Nondestructive Eddy Current Measurement of Coating Thickness of Aeronautical Construction Materials

Abdellah Abdou1,2*, Tarik Bouchala1, Bachir Abdelhadi3,4, Amor Guettafi1,4, Azzedine Benoudjiti1

1 Electrical Engineering Department, Mohamed Boudiaf University, Msila 28000, Algeria
2 Research Laboratory on the Electrical Engineering Faculty of Technology, Mohamed Boudiaf University, Msila 28000, Algeria
3 Electrical Engineering Department, Batna2 University, Batna 05000, Algeria
4 Laboratory of Electric Traction Systems (LSTE), Batna2 University, Batna 05000, Algeria

Corresponding Author Email: abdellah.abdou@univ-msila.com

https://doi.org/10.18280/i2m.180504

Received: 18 June 2019
Accepted: 20 August 2019

Keywords: eddy current sensor, coating thickness, inverse problem, coupled electric field method, metal sheet

1. INTRODUCTION

In the field of aeronautics, aircraft are periodically subject to inspection and maintenance operations, as in the case of Algeria’s aircraft maintenance division. In the nondestructive testing workshop, eddy current technique is widely used for evaluating and controlling the relevant parts of aircraft. Among these applications, the non-conductive coating thickness measurement [1]. So, dielectric coatings such as paint and varnish are used in aeronautical construction for heat-shielding, heat resisting, anticorrosive [2]. In industrial automatic application, several iterative inversion methods are used to accomplish this objective. In general, the flowchart constitutes an iteration buckle containing the forward model associated to an inversion algorithm [3-4]. We recall that analytical forward method of Dodd and Deeds gives the exact solution but the skin and the proximity effects in the exciting coil turns are neglected [5]. On other hand, the finite element method (FEM) is applicable to any complex devices without restrictions, but it’s relatively slow in comparison to the analytical ones. For this reason, our effort is mainly focused on the application of the coupled electric field method (CEFM) that we have recently developed and performed because its fast and can take into consideration both skin and proximity effects [6]. In this paper, our goal is to associate the coupled circuit method and a new deterministic optimization method that we have recently developed for measuring the non-conductive coating thickness of Al, AU4G, Ti and Inox-304L sheet metals [7].

2. COATING THICKNESS MEASUREMENT IN AERONAUTIC

A coating thickness meter is a scientific instrument for measuring the thickness of layers on a base material called substrate or support. So, coating thickness measurement is a very useful discipline in materials science. Thickness of conductive or nonconductive coating thickness is one of the essential criteria for characterizing a coating; a minimum layer thickness on a part is required to ensure physical, electrical, mechanical or aesthetic properties [1]. Typical application areas are the automotive, microelectronics and aeronautics industries. In aircraft and aeronautical domains, several sheet metal materials such as Al, AU4G, Ti and stainless steel 304L with coating layer are used to ensure resistance to mechanical thermal and chemical constraints, as shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Aeronautic construction materials](image-url)
The plane cockle is made, in majority, of aluminium alloys formed by aluminium mixed respectively with copper and zinc. Aluminium is used in general because its volume density is very weak, what presents an advantage in aeronautics. Indeed, more the plane is light, it consumes less. Aluminium is also very appreciated its good resistance for corrosion. Aluminium is easily malleable what makes the construction of different easier [8, 9].

3. STUDIED DEVICE

In our study, the metal presents a flat surface with thin nonconductive coating. In a concrete study, when using eddy current to measure non conductive coating thickness, it is important to ensure that the other factors are kept under control. A pancake-type probe formed of coil used whose axis is perpendicular to the surface of the tested piece [10-16]. This type of sensor is used for flat surface inspections, Figure 2. In our study, we neglect the parameters that affect commonly coating thickness measurement such as: Edge effects, curvature, cleaning of surface and perpendicularity of the sensor probe.

