nu_T = Nu_L \left\{ 4.9x^{0.9} \left[ (1 - x)^2 + (1 - x)P_{1.4} \right] \right\}^{0.8} \]  \hspace{1cm} (73)

where, \( Nu_L \) is given by the following Eq. [19]:

\[ Nu_L = \frac{(Re_L - 10^6)Pr_L^{0.1}}{85.44B^2 - 104B(1 - Pr_L^{0.75})} \]  \hspace{1cm} (74)

while, for vertical tubes \( Nu_{vert} \) is obtained as Medina et al. [20], Borishanskiy et al. [21]:

\[ Nu_{vert} = 0.943 \left\{ \frac{\varphi (\rho_s - \rho_f)h_g}{v_Lk_L(T_{sat} - T_f)} \right\}^{1/4} \]  \hspace{1cm} (76)

Eqs. (73) and (76) must be combined according to the zone, by means of the following procedure

For zone 1

\[ Nu = Nu_T \]  \hspace{1cm} (77)

For zone 2

\[ Nu = \sqrt{(Nu_T)^2 + (Nu_{vert})^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (78)

For zone 3

\[ Nu = Nu_{vert} \]  \hspace{1cm} (79)

Table 1. Validity range of the new model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fluids</strong></td>
<td>Benzene, Ethanol, Ethylene glycol, Isobutene, Methanol, Propane, Propylene, Toluene R-113, R-123, R-125, R-134a, R-142b, R-22, R32, R-404a, R-410a, R-502, R-507 and Water.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_g )</td>
<td>0.6 to 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube inner diameter (mm)</td>
<td>2 to 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Z )</td>
<td>0.005 to 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube orientation</td>
<td>horizontal, vertical and inclined with downwards flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Pr_f )</td>
<td>1 to 20 \times 10^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x ) (steam quality)</td>
<td>0.01 to 0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced pressure, ( p_R )</td>
<td>0.0005 to 0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Re_L )</td>
<td>900 to 594390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Re_f )</td>
<td>65 to 84950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( G ) (kg/m²s)</td>
<td>4 to 850</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In horizontal tubes Eq. (78) is valid only for \(Re \geq 3.5 \cdot 10^4\); for \(Re < 3.5 \cdot 10^4\) delete the term \(N_a_{vert}\). Table 1 summarizes the range for which the model developed in this investigation provides an adequate fit.

### 3.2 Comparison of the proposed model with experimental data and its applications

Tables 2 and 3 summarize the correlation adjustment made between the developed model and the available experimental data \([2-6, 8, 10-13, 16, 17, 22-25, 33-39]\), which include data reported by 33 sources, covering 22 fluids, including refrigerants, various organic substances, and water. The flow rates considered range from 4 to 850 kg/m/s, with pipe diameters of 2 to 50 mm, and reduced pressure \(p_r = P/P_c\) from 0.0008 to 0.91.

In the development and adjustment of this research, it was found that the experimental data showed a better behavior in the validation of the model when phase viscosity and reduced pressure were interrelated. For this reason, a correction factor is developed that includes this adjustment, which is incorporated into Eq. (73), where its constants were selected based on a statistical adjustment of trial and error in the correlation indices, using the method of Brezhnetzov.

