Investigation on the Optimal Angle of a Flow-Field Design Based on the Leaf-Vein Structure for PEMFC
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ABSTRACT

As a critical component, the bipolar plate appreciably affects the performance of proton exchange membrane fuel cell (PEMFC). The flow field structure on the bipolar plate is significant in transporting the internal reaction gas and excess water. In the nature, the leaf veins provide efficient branch networks to the plant, contributing to distribute the nutrients in the whole system. By bionic means, a similar branching structure can be used to design flow channels on the bipolar plates for PEMFC, which helps distribute the reactant gas evenly and manage the water better. In this paper, a three-dimensional isothermal single phase model of the bio-inspired flow channel design based on the leaf vein pattern was presented. The effect of the angle between the main channel and sub-channels on the performance of PEMFC was studied at various cases. A PEMFC of 10.24cm² activation area was assembled and examined experimentally. The results showed that increasing the angle appropriately was beneficial to improving the uniform oxygen distribution and excess water removal. After comprehensively analyzing the electrochemical performance, species distribution, and power loss of PEMFC, the optimal angle was presented.
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1. INTRODUCTION

With the growing concern about environmental pollution and energy crisis worldwide, alternative clean energy technologies have been in urgent demand [1]. As one of the renewable energy technologies, hydrogen energy has drawn more and more attention. Among all types of hydrogen energy utilization, fuel cells are firstly introduced into industrial applications. PEMFC has merits such as green emissions, high efficiency, low-temperature operation, low noise, and low vibration. As one of the most essential parts of PEMFC, the flow-field design on the bipolar plates directly affects the distribution and transport of reaction gas, and the excess water emission [2]. Therefore, the rational flow channel structure is of great significance of the PEMFC performance improvement. It can be observed from the literature that many researchers pay more attention to the conventional flow-field design [3-6]. Limited work has been available on the bio-inspired flow field in the bipolar plates.

In the nature, the nutrients distribution in the biological structures has an optimum arrangement. The flow-field design based on the biomimetic structure can significantly improve the PEMFC performance. Kloess et al. proposed the blade and lung structure of the flow field. It was found that the blade and lung structure enables the pressure drop between the inlet and outlet of the flow channels lower than the existing interdigitated and serpentine structure [7]. Iranzo et al. reviewed the application of natural or biological structures (such as fractal, leaf, or lung) on the flow field's design [8]. Ouellette et al. studied the effect of bio-inspired interdigitated and non-interdigitated flow fields on the fuel cell performance. The three dimensional numerical models of these two flow fields and the conventional serpentine flow field were developed and examined under different reactant flow rate combinations [9]. Liu et al. used the simulation and visualization experiments to study the difference of the transport process of liquid water with symmetric and asymmetric bionic flow field under gravity. The I-V curves showed the asymmetric bionic flow fields enable to provide the best PEMFC performance in the vertical direction [10].

At present, researches on the bionic flow field design of the leaf-vein structure are mainly divided into two categories: one is the study on the overall structure of the flow channels, the other is the study on the geometric parameters related to the flow channels. Qiao found that the vein density, the number of veins grading, and the distance between each vein were critical in the mass transfer and heat dissipation. Similarly, the geometric parameters of the flow field have an essential influence on the performance of PEMFC. After comparing different branch numbers of the flow field [11], Rosshandel et al. was the first researcher to design the flow-field structure by combining the leaf vein with the parallel flow channels. He found that based on this flow field, PEMFC power density was 55.82% higher than that with parallel flow channels and 27.13% higher than that with single serpentine flow channels [12]. Lorenzini-Gutierrez et al. proposed that the increase in the number of the flow field branches enables the improvement in the flow distribution uniformity and the reduction in the pressure drop between the inlet and outlet of flow channels [13]. Kang et al. conducted experiments comparing the performance of the leaf flow channels based on the ginkgo and dicotyledonous leaf structures, the parallel-style flow channels, and the serpentine-style flow channels [14]. It was found that the leaf flow channels’ maximum power density was 6.4% lower than that of the serpentine flow channels, and 37.5% higher than that of parallel flow channels under normal operating conditions. Badduri et al. [15] examined the effect of the leaf flow field design on the
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PEMFC performance experimentally under various operating conditions. It was found that at the back pressure of 3 bar, 75°C operating temperature and 100% relative humidity, the PEMFC performance is the best.