![Figure 2. Studied device](image)

The geometrical and physical characteristics are given in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics of the studied device</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coil</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current intensity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Plate**                             |
| Thickness                             | 2 [mm]                               |
| Electric conductivity                | That of Al, Inox 304L, Ti            |
| Magnetic permeability                | 1                                    |

4. COUPLED ELECTRIC FIELD METHOD

The electromagnetic phenomenon intervening in eddy current non-destructive systems is described by the following generalized punctual Eqns. [9-15].

\[
\frac{2\pi r(p)}{\sigma} J(p) + \mu_e r(p) G(p,q) \frac{dI(q)}{dt} = u(p)  
\]

\[
G(p,q) = \sqrt{r(p)E(k(p,q))}  
\]

\[
E(k) = \frac{(2-k^2)(E_0(k)-2E_1(k))}{k}  
\]

Where,

\[
G(p,q) \text{ is called Green’s function and represents the response of the system at a point } p(r,z) \text{ to Dirac’s distribution located at a point q.} 
\]

\[
E_0(k) \text{ and } E_1(k) \text{ are respectively the first and the second species of the Legendre elliptic function [9].} 
\]

\[
\omega \text{ is the pulsation.} 
\]

\[
r(p) \text{ and } r(q) \text{ are the radial coordinate of the point } p \text{ and } q, \text{ respectively.} 
\]

\[
z(p) \text{ and } z(q) \text{ are the axial coordinate of the point } p \text{ and } q, \text{ respectively.} 
\]

Eq. (2) expresses the creation of the current intensity \( I(p) \) at a point \( p \) of electric conductivity \( \sigma(p) \) under the influence of the voltage \( u(p) \) applied at this point, and the current intensity \( I(q) \) situated in \( q \).

The above equations describe only the electromagnetic effect of one point upon another. To realize the electromagnetic contribution of the complete domain \( \Omega \) upon point \( p \), Eq. (1) is rewritten in the following form:

\[
\frac{2\pi r(p)}{\sigma} J(p) + j\omega \mu_e r(p) \int_{\Omega} G(p,q) J(p,q) d\Omega = u(p)  
\]

In our case, we need to express eddy current probe impedance variation caused by the presence of the conductive material, according to its thickness \( Ec \) and electric conductivity \( \sigma \).

The current density \( J(p) \) can be expressed according to the electric field \( E(p) \) as follows:

\[
\frac{J(p)}{\sigma} = \sigma(p) E(p)  
\]

\[
I(p) = s(p) \sigma(p) E(p)  
\]

\( S(p) \) is the cross section of the turn \( p \).

By introducing the transformation of equation set (6), equation (5) leads to equation (7). We have named this latter the generalized coupled electric field equation:

\[
2\pi r(p) E(p) + \mu_e r(p) \int_{\Omega} G(p,q) \sigma(p) E(p) d\Omega = u(p)  
\]

The next sections will be devoted to the modeling of axisymmetrical configuration by applying Eq. (6) on the exciting coil region and Eq. (8) on the tested conductive piece.

5. GEOMETRICAL MODEL DESCRIPTION

In the present study, the metal sheet is considered as a flat surface with or without a thin nonconductive coating. In fact, the exciting coil of domain \( \Omega_e \) delivers a sinusoidal magnetic field that induces eddy current in a massive metal sheet of domain \( \Omega \).
In view of the fact that the system is axially symmetric, the magnetic field calculation is performed only in a half domain. In addition, since the depth penetration is relatively deep in comparison to the turn’s section radius, we admit that the current density distribution in this section is uniform.

Therefore, the number of elements on the sensor is equal among turns of both reels. In other words, the number of elements is \( N_c \) distributed on \( N_o \), on the radial direction and \( N_m \) on the axial direction. The inspected metal sheet is subdivided into \( N_c(N_m, N_m, 2) \) elements as illustrated in Figure 3.

\[ \text{Figure 3. Two-dimensional geometry and meshing} \]

\[ \Delta Z = Z - Z_0 \] (11)

From Eq. (9) and relation (11), one can finally deduce the expression of this impedance variation:

\[ \Delta Z = \frac{j \omega H}{I_o} \sum_{p_{r=1}}^{N_r} S_c(p_{r}) \sum_{q_{c=1}}^{N_c} \frac{G(p_{r}, q_{c})}{q_{c}} \] (12)

where, \( S_c \) and \( r_c \) are respectively the section and the radius of the tested piece loop.

\[ S_c = \frac{E_T}{N_c} \] (13)

\[ \Delta Z = \frac{j \omega H L_o}{N_r} \sum_{p_{r=1}}^{N_r} S_c(p_{r}) \sum_{q_{c=1}}^{N_c} \frac{G(p_{r}, q_{c})}{q_{c}} \] (14)

After having accomplished the development of the forward model, the next section will be dedicated to resolve the inverse problem which consists in measuring the coating thickness.

\[ \text{9. IMPEDANCE COMPONENT ACCORDING TO COATING THICKNESS} \]

After implanting the coupled circuit method on MATLAB software, we study the effect of non conductive coating thickness on sensor impedance component such as amplitude, resistance and reactance; the coated sheet metal is made of Inox304L, Aluminium, AU4G and Titanium.