#### Table 2. Comparison of Eq. (73) and experimental data for vertical and inclined tubes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Source</th>
<th>Data Number</th>
<th>Fluid</th>
<th>Diameter (mm)</th>
<th>(G) (kg/m/s)</th>
<th>(x)</th>
<th>(Re_L)</th>
<th>(Re_V)</th>
<th>(p_r)</th>
<th>Deviation Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carpenter and Colburn [9]</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>15200</td>
<td>0.0046</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>15485</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>41450</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>22.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>6420</td>
<td>154590</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>-21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gooykoontz and Dorsch [10]</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2630</td>
<td>45600</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>-13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>3720</td>
<td>78600</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gooykoontz and Dorsch [11]</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>R-113</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>2910</td>
<td>11000</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>R-113</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>50800</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cavallini et al. [16]</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>4100</td>
<td>6200</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>-13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borishanky et al. [21]</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>58950</td>
<td>332250</td>
<td>0.308</td>
<td>-11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al. [22]</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>27120</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>9100</td>
<td>0.0046</td>
<td>22.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blageti and Slunder [23]</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Dowtherm</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>7980</td>
<td>252428</td>
<td>-0.0046</td>
<td>-10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ananiev et al. [24]</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1025</td>
<td>21070</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
<td>25.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>5810</td>
<td>50940</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>-10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tepe and Mueller [25]</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3050</td>
<td>103850</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>-8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>88</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>35000</td>
<td>175690</td>
<td>-19.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yan and Lin [26]</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>R-134a</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1010</td>
<td>15800</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akers et al. [27]</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Propane</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>3800</td>
<td>16530</td>
<td>-2.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemmon et al. [28]</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>6860</td>
<td>159500</td>
<td>0.0046</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tang [29]</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>8210</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mollamahmutoglu [30]</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>2550</td>
<td>12880</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For all sources above</td>
<td>760</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>58950</td>
<td>332250</td>
<td>0.0657</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 3. Comparison between Eq. (75) and experimental data for horizontal tubes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Source</th>
<th>Data Number</th>
<th>Fluid</th>
<th>Diameter (mm)</th>
<th>G (kg/m²s)</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>Reₜ</th>
<th>Reᵣ</th>
<th>pᵣ</th>
<th>Deviation Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boyko and Kruzilin [2]</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1025</td>
<td>21100</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpenter and Colburn [9]</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>4324</td>
<td>89100</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>-19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gooykoontz and Dorsch [11]</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>1320</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tandon et al. [14]</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1808</td>
<td>54400</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>-10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>56</td>
<td>R134a</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>11560</td>
<td>181800</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tang [29]</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>R-410a</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>36500</td>
<td>594390</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>-9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mollamahmutoglu [30]</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>R-22</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>84920</td>
<td>473970</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>47</td>
<td>R-134a</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>11520</td>
<td>165740</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cavallini et al. [31]</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>R-125</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>33910</td>
<td>485970</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>-10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>R-32</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>12550</td>
<td>193600</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
<td>R-22</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>634</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>38490</td>
<td>569490</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>-13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>47</td>
<td>R-134a</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>30370</td>
<td>476930</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>-11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>R-410a</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>46980</td>
<td>375420</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>R-32</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>7306</td>
<td>42780</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
<td>R-22</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>3903</td>
<td>55842</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>R-32</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>25290</td>
<td>166280</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>-7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>R-125</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>7310</td>
<td>42030</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oh and Son [32]</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>R-123</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>21980</td>
<td>129120</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>-15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>R142b</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>4010</td>
<td>72150</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wojtan et al. [33]</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>R-404a</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>28400</td>
<td>96120</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Propylene</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>84950</td>
<td>276420</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>-9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Isobutane</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>10780</td>
<td>89950</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td>23.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rifert et al. [34]</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Propane</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>32420</td>
<td>270420</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>R-22</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>10640</td>
<td>93700</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>R-507</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>31930</td>
<td>281400</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>-16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>47</td>
<td>R-502</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>19810</td>
<td>417400</td>
<td>0.308</td>
<td>-14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camaraza-Medina et al. [39]</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>R-134a</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>47980</td>
<td>372000</td>
<td>0.505</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>11500</td>
<td>13020</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>79450</td>
<td>413900</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>-14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1819</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>-21.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Figure 3, the correlation between proposal model and available experimental data for horizontal tubes is shown, while, in Figure 4 a similar correlation is performed, but in this case the experimental values are correlated with the available experimental data for vertical and inclined tubes. In Figure 5 and 6 calculated (with Eqns. (77) to (79)) and experimental Nusselt are compared, the first corresponding to the vertical and inclined tubes data, while, the second is summarize horizontal tubes. In Figures 3 to 6 a 20% error bands were used.

In the models compared, only the one reported by Shah is applicable to vertical and inclined tubes, due to this situation no comparisons were made for the case of vertical and inclined tubes. However, in the study carried out, it was detected that it presents a mean deviation of 15.7%, which agrees perfectly with the original reports of the method [8], in which a mean error of 15.8% is attributed.

Table 4 summarized the mean absolute error obtained in the correlation of selected models with available experimental data [40–42].
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Figure 3. Correlation of the proposal model with data for horizontal tubes

Figure 4. Correlation of the proposal model with data for vertical and inclined tubes

Figure 5. Correlation of the proposal model with data for inclined and vertical tubes
The analysis process consists of two basic dimensionless groups being determined as guiding principles of the heat transfer process. The analysis process allows to obtain a new improved model to evaluate the heat transfer inside tubes during film condensation. This new model is valid for horizontal, vertical end inclined tubes.