The existing literature and patents rarely present the influence of the angle between the main channel and the sub-channels on the performance of PEMFC. A three-dimensional computational model of a biomimetic fractal-branched flow field was designed based on leaf-vein structure. For optimization purposes, numerical analyses on the bio-inspired flow-fields with different angles between the main channel and sub-channels were carried out. This work aims to present the optimal angle, which is of great importance for improving fuel cell performance.

2. MATHEMATICAL MODELING

It can be seen from Figure 1 that the leaf vein structure is mainly composed of two parts: the main channel and numerous sub-channels. The main channel connects the flow field inlet with the outlet. The sub-channels are used to transport the reactant gas to areas far away from the main channel. There exists an angle(θ) between the main channel and sub-channels. As shown in Table 1, to study the influence of the angle between the main channel and sub-channels on the performance of PEMFC, ten research cases are conducted.

![Figure 1. The leaf-vein structure [16]](image)

![Figure 2. The schematic diagram of the designed flow field based on the leaf-vein structure](image)

![Figure 3. Schematic illustration of the computational domain for PEMFC](image)

**Table 1. The angle designs of different cases**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Angle (θ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#1</td>
<td>0°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2</td>
<td>5°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3</td>
<td>10°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#4</td>
<td>15°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#5</td>
<td>20°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#6</td>
<td>25°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#7</td>
<td>30°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#8</td>
<td>35°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#9</td>
<td>40°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#10</td>
<td>45°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1 Assumptions and governing equations

In order to facilitate the calculation of the PEMFC model, the assumptions adopted by the models are[17-19]: 1) PEMFC operates in steady-state; 2) The phase of all gas components is ideal and incompressible [20]; 3) The reactant gas in the flow field is regarded as laminar flow; 4) The GDL, catalytic layer, and proton exchange membrane are all isotropic; 5) The operating temperature of PEMFC is 80°C; 6) The gravity is ignored. The governing equations used to solve the PEMFC flow fields include the mass conservation equation, the momentum conservation equation, charge and species transport equations [21, 22].
2.1.1 The mass conservation equation

When the transfer of components in the battery conforms to the continuous medium assumption while maintaining low-velocity flow, the mass conservation equation is:

$$\frac{\partial (\rho p)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v} \rho) = S_m$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Equation 1 represents the unsteady state term, convection term, and mass source term in sequence from left to right. Where $\rho$ stands for the density of the reaction gas, g/cm$^3$; $\varepsilon$ is the porosity of the porous media; $\mathbf{v}$ is the velocity vector of the reaction gas mixture, m/s; $S_m$ is the source term of mass, kg/(m$^3$.s), which depends on different computational domains corresponding to different solution formulas. In the flow field, gas diffusion layer and other non-electrochemical reaction zones of PEMFC, $S_m=0$; In the three-phase reaction zone of the catalytic layer, $S_m$ is calculated by the following equation:

$$S_{ma} = S_{H_2} = - \frac{M_{H_2}}{2F} i_a$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$S_{mc} = S_{H_2O} + S_{O_2} = - \frac{M_{H_2O}}{2F} i_c - \frac{M_{O_2}}{4F} i_c$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

where, $M_{H_2}$, $M_{H_2O}$ and $M_{O_2}$ stand for the molar mass of H$_2$, H$_2$O and O$_2$, respectively, kg/mol; $S_{ma}$ and $S_{mc}$ are the source term of mass of the anode and the cathode, respectively; $i$ is the current density, A/m$^2$.