From these results, we remark clearly that the resistance...
variation increases with the increase of coating thickness. Furthermore, greater variations are obtained for high frequencies.

Our objective in this section is not to make a deep analysis of these results because this problem is already treated in previous works, but we want to make in evidence that resistance variation $\Delta R$ changes more rapidly in comparison to the reactance variation $\Delta X$. The next section is reserved to exploit the developed forward model for resolving the inverse problem which consists in measuring nonconductive coating thickness.

10. DEVELOPMENT OF THE INVERSE METHOD FOR COATING THICKNESS MEASUREMENT

The inverse method that we propose in this contribution is based on the association of the forward developed model and an algorithm researching the inverse problem solution, which is the tested piece coating thickness, from the measured impedance variation [7]. The algorithm exploits the fact that the sensor resistance variation according to coating thickness is an increasing function ($\Delta R=f(E)$). While knowing the
physical and geometric parameters of the studied system and the starting interval limits ($E_{inf}$ and $E_{sup}$), successively, by lower and upper coating thickness, the forward model determines the resistance variation corresponding to the intermediate coating thickness ($E_{inf} + E_{sup})/2$.

If the calculated resistance $\Delta R$ is lower than $\Delta R_{mes}$, the coating thickness $E_{sup}$ is replaced by ($E_{inf} + E_{sup})/2$. Otherwise, if $\Delta R$ is greater than $\Delta R_{mes}$, the coating thickness $E_{sup}$ is replaced by ($E_{inf} + E_{sup})/2$. This process is repeated until the difference between $\Delta R$ and $\Delta R_{mes}$ becomes lower than the tolerance ($|\Delta R - \Delta R_{mes}| \leq \varepsilon$). These process steps are summarized in Figure 6.

This algorithm presents several advantages such as: the solution is guaranteed in advance if the sought value belongs to the starting interval. Certainly, in the industrial applications the expert knows the starting interval of coating thickness.

In the following sections, we apply the developed inverse method to determine non-conductive coating thickness of Inox 304L, Aluminium, AU4G and Titanium. So, the evolution of the coating thickness, for exciting field frequency 1 kHz and 1 MHz, according to iteration number is shown on Figures 7 and 8. The dielectric coating thickness is about of 0.2 mm, 0.5 mm and 1.2 mm.

Figure 6. Proposed optimization flowchart

Figure 7. Coating thickness for different material type according to iteration. $f_r = 10$KHz. $f_r = 10$kHz calculated with the proposed algorithm
During this application, we have seen that the values obtained by the proposed method are very precise and closer to desired ones, which shows the robustness of this method. In fact, for all sheet metal type, less than 10 iteration are sufficient to determine coating thickness (0.2 mm, 0.5 mm and 1.2 mm). Also, we know that probabilistic methods such as genetic algorithm is very expensive in computation time because of the high number of objective function evaluations at each iteration. On the other hand, to achieve an acceptable accuracy, the population size must be increased what induce a significant computation time. As a result, the proposed method is more preferred; because it is faster and its performance doesn't change when the calculation is reset, which is not the case for the other stochastic methods [18-21].

11. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have exploit the deterministic method that we have recently developed for non-destructive evaluation of coating thickness aircraft construction materials [6]. So, after implementing and running the inversion technique under Matlab environment, the simulation results has shown its rapidity and robustness while making a judicious configuration choice for initial parameters such as initial interval search and tolerance. In fact, while using this algorithm, few iteration numbers are sufficient for real time coating thickness measurement. As future work, we look forward to extend this inversion procedure to characterize the aeronautical metal sheet by introducing its thickness and that coating an unknown parameters.
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