The proposed model was correlated with available experimental data and it was also verified with other relationships existing in the literature, and that have been shown to provide by other authors, detecting a better fit in the proposed model, with a mean deviation of 13.4% for horizontal tubes and 14.9% for vertical and inclined tubes.

It has been shown that the model obtained provides favorable results for flow values from 3 to 850 kg/m$^2$s, pipe diameters from 2 to 50 mm and in the range of reduced pressures from 0.0008 to 0.91. Available data from 22 fluids were used for this purpose, including various organic compounds, water and refrigerants. In the compilation of these data, the works of a total of 33 authors of recognized prestige in the research area were consulted.
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NOMENCLATURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>Thermal diffusivity, m²·s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Konakov friction factor, used in Eq. (75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>Constant (0.023), used in Eq. (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cₚ</td>
<td>Specific heat, J·kg⁻¹·K⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₁</td>
<td>Integration constant, defined in Eq. (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂</td>
<td>Integration constant, defined in Eq. (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>Equivalent inner tube diameter, m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Constant, defined in Eq. (75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Mass flux, kg·m⁻²·s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>Gravitational acceleration, m·s⁻²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hₚ</td>
<td>Latent heat of vaporization, J·kg⁻¹·K⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hₚ₀</td>
<td>Rohsenow factor hₚ₀ = hₚ₉ + 0.375 · Cₚ(₁·(T_sat - T_r))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>Single-phase heat transfer coefficient, W·m⁻²·K⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h₉</td>
<td>Two-phase heat transfer coefficient, W·m⁻²·K⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hₚ₉</td>
<td>Single-phase heat transfer coefficient, W·m⁻²·K⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jₙ</td>
<td>Jakob number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jₚ</td>
<td>Dimensionless velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>Fluid thermal conductivity, W·m⁻¹·K⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kₙ</td>
<td>Fluid thermal conductivity for single-phase, W·m⁻¹·K⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lₜ</td>
<td>Total length of pipe in which condensation occurred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Numbers of experimental points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nᵤ</td>
<td>Nusselt number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nᵤₑₚ</td>
<td>Nusselt number for experimental data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nᵤₛₐₚ</td>
<td>Nusselt number for single-phase used in Eq. (70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Fluid pressure kg·m⁻¹·s⁻²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pcₚ</td>
<td>Critical pressure kg·m⁻¹·s⁻²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prₘₗ</td>
<td>Prandtl number for single-phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prₚ</td>
<td>Reduced pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re</td>
<td>Reynolds number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reₑₚ</td>
<td>Equivalent Reynolds number for two-phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reₘₗ</td>
<td>Liquid Reynolds number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reᵥ</td>
<td>Vapor Reynolds number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Mean fluid temperature, °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔT</td>
<td>Temperature difference across the condensate film</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tₘₗ</td>
<td>Saturation temperature, °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tₛₜ</td>
<td>Wall temperature, °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Velocity profile, m·s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vₘₗ</td>
<td>Maximum velocity, m·s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vₛₜ</td>
<td>Velocity component in x axis, m·s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V₇ₕ</td>
<td>Velocity component in y axis, m·s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V₇ₙ</td>
<td>Velocity component in z axis, m·s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xₘₗ</td>
<td>Dimensionless Martinelli parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td>Axial distance from the point where condensation started</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Coefficient used in Eq. (72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>Dimensionless Shah parameter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Greek symbols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>β</td>
<td>Thermal expansion coefficient, K⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ</td>
<td>Dynamic viscosity, kg·m⁻¹·s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ</td>
<td>Tubes inclination respect to horizontal line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ρ</td>
<td>Density, kg·m⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ζ</td>
<td>Number of intervals in function form, Eq. (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ν</td>
<td>Liquid kinematic viscosity, m²·s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ</td>
<td>Film thickness of boundary layer, m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Φ</td>
<td>Schlüchting function of viscous dissipation (Eq. (4))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>φ</td>
<td>Solution of heat transfer problem, (Eq. (12))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ψ</td>
<td>Source function, (Eq. (13))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τ</td>
<td>Temperature in Green’s functional, (Eq. (13))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ω</td>
<td>Substituting term employed in Eq. (16)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subscripts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Liquid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Superscript

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>Dittus-Boelter constant for Reₘ in Eq. (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>Dittus-Boelter constant for Prₘ in Eq. (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>