2.1.2 The equation of momentum conservation

The momentum conservation in PEMFC can be written as:

$$\frac{\partial (\rho \mathbf{v} \rho)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v} \rho \mathbf{v}) = -\rho \mathbf{v} p + \mathbf{v} \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \rho) + S_v$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

where, $p$ stands for the fluid pressure, Pa; $\mu$ stands for the kinetic viscosity coefficient of the reaction gas, Pa·s; $S_v$ is the source term of momentum. In the flow fields of bipolar plates, $S_v=0$. In porous media, such as the diffusion layer and catalytic layer, the velocity of each component is low, and the distribution of velocity is uniform. The effect of viscosity force and an inertia force on each component can be ignored. According to the Darcy Law, Equation 4 can be reduced to:

$$S_v = - \frac{k_p}{\mu} \nabla p$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)

where, $k_p$ represents the gas permeability of porous media, m$^2$.

2.1.3 The equation of energy conservation

The energy conservation in PEMFC can be expressed by:

$$\frac{\partial (\rho c_p T)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho c_p \mathbf{v} T) = \mathbf{v} \cdot (k^{eff} \nabla T) + S_Q$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

where, $c_p$ is the specific heat at constant pressure, J/(kg·K); $T$ is the temperature, K; $k^{eff}$ is effective thermal conductivity, W/(m·K); $S_Q$ is the source term of energy.

Consider electrical resistance, chemical reactions, phase transitions, and overpotential during PEMFC operation, the source term can be expressed as:

$$S_Q = J^2 R_{ohm} + \beta \dot{m}_{H2O,g} h_{rxn} + r_w h_L + J_{a,c} \eta$$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

where, $J$ is the current density, A/m$^2$; $R_{ohm}$ is ohmic resistivity, $\Omega$ m; $\beta$ is the effective ratio of fuel chemical energy to heat energy; $\dot{m}_{H2O,g}$ is the rate of gaseous water formation; $h_{rxn}$ is the enthalpy change of reaction; $r_w$ is the rate of the water phase change; $h_L$ is the enthalpy of phase change; $J_{a,c}$ is the exchange current density of anode and cathode; $\eta$ is the sum of activation overpotential and concentration overpotential.

2.1.4 Component conservation equation

The mass flow rate of chemical components must consider both the velocity field and the chemical reaction. The flow rate of each component is divided into convection and diffusion. The general form of the transfer equation of each reaction component is:

$$\frac{\partial (\rho c_p T)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho c_p \mathbf{v} T) = \mathbf{v} \cdot (k^{eff} \nabla T) + S_Q$$ \hspace{1cm} (8)

where, $D^{eff}$ stands for the effective diffusion coefficient of the components, m$^2$/s; $S_t$ stands for the source term, kg/(m$^3$.s).

2.1.5 Current conservation equation and Butler-Volmer equation

The governing equations and source terms for proton and electron transport are:

$$\nabla \cdot (\delta_{sol} \nabla \Phi_{sol}) + R_{sol} = 0$$ \hspace{1cm} (9)

$$\nabla \cdot (\delta_{mem} \nabla \Phi_{mem}) + R_{mem} = 0$$ \hspace{1cm} (10)

where, $\delta$ is the conductivity; $\Phi$ is the phase voltage; $R$ is the exchange current density; sol, mem represents the solid phase and membrane phase. Equation 9 illustrates the electron conduction process in the solid phase. Equation 10 explains proton conduction in the membrane phase. $R$ can be calculated according to the Butler-Volmer equation:

$$R_{an} = R^{ref}_{an} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{\alpha_{an} F \eta_{an}}{RT} \right) \right] - \exp \left( \frac{-\alpha_{an} F \eta_{an}}{RT} \right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (11)

$$R_{cat} = R^{ref}_{cat} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{-\alpha_{cat} F \eta_{cat}}{RT} \right) \right] - \exp \left( \frac{-\alpha_{cat} F \eta_{cat}}{RT} \right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (12)

where, $R^{ref}_{cat}$ and $R^{ref}_{an}$ are the reference exchange current density of anode and cathode, respectively; $\alpha$ stands for the charge transfer coefficient; $\eta$ is the overpotential.

2.2 Boundary conditions and the physical properties

Some suitable boundary conditions have been specified in order to solve the stated equations. The boundary conditions are:

1) The boundary of the anode side is set to zero potential, and the boundary of the cathode side is set as the battery potential. Other boundaries are insulated; 2) All wall boundary conditions are no-slip; 3) The outlet pressure is ambient pressure. Table 2 lists the main physical parameters of the PEMFC used in this work.
Table 2. Main physical parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$H_{cell}$</td>
<td>The thickness of GDL (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_{ele}$</td>
<td>The thickness of the porous electrode (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_{mem}$</td>
<td>The thickness of the membrane (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_{cell}$</td>
<td>The porosity of GDL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{cell}$</td>
<td>The permeability of GDL (m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{cell}$</td>
<td>The conductivity of GDL (S/m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_{H_{2},in}$</td>
<td>$H_{2}$ mass fraction in the anode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_{H_{2}O, in}$</td>
<td>$H_{2}O$ mass fraction in the cathode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_{O_{2}, in}$</td>
<td>$O_{2}$ mass fraction in the cathode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_{a}$</td>
<td>Anode viscosity (Pa·s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_{c}$</td>
<td>Cathode viscosity (Pa·s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{H_{2}}$</td>
<td>$H_{2}$ molar mass (kg/mol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{N_{2}}$</td>
<td>$N_{2}$ molar mass (kg/mol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{H_{2}O}$</td>
<td>$H_{2}O$ molar mass (kg/mol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{O_{2}}$</td>
<td>$O_{2}$ molar mass (kg/mol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{H_{2}-H_{2}O}^{eff}$</td>
<td>$H_{2}$-$H_{2}O$ binary diffusion coefficient (m²/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{N_{2}-H_{2}O}^{eff}$</td>
<td>$N_{2}$-$H_{2}O$ binary diffusion coefficient (m²/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{O_{2}-N_{2}}^{eff}$</td>
<td>$O_{2}$-$N_{2}$ binary diffusion coefficient (m²/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{eff}^{O_{2}-H_{2}O}$</td>
<td>$O_{2}$-$H_{2}O$ binary diffusion coefficient (m²/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>Cell temperature (K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{ref}$</td>
<td>Reference pressure (Pa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_{O_{2}}$</td>
<td>Oxygen reference concentration (mol/m³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_{H_{2}}$</td>
<td>Hydrogen reference concentration (mol/m³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_{mem}$</td>
<td>The porosity of the membrane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_{ele}$</td>
<td>The porosity of the porous electrodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{ele}$</td>
<td>The permeability of the porous electrode (m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{mem}$</td>
<td>Membrane conductivity (S/m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F$</td>
<td>the Faraday constant (C/mol)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.3 Model validation

To verify the model's accuracy, the electrochemical performance was tested based on a single cell test system. Besides, the calculated results are compared with the test data. Figure 4 compares the numerical results of the case#4 flow field with the polarization curves of the experimental data. The curve obtained by numerical simulation is basically consistent with the results measured by experiments. The I-V curve shows that the simulation results agree well with the experimental data.

![Figure 4. Comparison of simulation results and experimental data](image)

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Fuel cell performance

Figure 5 shows the polarization and power density curves of PEMFC under the physical parameters shown in Table 2 for ten different angle cases, respectively. By comparison, it can be observed that flow channels with different angles have little difference in the region of low current density, where the activation polarization plays a significant role. In the high current density region where the ohmic loss domain, the difference between the polarization and power density curves corresponding to different channel structures gradually increases. In particular, compared with other cases, the polarization and power density curves of the flow channels with angles less than 15° decrease obviously.

![Figure 5. The variations in the polarization and power density curve at various angle cases](image)

Figure 6 compares the limiting current density and the maximum power density value between different angle design. As shown in Figure 6, both the limiting current density and maximum power density gained by the case#6 flow channel are superior to other flow channel cases. The value difference between case#4-7 is less than 2%. Therefore, it can be assumed that case#4-7 have similar effects on PEMFC performance. On the other hand, the limiting current density and the maximum power density value of case#1-3 are significantly lower than that of other cases, consistent with the apparent decrease in the polarization curve and power density curve of case#1-3.

![Figure 6. Comparison of limiting current density and maximum power density](image)
3.2 Oxygen mass fraction distribution

As illustrated in Figure 7, the oxygen mass fraction distribution at the interface of cathode gas diffusion layer and catalyst layer for different cases under the operating voltage of 0.65V. The molar concentration of oxygen molecules at the interface is distributed symmetrically on both sides of the main channel. The oxygen content in the main channel region is high and decreases slightly along the channel direction. The oxygen content in each sub-channel decreases along the flow direction. The total oxygen content near the sub-channel entrance is relatively higher than that near the exit. The lowest oxygen content in the whole flow field appeared near the outlet, which mainly due to the electrochemical reaction. As the oxygen consumption in the cathode flow channels increases from the inlet to the outlet, few oxygen reaches the outlet.

By comparing different cases, when the angle is more than 15°, as the angle increases, the oxygen concentration decreases in the sub-channel area. This phenomenon is particularly evident in the sub-channels near the outlets, which means less oxygen can reach this area to participate in the electrochemical reaction. This remarkably affects the electrochemical reaction in the flow channels. The oxygen concentration and distribution uniformity on the cathode diffusion layer of case #4 is the best. Because the sub-channels play a major role in the mass transport, the angle of 15° has the most dramatic effect on the improvement of the oxygen distribution efficiency. On the other hand, Figure 7 (a)-(c) shows that when the angle is less than 15°, the oxygen content in the sub-channel area increases sharply as the angle increases, which helps the reaction to proceed uniformly in the flow channels and enables the fuel cell to produce a more uniform current density distribution.

3.3 Water mass fraction distribution

Figure 8 shows the water distribution in the cathode flow channels under the operating voltage of 0.65V. This is obvious that the water content in the main flow field is the lowest, and the water content in the sub-channels increases along the flow direction. The total water content near the outlet of sub-channels is obviously much higher than that near the inlet. The water generated in the electrochemical reaction blows towards the outlet by the gas, which causes the water gathered near the outlet. The water transport in the sub-channels plays an important role in the whole flow field. It is evident that when the angle is more than 15°, as the angle increases, the water content in the sub-channels increases. It is not conducive to the gas diffusion in the flow fields and full reaction. However, when the angle is less than 15°, the sub-channels water content increases sharply as the angle decreases. It is easy to block the flow channels, hinder gas diffusion, affect the reaction, and cause water flooding in severe circumstances, which leads to fuel cell performance deterioration. When the angle is 15°, the sub-channels can improve the uniformity of the water distribution most effectively.

3.4 The pressure drop

Figure 9. The comparison of pressure drop from the inlet to the outlet at different angle cases

The pressure drop of reactant gas from the flow field inlet to the outlet is an important index to measure the flow field design. The higher pressure drop means more pump power
consumed to transport the reaction gas in the flow field. Figure 9 shows the pressure drop of different angle design under the operating voltage of 0.65V. It can be seen from the figure that the pressure drop between the inlet and the outlet of the flow channels with case#4 is significantly lower than that of other cases.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In order to study the influence of the optimal angle of the bionic flow field on the PEMFC electrochemical performance and species transport capacity, ten cases of different angles between the main channel and sub-channels of the flow field were simulated. Results showed that with an appropriate increase in the angle between the main channel and sub-channels, the distribution uniformity of oxygen in the flow field had improved. Therefore, a more uniform distribution of current density had been generated. When the angle was higher than 15°, the oxygen content in the catalyst layer decreased sharply. By contrast, the water content in the flow field increases dramatically. At this point, increasing the angle causes more excess water, which results in the flow-field flooding and gas diffusion blocking.

Comprehensively analyzing the polarization and power density curves, oxygen distribution, water distribution, and the pressure drop, the optimal angle of the flow-field design based on the leaf-vein structure for PEMFC is presented. It is found that the proper angle ranges from 15° to 30°. In detail, when the fuel cell mostly operates in the area of high power density or limiting current density, the optimal angle is about 25°. When the fuel cell mostly works at low operating temperatures, the influence of oxygen and water content is essential. At this moment, the optimal angle is almost 15°. When the designed flow field is used in PEMFC stack, the stack's parasitic power is increased due to the high pressure drop from the cell inlet to the outlet. The pressure drop of case#4 is the lowest, which aids in improving the PEMFC system efficiency.
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